



## Enclosure 3: Department of the Air Force





DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE  
WASHINGTON DC

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY

27 MAR 2018

MEMORANDUM FOR UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (PERSONNEL AND READINESS)

SUBJECT: Fiscal Year 2017 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military

In response to your 25 September 2017 data call, I am forwarding the Air Force input to the *Fiscal Year 2017 Department of Defense (DoD) Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military*.

The primary objective of the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program is to reduce prevalence of sexual assaults and increase reporting. In FY17, the Air Force saw the highest reporting rate in the history of our SAPR program. We acknowledge, however, there is still much progress to be made. We estimate that about two-thirds of past-year sexual assaults of active duty Airmen remain unreported. We will continue working to narrow the gap between prevalence and reporting.

Multiple efforts and programs are underway across our Air Force to optimize Airman performance, increase resilience, and decrease negative and violent outcomes. This year, the Headquarters Air Force formally restructured and integrated our SAPR office with our Resilience and Suicide Prevention Programs, forming the Directorate of Integrated Resilience. The intent of this comprehensive model is to address systemic risk, leverage integrated and coordinated community support, increase help-seeking and connect Airmen and their families to services, and utilize evidence-based interpersonal and self-directed violence prevention approaches. This restructure is a bold testament to our belief that, in order to remove sexual assault and other forms of interpersonal and self-directed violence from our ranks, we must significantly innovate in both structure and culture.

Each and every day we make a commitment to drive change. Working together with leaders inside and outside our gates, our collective wisdom will enhance safety and create new allies that can shape pioneering efforts to end sexual assault and interpersonal violence among our most valued resources: our Airmen and their families.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "SJM", is positioned above the typed name of the signatory.

Shon J. Manasco  
Assistant Secretary of the Air Force  
Manpower and Reserve Affairs

## FY 2017 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military Executive Summary: United States Air Force

The Air Force is dedicated to the goal of eliminating sexual assault and all other forms of interpersonal and self-directed violence, so every Airman and family member thrives. We must act quickly and with a deliberate strategy to increase reporting until it meets the level of incidence, while driving both figures to zero. In Fiscal Year (FY) 17 we did in fact see reporting in the Air Force increase to its highest level since the inception of the program in 2005. But we're not done yet. Only 1 in 3 report this crime. We must continue to leverage evidence-based approaches to effectively prevent sexual assault, and inspire increased trust in our response system and chain of command to ensure every Airman will come forward if harmed, and receive expert care.

Over the course of FY17 the Air Force faced continued challenges, but also made important progress. As we sought to instill culture change we were reminded of the importance of leadership buy-in and engagement for these ideas to take root and flourish. Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Talking Points were added to the Commander's Toolkit in 2016 and execution of proactive engagement tools like this visibly associates unit-level leadership with the importance of the SAPR program on a regular basis. In 2017, additional topics were added to create a comprehensive complement of 12 (one delivered per month). When commanders deliver SAPR knowledge directly to their unit members they are simultaneously engaging in prevention and awareness efforts. Not only do unit members obtain important information from an authority figure, but when an Airman knows their leadership is personally communicating on this topic, it sends an underlying message that the unit and the institution espouses a culture of respect intolerant of sexual assault.

Overall, the Air Force has an effective sexual assault victim response and care system. We have encountered some challenges, however, such as coordination during expedited transfers, weak internal controls, and insufficient compliance with SAPR program management and execution. The Air Force is pursuing multiple avenues to address these issues through system improvement initiatives that will advance accountability, increase reporting, and improve victim care. These initiatives include third party inspections and quality assurance; re-evaluating intimate and non-intimate partner advocacy roles; improving reporting and transfer processes; and increasing the competency of leaders at all levels to better understand and communicate about concepts such as the neurobiology of trauma.

Challenges aside, the Air Force has made significant progress. Prevention efforts have evolved from awareness training to a much more holistic approach to primary prevention and resilience. The Air Force continued to evolve in 2017 by restructuring the Headquarters Air Force SAPR office to also include enterprise-wide responsibility for the integration of resilience and the primary prevention of all interpersonal and self-directed violence (including sexual assault, domestic violence, child maltreatment, suicide, and workplace violence). Under the new construct of Integrated Resilience, the Air Force has created opportunities to reduce silos, and is better postured to capitalize on the natural



synergies inherent across our research and development, planning, policy, programming, and assessment efforts.

In FY17 the Air Force continued to make progress on two promising sexual assault prevention initiatives. One of those, at Basic Military Training, the Sexual Communication and Consent program provides tailored training to prevent victimization, re-victimization, and the perpetration of sexual assault. The program went through focus group testing and technical production, and is on target for a 2018 feasibility test, followed by full implementation projected for 2019. At the Air Force Academy, an adapted life skills program call Cadet Healthy Personal Skills, which will provide future Airmen with important skills (e.g. communication, coping, relationship management, anger management skills, etc.), completed a six-month trial and is on target for implementation with all cadets in 2018. The successful implementation of these programs is expected to significantly reduce sexual assault victimization and other instances of interpersonal violence.

The Air Force has also made progress in other areas of the response system. During this reporting period, the Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI) made a policy change that has the potential to increase victim choice. Now, a substantive investigation may not be opened if the victim cannot or will not identify the alleged perpetrator, and the alleged perpetrator's identity cannot be ascertained via a third party or other investigative steps. Additionally, the Air Force Special Victims' Counsel Division launched new training blocks that focus on the experiences of male survivors; retaliation and ostracism; and representation of DoD civilians. These enhanced training blocks provide experiential learning opportunities, which in turn improve the service given to victims.

As previously mentioned, the Air Force made great progress in reporting. The Air Force received a record 1,480 reports of sexual assault involving Service members as either victims or subjects in FY17 - the largest number of reports of sexual assault received by the Air Force in the history of its SAPR program. Assuming prevalence has not changed since the most recent study was completed in FY16, FY17 saw a record 34% of sexual assaults being reported, up from 29% in FY16 and 26% in FY15. This upward trend in reporting may be evidence of a higher level of trust in the system than in past years.

The Air Force is committed to eliminating sexual assaults, improving our response capability, and the resilience and readiness of our force. As an enterprise we are strengthening our investment in prevention, while focusing on continuing to improve the response support structure. The report that follows details the work accomplished in FY17, as well as future goals on the very near horizon. We will not, and cannot stop until the crime of sexual assault and other forms of interpersonal and self-directed violence are eradicated from our ranks.

**1. Goal 1—Prevention—“Institutionalize evidenced-based, informed prevention practices and policies across the Department so that all Military Service members are treated with dignity and respect, and have the knowledge, tools, and support needed to prevent sexual assaults.”**

**1.1 Summarize your efforts to achieve the Prevention goal. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 1 – Prevention, p. 6)**

In this strategic summary, consider the following for inclusion, especially when there have been significant or force-wide changes to how your Military Service addresses the following matters (there is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions if these processes have remained largely the same as in prior years):

- Overall Approach: What force-wide initiatives did your Military Service employ this year to reduce the occurrence of sexual assault? What prevention initiatives did your Military Service employ with targeted subgroups of your population or for specific locations? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016))
- Communications and Engagement: How do you align prevention communications and training across your Military Service? (DoD 2014-2016 Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy (April 30, 2014), para 5 (Communications), p. 11)
- Leader Involvement: How do you prepare and include command to support the Military Service prevention approach? How does the Military Service prepare and help command address unit climate challenges and climate survey results? (DoDI 6495.02, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (July 7, 2015), Encl 5, para 9f)
- Community Involvement (Internal to DoD): How does your Military Service prepare and configure military communities and their resources to support the prevention approach? (DoD 2014-2016 Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy (April 30, 2014), para 5 (Community Involvement), p. 11 / SecDef Memo (May 1, 2014), *Develop Collaborative Forum for Sexual Assault Methods*, p. 2)
- Community Involvement (External to DoD): How does your Military Service employ resources external to your military communities to advance prevention initiatives? These can be force-wide initiatives or initiatives taken with specific locations or subgroups based on risk or some other factor. If this section is included, examples of these external collaborations, rationale for their use, and an assessment of the collaboration’s outcome should be included. (DoD 2014-2016 Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy (April 30, 2014), para 5, (Community Involvement), p. 11 / SecDef Memo (May 1, 2014), *Develop Collaborative Forum for Sexual Assault Prevention Methods*, p. 2)
- Education and Training: How are education/training activities used to advance the Military Service’s prevention approach? What specific training programs are used (e.g., interpersonal communication, healthy relationships, and improving alcohol choices) and how/when were they distributed throughout the Military Service population? (DoD 2014-2016 Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy (April 30, 2014), para 5 (Education and Training), p. 12)

**REQUIRED:**

- What metrics are being used to assess the effectiveness of prevention efforts intended to reduce the prevalence of sexual assault. Include a discussion of the metrics used to assess your sexual assault prevention program, and how they support or supplement DoD's core prevention metrics (i.e., prevalence and bystander intervention experience). Describe how the results of those metrics are informing prevention planning? (DoD 2014-2016 Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy (April 30, 2014), para 5 (Harm Reduction), p. 12) / DoD 2014-2016 Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy (April 30, 2014), para 6 (Prevention Metrics, Assessment, and Research), p. 13

### ***Overall Approach***

The Air Force firmly believes that in order to significantly reduce the incidence of sexual assault and other forms of interpersonal and self-directed violence, we must focus our efforts on skills, behaviors, climate, and environmental factors prior to a violent event occurring. To that end the Air Force is in the process of implementing a comprehensive sexual assault prevention strategy (signed in 2015) that uses a strategic data-driven approach to prevention. One cornerstone of this strategy is employing evidence-based prevention. Thus, the Air Force implemented Green Dot, an evidence-based primary prevention bystander intervention approach, force-wide beginning in 2016. In 2017, this approach was expanded to include suicide prevention. An evaluation of the 2016 Green Dot training based on nearly 9,000 survey responses found the training was having the expected short and intermediate effects, such as increasing hope, efficacy, and positive behavioral changes. Though these early results are promising, past evaluations of Green Dot suggest it must be implemented for at least three years to achieve maximum impact. Therefore, the Air Force will continue to implement Green Dot boosters to achieve a projected 30% reduction in sexual assault victimization by 2020.

Another cornerstone of the Air Force Prevention Strategy is the adoption of a holistic approach to sexual assault prevention. In FY17, the Air Force identified the importance of addressing the continuum of harm as essential to preventing sexual assault. Toward this end, the Air Force is addressing workplace harassment – inclusive of sexual harassment, hazing, and bullying – as a top priority in FY18. The Vice Chief of Staff of the Air Force (AF/CV) established the Workplace Harassment Solutions Working Group to identify goals and objectives that will realize a reduction in the incidence of workplace harassment, which we expect to lead to a reduction in other forms of interpersonal and self-directed violence. Two early goals include developing and integrating respectful behaviors and skills into day-to-day operations; and establishing a persistent climate and culture feedback tool for unit members and leaders.

To ensure we are testing prevention approaches prior to implementation, the Air Force has two notable evaluations underway at Basic Military Training and the United States Air Force Academy. The Research Triangle Institute adapted and is testing the feasibility of providing tailored prevention interventions that match each trainee's unique risk profile. In this regard, Research Triangle Institute developed the Sexual Communication and Consent program, which is comprised of interventions adapted from evidence-based interventions in college settings. The program is in the final phases of preparation prior to

implementation at Basic Military Training. Concurrently, at the Air Force Academy, Life Skills Training has been adapted into the Cadet Healthy Personal Skills program focusing on the practical application of interpersonal communications skills in an effort to reduce instances of sexual harassment and assault. Both strategies will be evaluated for possible expansion to other accessions sources such as the Reserve Officer Training Corps and Officer Candidate School.

### ***Communication and Engagement***

In 2016, the Air Force Directorate of Integrated Resilience (AF/A1Z; formerly Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office) established a position at the installation level to integrate primary prevention efforts on a community scale. These Violence Prevention Integrators (known as Specialists for the Primary Prevention of Violence in 2016) work directly for the installation Vice Commander and are the focal point for all primary prevention activities intended to institutionalize an environment that encourages Airmen to proactively prevent interpersonal and self-directed violence. In order to ensure that Violence Prevention Integrators remain on the cutting edge of prevention science and that the Air Force is internally advancing the capability, a variety of training and technical assistance opportunities are provided (bimonthly webinars, individual and group technical assistance calls, journal club meetings). And, by mandating Violence Prevention Integrators report to installation leadership the Air Force has placed an increased emphasis on communicating about and investing in primary prevention strategies, ensuring commanders are informed of ongoing initiatives.

Late in 2017, the Air Force simplified and aligned the terminology describing the former Community Action Information Board and Integrated Delivery System to better align with the proactive mission of this integrating infrastructure to reach community outcomes. The Air Force understands that a healthy and equipped community coalition is critical to achieving community level changes, so AF/A1Z re-energized the forums – now the HQ Air Force Community Action Board and Community Action Team have a strategy focused on violence prevention and resilience and use evidence-based processes to solve community problems. This will increase accountability and returns on investment on prevention and resilience resources. Violence Prevention Integrators at the Major Command (MAJCOM) and installation levels will work through the Community Action Board and Community Action Team structures to ensure more effective and efficient prevention integration and the use of evidence-based approaches as they complete their supporting strategies.

As an enterprise, the Air Force wants every Airman and family member to thrive. Part of that vision, for the Air Force Community Action Board specifically, is focused on eliminating our most intractable challenges, suicide and workplace harassment (including sexual harassment). Each MAJCOM and installation will have the opportunity to identify additional goals. By focusing primary prevention efforts on bullying, hazing, and sexual harassment we will reduce instances of suicide, sexual assault, and other forms of interpersonal violence.

### ***Leader Involvement***

During the roll-out of the 2017 integrated SAPR and Suicide Prevention annual training, the AF/CV signed a memo emphasizing leadership's crucial role in ensuring the successful prevention of and response to instances of interpersonal and self-directed violence. With this important leadership buy-in and support, AF/A1Z maintained regular communications with commanders through Senior Leader conferences, teleconferences, and Professional Military Education. AF/A1Z ensured commanders at the Wing Commander Summit received a leadership-focused version of Green Dot taught by personnel from Green Dot Inc. At Air Command and Staff College, students were also presented with a leadership-focused version of Green Dot and were able to ask questions and interact directly with national trainers, and Air Force senior leadership. These targeted efforts prepared commanders to positively influence the culture of their respective areas of responsibility and create an environment where behaviors that lead to interpersonal and self-directed violence are not tolerated.

In 2016, AF/A1Z worked with the Center of Excellence for Medical Multimedia to produce public service announcements featuring Air Force Senior Leaders discussing proactive prevention of harmful behaviors that may lead to instances of interpersonal and self-directed violence. These public service announcements are currently located on Wingman Online, a web-based resilience forum, under the heading "leadership". Including Senior Leaders in this endeavor demonstrated clear support of primary prevention efforts.

### ***Community Involvement (internal to DoD)***

The Air Force utilizes formal and informal venues to both learn from and share with other Department of Defense (DoD) entities. AF/A1Z has partnered with the DoD SAPR Office (DoD SAPRO) and our sister service SAPR offices on the Prevention Roundtable, a forum focused on sharing information about current prevention initiatives throughout the DoD. AF/A1Z has also partnered directly with the Department of the Navy prevention stakeholders to exchange ideas and challenges regarding primary prevention. Finally, AF/A1Z participates in regular cross-service technical calls wherein research staff discuss and share prevention research.

### ***Community Involvement (external to DoD)***

In 2017, AF/A1Z continued an Interagency Agreement with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Division of Violence Prevention within the National Center for Injury Prevention and Control) as part of a time-sharing agreement with AF/A1Z's Senior Advisor for Prevention as well as to collaborate in the training of the Violence Prevention Integrators. The research projects described here in section 1.1 that involve evaluating interventions and policies that have potential utility for sexual assault prevention represent an additional avenue of collaboration and community involvement, such that they ensure the Air Force is utilizing the best available scientific and experiential prevention evidence. Conference and meeting attendance represents an additional method of building



collaborative relationships outside of the Air Force. For example, Research Triangle Institute's work on the Sexual Communication and Consent program at Basic Military Training has been highlighted in conference presentations focused on prevention in male victimization.

### ***Education and Training***

Since 2016, the Air Force has implemented Green Dot in order to advance our prevention approach. Green Dot encourages Airmen to acknowledge their barriers to intervention and then gives them tools to get around those barriers. Green Dot advocates a community approach to prevention in which the environment cultivated by Airmen is intolerant of behavior that constitutes or leads to interpersonal and self-directed violence. Currently, Green Dot is distributed throughout the population annually via a face-to-face training or via a video for geographically separated units. Additionally, in order to better garner leadership understanding and buy-in for primary prevention efforts, we have engaged DoD SAPRO to build leader competencies and capacity with fundamental concepts.

### ***Assessment of Prevention Efforts***

In order to assess the effectiveness of the Green Dot program, implementation was monitored using a variety of implementer, leadership, peer influencer, and total force surveys. Implementers were asked to assess areas such as whether they felt prepared to deliver the curriculum and whether they believed Green Dot could help reduce instances of interpersonal violence if implemented effectively. Leaders assessed whether they would use their influence to help Airmen confront barriers as a result of Green Dot, while Peer Influencers were asked to assess how likely they were to talk to friends and family about interpersonal and self-directed violence as a result of Green Dot. Headquarters Air Force partnered with Air Mobility Command to conduct a longitudinal study in which Airmen at 6 and 12 months post-training indicated they were significantly more likely to indicate they can do something about interpersonal violence, have a friend talk to them about interpersonal violence, have talked to others about interpersonal and self-directed violence, have noticed sexual harassment, or have posted on social media about a bystander/in support of interpersonal and self-directed violence prevention. As a result of evaluation results, future Green Dot efforts will leverage synergies between suicide prevention and resilience, tie Green Dot concepts to Air Force core values, monitor fidelity and provide technical assistance, ensure appropriate implementers are selected, and better engage and equip leaders. Results of the evaluation and how the results informed subsequent versions has been communicated with leaders across the Force to continue to underscore and model the importance of evaluation and continuous quality improvement in sexual assault prevention. 2017 Green Dot is also being evaluated.

### **1.2 Future Efforts: Briefly describe your leadership-approved future plans to reduce the occurrence of sexual assault in your Military Service.**

In addition to the efforts discussed in section 1.1 above, the Air Force will focus heavily on workplace harassment and continue to further refine opportunities to reduce interpersonal

and self-directed violence. For the Green Dot program, the 2018 curriculum will focus on proactive behaviors that create culture change, followed by plans in 2019 and beyond for Green Dot to be institutionalized across all enlisted and officer accessions sources. Boosters for the general Air Force population will be tailored to the unique needs of each community.

**2. Goal 2—Victim Assistance & Advocacy—“Deliver consistent and effective advocacy and care for all military Service members or their adult dependents, such that it empowers them to report assaults, promotes recovery, facilitates dignified and respectful treatment, and restores military readiness.”**

**2.1 Summarize your efforts to achieve the Victim Assistance & Advocacy goal. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance & Advocacy, p. 7)**

In this strategic summary, consider the following for inclusion, especially when there have been significant or force-wide changes to how your Military Service addresses the following matters (there is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions if these processes have remained largely the same as in prior years):

- Overall Approach: What force-wide initiatives did your Military Service employ this year to improve response to sexual assault? What victim assistance and advocacy initiatives did your Military Service employ with targeted subgroups of your population or with specific locations? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016))
- What are your oversight processes for reviewing D-SAACP credentials, qualifications, continuing education, inappropriate behavior, and revocation of certification, if appropriate? What progress is being made to ensure SAPR personnel meet D-SAACP screening requirements prior to attending your Military Service’s SAPR certification training? What are your procedures for suspending, revoking, or reinstating certification of SARCs and SAPR VAs in accordance with the D-SAACP guidelines? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance & Advocacy, Objective 2.1, p. 8 / DoDI 6495.03, “Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP),” (September 10, 2015), Encl 2, para 4c / DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan “Task List,” (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance and Advocacy, Objective 2.1, Task #6, p. 3)
- What efforts is your Military Service utilizing to encourage SARCs and SAPR VAs to renew their certification at a higher level in order to increase the quality of victim assistance providers? Are there any identified challenges that SARCs and SAPR VAs have in obtaining continuing education advanced training, to included training on emerging issues and victim-focused trauma-informed care? What is being done to address these challenges? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance & Advocacy, Objective 2.1, p. 8 / DoDI 6495.03, “Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP),” (September 10, 2015), Encl 3, para 3)

- What progress has your Military Service made in collaborating with the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) to develop a protocol for routinely communicating the availability of VA resources and benefits to your Service members? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan “Task List,” (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance and Advocacy, Objective 2.1, Task #10, p. 3)
- What progress has your Military Service made in performing a gap analysis between the actual role being performed by SARCs and SAPR VAs in the field and the requirements of DoDI 6495.02 and Military Service regulations to ensure current policies are adequate and appropriate? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan “Task List,” (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance and Advocacy, Objective 2.1, Task #4, p. 3)
- What efforts are underway to strengthen service provider participation in an integrated victim services network of care to effectively integrate SAPR support and victim services (e.g., legal, health, investigations, SARCs, SAPR VAs, Victim Witness Assistance Programs, and IG)? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan “Task List,” (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance and Advocacy, Objective 2.1, Task #9, p. 3)

**REQUIRED:**

- What metrics or assessment processes are being used to address the effectiveness of victim assistance and advocacy efforts intended to deliver consistent care for all Service members and/or their adult dependents? What is your status in developing indicators for measuring SARCs and SAPR VAs “quality response” in support of D-SAACP? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan “Task List,” (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance and Advocacy, Objective 2.1, Task #7, p. 3)
- What are your procedures for suspending, revoking, and reinstating certification of SARCs and SAPR VAs in accordance with the D-SAACP guidelines? How many SARCs and SAPR VAs in your Military Service received a suspension? A revocation? A reinstatement? (Identify how many SARCs and VAs in each category) (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance & Advocacy, Objective 2.1, p. 8 / DoDI 6495.03, “Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP),” (September 10, 2015), Encl 3, para 3)
- How is your Military Service continuing to ensure that both male and female victim input is included in the development of your SAPR policy? (SecDef Memo (May 1, 2014), *Improve Reporting for Male Victims*, p. 2) / GAO Report 15-284, *Actions Needed to Address Sexual Assaults of Male Service Members* (March 2015), p. 20)
- How is your Military Service improving its response to male victims, to include implementing and monitoring methods to increase reporting of male sexual assault allegations? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan “Task List,” (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance & Advocacy, Objective 2.3, Task #1, p. 4)

- What progress is being made to improve victim care services and conduct Case Management Groups at Joint Bases, in Joint Environments, and for the Reserve Components? For the Reserve Components, how are you promoting timely access to Sexual Assault Response Coordinators by members of the National Guard and Reserves? What are your recurring challenges in this area (if any) and how are you accommodating those challenges? **(DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan “Task List,” (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance and Advocacy, Objective 2.1, Task #5, p. 3)**
- How many Service member victims requested that a GO/FO review their separation action and how many Service members received the GO/FO review of their separation action? **(DoDIs 6495.02, 1332.14 and 1332.30)**
- How many Military Protective Orders were issued as a result of an Unrestricted Report (e.g., number issued, number violated) and what steps were taken to improve protections? **(DoDI 6495.02, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (July 7, 2015), Encl 5, para 7)**
- How many Service members who reported a sexual assault (if any) had their medical care hindered due to a lack of Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE) kits, timely access to appropriate laboratory testing resources, mental health counseling, or other resources? What actions did your Military Service take to remedy the situation? **(NDAA for FY 2006, section 596)**

#### **Overall Approach**

In conjunction with the Air Force Audit Agency’s 2016 Assessment of Air Force SAPR Operations, AF/A1Z evaluated program requirements for: personnel assignment and training; program awareness and response; and operations support and records maintenance. Collectively, successful mission accomplishment in these three areas, along with personal and professional commitment from SAPR personnel, is key to achieving the Victim Assistance and Advocacy Goal. As a result of the 2015 and 2016 Audit Reports, AF/A1Z implemented recommendations to: revise Air Force Instruction (AFI) 90-6001, *Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program*, to include and clearly define all program requirements for both permanent party and deployed locations; and establish standard repeatable internal controls, including communication of training and oversight requirements, to ensure SAPR Personnel, responders, Case Management Group members, commanders and returning deployers comply with SAPR operations program requirements. An update to AFI 90-6001 via Air Force Guidance Memorandum was approved at the end of FY17. The guidance memorandum included clarifying guidance on the following topics:

- higher headquarters staff assistance visits of MAJCOMs;
- requirements for losing and gaining installation Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) responsibilities in the event of Expedited Transfer;
- background investigation requirements for SARCs, SAPR Victim Advocates (VAs) and Volunteer VAs;



- appointment of Reserve SAPR Liaisons;
- commander requirements for submitting the Commander's Critical Information Requirement;
- sourcing for deployed SARCs; and
- tracking of SAPR annual training requirements for deployed/returning members.

AF/A1Z is also pursuing multiple avenues to advance accountability, increase reporting, and improve victim care. These initiatives include third party inspections and quality assurance; re-evaluating intimate and non-intimate partner advocacy roles; improving reporting and transfer processes; and increasing the competency of leaders at all levels to better understand and communicate about concepts such as the neurobiology of trauma. We will continue to evaluate emerging program requirements and solicit feedback from the field as AFI re-write initiatives continue through FY18.

Of note, AF/A1Z recognized the importance of MAJCOM SARCs in ensuring they and their subordinate installation personnel have appropriate resources and guidance to best provide advocacy and facilitate care. In FY17, AF/A1Z finalized the plan to conduct monthly MAJCOM Consultation Site Visits. The focus of these visits is to not only address improvement strategies for any deficiencies identified in the 2015 and 2016 Audit Reports, but to also address each MAJCOM's unique needs and challenges with respect to the three focus areas examined in the report (personnel assignment and training, program awareness and response, and operations support and records maintenance). MAJCOM SARCs with three years or less experience receive a five-day visit from the AF/A1Z SAPR Operations Branch Chief, while those with over three years of experience receive a three-day visit (with the option to extend to five).

### ***Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program Credential Oversight Process***

Through FY17, the Air Force has continued to closely monitor the credentialing process at the installation, MAJCOM and headquarters level. In addition to monthly internal auditing of credentialed SARCs, SAPR VAs, and Volunteer VAs, MAJCOMs are tasked to provide quarterly continuing education training reports to AF/A1Z to ensure credentialed personnel remain on time and on target with their bi-annual re-certification requirements. MAJCOM SARCs also maintain electronic or hard copies of their SARC and SAPR VA applications for Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program certification and a copy of the certificates, in accordance with program guidance.

AF/A1Z ensures Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program screening requirements are continuously met through engaging with the member's leadership, starting with credentialing endorsement and approval by the immediate supervisor up through the MAJCOM level. Further, when a member volunteers to fill a SAPR position, whether in a full-time position or as a part-time volunteer, unit commanders are required to

review and sign that they acknowledge what the screening requirements are and ensure that the individual they are endorsing meets those requirements. This unit commander endorsement is required as part of the application process before the member begins their certification training.

If at any point a SARC, SAPR VA or Volunteer VA does not meet screening requirements, the SARC works with the individual's unit commander to provide official documentation and notification to the member via memorandum for record, which is forwarded to the MAJCOM SARC and on to AF/A1Z. AF/A1Z then works with DoD points of contact to provide notification and processing to suspend or remove the member's credentials as indicated by the unit commander. Chapter 2 of AFI 90-6001 outlines screening requirements for SARCs, SAPR VAs, and Volunteer VAs and Chapter 10 outlines the Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program certification, suspension, revocation and reinstatement processes.

### ***Encouraging SARCs and SAPR VAs to Renew a Higher Level Certification***

While the criteria to obtain higher-level certification is established through the Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program, the Air Force remains invested in providing all SAPR personnel with the best possible training to prepare them for their expanding role as response coordinators and advocates. Mandatory AF/A1Z-hosted annual refresher training (in FY17 it was conducted in conjunction with the National Organization of Victim Advocates' August 2017 annual conference) and continued bi-weekly reminders for continuing education opportunities seek to bolster each advocate's skills in advocacy and victim care as they continue to accumulate the victim and support services hours. In addition, a portion of the upcoming HAF Consultation Site Visits at all MAJCOMs will include tips and recommendations for commands to create their own opportunities for volunteers to acquire the education and experience required to re-certify at a higher level. This may also include additional training and volunteer opportunities with civilian agencies to increase proficiency and earn the required hours for the higher level.

While there were no Air Force-wide challenges identified on this subject in FY17, an additional aim of the Consultation Site Visits with MAJCOMs will be to gather information on any command-specific challenges associated with obtaining continuing education credits or advanced training. This will help to inform strategies to mitigate any gaps in being able to efficiently meet this requirement.

### ***Regulation to Field Gap Analysis***

In FY17 the AF/A1Z Policy Branch and the AF/A1Z SAPR Operations Branch teamed to conduct a thorough review of how Air Force requirements aligned with DoD and by-law requirements, ensuring current policy and standard operating procedures appropriately reflected assigned roles and responsibilities. These efforts also aligned with Air Force initiatives to streamline AFIs and checklists. In addition, AF/A1Z examined program requirements and responsibilities through the aforementioned results of the 2015 and

2016 Audit Reports, which identified several deficiencies at the installation level. In accordance with recommended improvement areas, AF/A1Z moved to implement the MAJCOM Consultation Site Visits and AFI clarification through supplementary Air Force Guidance Memorandum.

### ***Strengthening the Integrated Network of Service Providers***

At the installation level, the primary mechanism for cross-functional participation and discussion on victim services and care is the Case Management Group. In accordance with AFI 90-6001, Chapter 8, the Case Management Group is chaired by the installation commander (delegated no further than the vice commander) and comprised of SARC, SAPR VAs, AFOSI or DoD law enforcement office with investigative authority, Mental Health Flight or designated medical representative, Chaplain, and Staff Judge Advocate. This group convenes monthly to review individual cases, direct system coordination as needed, assess victim access to quality services, address any retaliation issues, and assess installation sexual assault trends. A Victim Witness Assistance Program representative, Special Victim's Counsel, Volunteer VA, and the victim's commander are also present for unrestricted cases in which they are directly involved. If any higher headquarters guidance or coordination is required as a result of findings in the Case Management Group, assessments and requests are up-channeled through the MAJCOM SARC and on to AF/A1Z if required. In addition, the Air Force requires wing commanders to meet monthly with their installation IG (AFI 90-6001, Chapter 1) to brief on complaints received concerning alleged incidents of reprisal and/or restriction from a victim, witness, bystander, SARC and SAPR VA, responder or other parties to an alleged sexual assault or sexual harassment complaint. Together, these Air Force requirements work to strengthen victim services through an integrated network of care overseen directly by installation commanders.

At the headquarters level, FY17 restructuring included establishment of the Interpersonal and Self-Directed Violence Response Division, integrating expertise from the medical, legal, AFOSI and IG disciplines into one office, and improving coordination with the Air Force Surgeon General system. In addition, the MAJCOM Consultation Site Visits will also strongly encourage alliance with local civilian stakeholders, continuous identification of resources and evidence-informed strategies that improve victim support.

### ***Assessment of Victim Assistance and Advocacy Efforts***

In addition to the aforementioned review of Management Internal Control Toolset self-assessment communicators for installation commanders, installation SARCs, and unit commanders, AF/A1Z also utilizes the required 24-hr notification and Sexual Assault Incident Response Oversight reports to review and evaluate victim assistance and advocacy efforts. In these reports, leadership at all levels has visibility on what type of support was offered to victims and when. Each report, whether restricted or unrestricted, provides MAJCOM and AF/A1Z oversight if there are any questions or concerns with response services and timeliness.

An additional indicator used by AF/A1Z to assess effectiveness of victim assistance and advocacy efforts is comparison of prevalence data to reporting data. As sexual assault remains an underreported crime, prevalence continues to exceed reporting. The primary objective of the Air Force SAPR Program is to reduce prevalence and increase reporting. Comparing prevalence to reporting gives the Air Force an indication of Airmen's confidence in the SAPR program. A decrease in the gap between prevalence and reporting may indicate increased confidence in the system as a result of effective victim assistance and advocacy efforts.

Ongoing efforts are also made to increase Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database data accuracy and to work with partners (i.e. Judge Advocate, Military Criminal Investigative Organization) to provide timely, error free data.

### ***Procedures for suspending, revoking, and reinstating SARC and SAPR VA Certification***

Procedures for suspending, revoking and reinstating certification of SARCs and SAPR VAs are outlined in Chapter 10 of AFI 90-6001. Upon receipt of a complaint of alleged actions inconsistent with the Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program professional code of ethics and/or standards, the installation commander is responsible for conducting a timely and appropriate inquiry on all matters within the commander's purview. The installation commander will immediately notify the SARC or SAPR VA in writing that a complaint has been received, an inquiry has been started, and their authority to perform SARC or VA duties is hereby suspended until reinstatement by the responsible commander when the inquiry is completed. During this suspension the SARC or VA will not have access to victims, victim files or Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database until reinstatement.

If the installation or host wing commander determines, in consultation with a staff judge advocate, civilian personnel office, SARC (or in instances when it is the SARC, consult the MAJCOM SARC) and unit commander if there is compelling evidence to support the complaint, he/she will determine whether to suspend or revoke the Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program certification. The installation commander will notify the SARC or VA in writing when suspending or revoking a Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program certification and provide a copy of the notification to AF/A1Z. The installation commander will also provide a written report to the MAJCOM Vice Commander and AF/A1Z SAPR Operations Branch within 3 business days of concluding an inquiry with the decision to retain, suspend, or revoke and the findings to support the decision. Air Force policy also requires that this notification will include acknowledgement of receipt from the SARC or VA on the decision, as well as information on the SARC or VAs right to appeal the decision and the procedures to do so. Should the complaint prove unfounded, the installation commander will reinstate the SARC or VA's certification via a written re-issuance letter. AF/A1Z will notify DoD SAPRO of any suspension, revocation or reinstatement decision within 5 duty days.



In instances where a suspension or revocation of certification is due to administrative error, the installation commander (or civilian equivalent) must issue a reinstatement letter to the Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program administrator to reinstate the SARC or SAPR VA. A copy of the letter will be forwarded to the MAJCOM SARC who will provide the DD Form 2950 to the AF/A1Z SAPR Operations Branch within 3 business days. The AF/A1Z SAPR Operations Branch provides DoD SAPRO the copy within 5 business days of receipt and in turn DoD SAPRO provides the letter to the Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program administrator within 5 business days of receipt from AF/A1Z.

At the end of FY17 there were 3 suspensions pending resolution, 4 finalized revocations, and 0 reinstatements across the Air Force.

### ***Ensuring Male and Female Input in SAPR Policy Development***

In 2016, AF/A1Z established a panel consisting of survivors of sexual assault, with the goal of gleaning insights based on the panel members' first-hand experience and interaction with the SAPR program, related service providers, and their chain of command. Through quarterly engagements throughout FY17, AF/A1Z guided the panel members to transfer their experiences with response to initiatives in prevention. Discussions related to improving the interpersonal skills of leaders, training fatigue, empathy, and intervention have bolstered AF/A1Z leaderships' efforts to improve response and prevention efforts in order to reduce the incidence of, and better support victims of, sexual assault and other forms of violence. Panel members also provided feedback on the content and format of the strategic SAPR Infographic which communicates trends in sexual assault prevalence and reporting for the previous fiscal year.

The Management Internal Control Toolset is another avenue for garnering input from male and female personnel who interact with the SAPR program on a regular basis. Feedback related to training accountability, program oversight, and protocols for transferring cases during an expedited transfer were incorporated into AFI 90-6001 via an Air Force Guidance Memorandum.

### ***Improving the AF Response to Male Victims***

The Air Force SAPR Course, the foundational training for our SARCs and SAPR VAs, provides information and education on estimated prevalence rates and addresses barriers unique to male sexual assault victims. The male victimization module identifies distinctive social and cultural pressures and confronts misconceptions that impact male survivors. This module addresses myths surrounding male victims of sexual assault, discusses hyper-masculinity, rituals, hazing and traditions within the Air Force culture. Additionally, the module provides guidance on advocacy and outreach for male victims. This provides our advocates a better understanding of how to be responsive when male victims come forward to report. In addition, attendees are provided information on resources that target male survivors, to include Male Survivor, Safe4athletes, 1in6, MenThriving, and the DoD Safe Helpline. This year, during the required 2017 AF/A1Z-hosted Refresher training,

both SARCs and SAPR VAs received training on male victimization. SARCs received a training on “Understanding Men, Sexually Abused or Assaulted”, presented by Mr. Steve LePore with 1in6. SAPR VAs received a screening of the film “Boys and Men Healing” and participated in a facilitated discussion with the director Mr. Simon Weinberg. The training branch developed a specific training topic mandated for 2015 annual training on male victimization that was still available to be utilized as a training tool in FY17. Currently, the Air Force is working with DoD SAPRO on a working group to gain additional awareness, and will review and utilize evidence-informed and evidenced-based approaches that specifically target male victimization. The Air Force and its installations provide awareness of the SAPR Program that is gender responsive, culturally competent and recovery-oriented.

Ongoing efforts to improve response to male victims are a multi-faceted endeavor. In this pursuit the Air Force continues to collaborate with and learn from subject matter experts from across the country. Additionally, the Air Force uses surveys and a survivor’s panel (including both male and female victims) to gather feedback on its SAPR efforts, and institutionalize the solicitation of both male and female victim input.

During MAJCOM SARC Consultation Site Visits, discussion will also focus on the utilization of online training, local coalitions, identification of research and review of evidence informed resources for underserved populations. This would include male victims of sexual assault as well as victims who have experienced complex trauma.

### ***Improving Joint Environment and Reserve Component Victim Care Services***

In accordance with DoD Instruction (DoDI) 6495.02 (incorporating change 3): Members of the Reserve Components, whether they file a Restricted or Unrestricted Report, have access to medical treatment and counseling for injuries and illness incurred from a sexual assault inflicted upon a Service member when performing active duty service, as defined in section 101(d)(3) of Reference (d), and inactive duty training.

Regarding the Active Component, there are currently twelve Joint Bases across the DoD: Six are Air Force led (Joint Base Charleston, Joint Base McGuire-Dix-Lakehurst, Joint Base Andrews, Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson, Joint Base San Antonio, and Joint Base Langley-Eustis); four are Navy led (Joint Base Anacostia-Bolling, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, Joint Base Guam, and Joint Base Norfolk); and two are Army led (Joint Base Lewis-McChord and Joint Base Myer-Henderson Hall).

Joint Bases are similar to Air Force installations in the sense that Airmen at Joint Bases are trained to receive SAPR services and annual SAPR training from their respective Service. However, in accordance with DoD policy, a service member can receive SAPR services from any branch of service regardless of affiliation.

The Air Force provides victim care at Joint Bases, in Joint Environments, and at Reserve Component installations using a multi-faceted approach employing SARCs and SAPR

VAs. The SAPR staff, regardless of service affiliation, collaborate on prevention, outreach and training efforts at their installations.

Medical supplemental instructions are required at each Joint Base location. A medical supplemental instruction directs in detail the coordination and provision of services and care to medical beneficiaries at each Base Realignment and Closure Commission location. Additionally, the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs has oversight of the services and, therefore, the military treatment facilities on each installation. Medical support and response to victims of sexual assault include: emergency services, primary care services, mental health services, alcohol/drug abuse prevention and treatment services, and family advocacy program services. Over 55 Air Force military treatment facilities have memorandums of understanding with civilian centers of excellence for emergency services to ensure sexual assault victims are provided the highest quality care.

To facilitate victim care for the Reserve Component, the Air Force has a full-time civilian SARC and a dedicated reserve officer VA assigned to each of its 11 host installations (Dobbins Air Reserve Base, Grissom Air Reserve Base, Homestead Air Reserve Base, March Air Reserve Base, Minneapolis-St. Paul International Air Port Air Reserve Station, Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Fort Worth (formally known as Carswell), Niagara Falls International Air Port Air Reserve Station, Pittsburgh International Air Port Air Reserve Station, Pope Army Airfield, Westover Air Reserve Base, and Youngstown Air Reserve Station). At each of these locations, Air Force Reserve Command assigns a traditional reserve officer in the rank of Major to the installation SAPR office with the job title of SAPR VA.

The Reserve Component facilitates care for its Airmen by referring sexual assault victims to medical and mental health treatment centers. To expedite care and meet a victim's needs, Reserve victims are generally referred to the nearest medical treatment facility or Veterans Health Administration facility. Coordinating care with Veterans Affairs enables the Reserve Component to meet a victim's needs when they are geographically separated from a military treatment center.

Additionally in FY17, the Air National Guard completed a review of manpower resources and determined all full-time SARC positions would be Title 5 status. The Air National Guard discusses their progress in improving victim care services at Joint Bases and in Joint Environments in their annual report. Please reference the National Guard Bureau Submission for more detailed information.

### ***Service Member Requests for General Officer Review of Separation***

During FY17, the Air Force had zero service members request a General Officer or Flag officer review their administrative separation. Separation actions involving sexual assault victims are processed in accordance with AFI 36-3207, *Separating Commissioned Officers*; AFI 36-3208, *Administrative Separation of Airmen*; and AFI 36-3209, *Separation and Retirement Procedures for Air National Guard and Air Force Reserve Members*. When a commander recommends administrative or medical separation action and is

aware, or is made aware, by the respondent or others that the respondent is alleging or has alleged that he/she was a victim of sexual assault, the commander shall notify the separation authority that the discharge proceeding involves a sexual assault victim. Further, the Air Force requires commanders to include this information in the recommendation for discharge and that they must provide sufficient information to the separation authority concerning the alleged assault and the respondent's status to ensure a full and fair consideration of the circumstances. If an Air Force member who made an unrestricted report is recommended for involuntary separation within 1 year of final disposition of their sexual assault allegation, the member can request review of their administrative or involuntary separation by the first General Officer or Flag Officer in their chain of command.

### ***Military Protective Orders Issued as a Result of Unrestricted Report***

There were 105 Military Protective Orders issued in FY17 as a result of an unrestricted report, and 2 of them were violated. To improve protections and reinforce commanders' understanding of their options, AF/A1Z will include additional details in the Commander's Toolkit SAPR Talking Points regarding the option to issue No Contact Orders or Military Protective Orders, as well as the responsibility to notify law enforcement when a Military Protective Order is issued as a result of an unrestricted report.

### ***Medical Care Hindrance Due to Lack of Access to Services***

The Air Force has not received any indications that medical care has been hindered due to a lack of access to forensic exams, mental health counseling, laboratory testing, or other related resources. For victims of sexual assault who reported to a SARC and signed a DD Form 2910, there were no Sexual Assault Incident Response Oversight reports submitted to AF/A1Z that indicated the above resources were not available.

## **2.2 Briefly describe your leadership-approved future plans to deliver consistent and effective advocacy and care for all Service members or their adult dependents.**

AF/A1Z has and will continue to provide a trained and ready response to reports of sexual assault in accordance with National Defense Authorization Act and DoD requirements. Each installation hosts, at a minimum, one full-time SARC and a full-time SAPR VA who are credentialed to provide a variety of services to sexual assault victims and survivors. They provide victims/survivors with the capability to make a report using current reporting options, and to do this in the context of their understanding of the trauma they may have experienced. They assist and empower individuals to make an informed decision by providing knowledge about the process of reporting and resources available to them throughout the entire process. Detailed descriptions of the specific roles and responsibilities were updated in the approved Air Force Guidance Memorandum to AFI 90-6001, *Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program*.

Response efforts are focused in three areas: victim care and support, professional investigation of all reports, and prosecution of the crime as appropriate. SARCs and SAPR VAs offer both the expertise required for individuals who have been impacted by



this crime and essential support to commanders, as well as response and advocacy for victims/survivors. Installation SAPR programs offer a unique 24/7 response capability and utilize trained and certified Volunteer VAs to manage this capability. While the full-time positions are filled primarily by civilians, military personnel also support this capability, especially in Volunteer VA positions. Consistent and effective advocacy and support also requires a coordinated response with medical personnel, first responders, legal, investigative and community support agencies. In pursuit of the highest level of service to Airmen, every effort is made to provide continuing education, regular communication and guidance to the field, and on-going assessment of current programs, policies, and procedures regarding the care of victims/survivors.

Comprehensive interviewing techniques help to establish rapport with victims/survivors and aim to ensure that victims will not be judged or blamed for the assault when interacting with responders. These techniques are taught and demonstrated in formal training (i.e. the Air Force SARC and SAPR VA Course) through role playing and other types of experiential learning strategies. SAPR personnel are trained to utilize a victim-centered approach to taking reports and empowering victims/survivors to elect their follow-up care by providing them information which will help them make an informed decision. AF/A1Z is genuinely interested in increasing reporting and reducing prevalence of sexual assault. To that end, leadership remains committed to deploying strategies that help to eliminate sexual assault from all ranks. Sexual assault is a sensitive and complex issue which requires the engagement of the entire community, therefore all Airmen play a significant role in ensuring a culture and environment that guarantees everyone's personal safety.

### **3. Goal 3—Investigation—“Sustain a high level of competence in the investigation of adult sexual assault using investigative resources to yield timely results.”**

#### **3.1 Summarize your efforts to achieve the Investigation goal. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 3 – Investigation, p. 9)**

**In this strategic summary, consider the following for inclusion, especially when there have been significant changes to the following matters (there is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions if these processes have remained largely the same as in prior years):**

- Overall Approach: What force-wide initiatives did your Military Service employ this year to improve investigation of sexual assault cases? What enhancements have been made to your Military Services' Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Capability for MCIOs? (DoDI 5509.19, “Establishment of Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution (SVIP) Capability within the Military Criminal Investigative Organizations (MCIOs)”)**
- What continuing efforts are being made to increase collaboration and improve interoperability with civilian law enforcement to include sharing information on Civilian and Military Protective Orders and assuring receipt of civilian case**

dispositions? (DoDI 6495.02, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (July 7, 2015), Encl 4, para 3g)

**REQUIRED:**

- What metrics are being used to assess the effectiveness of investigation efforts intended to sustain a high level of competence in the investigation of adult sexual assault? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 3 – Investigation, p. 9)
- What updates have been made to the training of your Military Service MCIO and other DoD law enforcement activity (LEA) resources assigned to conduct an investigation of adult sexual assault? Describe efforts undertaken to provide training and guidance to all first responders to a sexual assault allegation, ensuring the preservation of evidence and witness testimony. (DoDI 6495.02, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (May 24, 2017), Encl 10, para 4p / DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan "Task List," (December 1, 2016), Goal 3 – Investigation, Objective 3.1, Task #1, p. 4 / DoDI 5505.18, "Investigation of Adult Sexual Assault in the Department of Defense," (March, 22 2017), para 3.3, p. 7)
- What efforts are being made to improve or address turnaround time for evidence sent to the Defense Forensic Science Center (e.g., processing of Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE) kits and other evidence)? (Joint Chiefs of Staff Strategic Direction to the Joint Force on SAPR (May 7, 2012), p. 11)

**Overall Approach**

AFOSI maintained their timely delivery of sexual assault investigations in FY17. The median time to conduct and publish reports of investigations in over 900 adult victim sexual assault cases remained at 75 days; however, the average time declined from 106 days in Calendar Year (CY) 2016 to 98 days in CY17. AFOSI is confident investigation timeliness will remain stable in CY18 as AFOSI plans to maintain its mission metric for timeliness throughout CY18.

AFOSI also maintained a robust case quality review process in FY17 although the process was modified to complement the new Air Force Inspection System process. Recently completed investigation reports continue to be randomly selected for quality reviews; however, the headquarters case review focus was adjusted to assess how well AFOSI intermediate headquarters staff were performing in their ability to identify quality concerns in investigations. The new review process has been very well received by AFOSI field units, resulting in a more collaborative, peer review-oriented process, and improved communications about investigation sufficiency and timeliness.

Air Force Security Forces leadership continued to provide investigator resources to augment AFOSI to conduct non-penetration sexual offenses cases. At the end of CY17, 31 Security Forces members were working jointly as Joint Sexual Assault Teams in AFOSI field offices.

AFOSI adjusted internal policy in CY17 after conferring with staff personnel from the Inspector General, DoD, and staff from the Air Force Special Victim Counsel Program. Under current policy, AFOSI may defer opening a substantive sexual assault investigation when: a victim has not, cannot, or will not, identify an alleged perpetrator; AFOSI has insufficient information with which to identify the alleged perpetrator; the alleged crime did not occur on an installation; and the victim communicates he or she does not want to participate in an investigation. The change in policy allows AFOSI and command to have awareness of sexual assault crimes and the ability to not pursue investigations where alleged perpetrators have not or cannot be identified. If a victim changes their mind, or additional information about a sexual assault becomes known, AFOSI may proceed with a substantive investigation. Allowing victims the time and space they need to determine if they want to participate in a substantive criminal investigation empowers victims to proceed, when and if, they become ready.

In an effort to further develop cross-disciplinary collaboration with personnel with the Air Force Special Victims' Counsel Program and Family Advocacy Program, senior AFOSI personnel made presentations at four Special Victims' Counsel training events, two held at the Air Force Judge Advocate General School, and two Family Advocacy Program-wide training events, focused on combating sexual assaults and domestic sexual violence. These events afforded an opportunity to foster better communication and collaborative procedures for jointly working sexual and domestic violence offenses in the Air Force.

AFOSI's continued emphasis on looking into the history of every individual accused of sexual assault in an attempt to identify other victims has continued to be productive. Multiple victims (serial activity) are identified in approximately 15 to 20 percent of cases.

Finally, in CY17, AFOSI completed filling all seven GS-14 senior criminal investigations subject matter expert positions - one position at each of its major intermediate headquarters - to provide enhanced consultation and operational oversight to sexual assault investigations. Each subject matter expert has over 20 years of criminal investigation experience; each also has advanced training in conducting sexual assault investigations.

### ***Improving Interoperability with Civilian Law Enforcement***

The Air Force Logistics, Engineering, and Force Protection (AF/A4) Security Forces Directorate updated an AFI detailing when and how military protective orders will be placed in the National Crime Information Center database. This update provides awareness of Military Protective Orders to civilian Law Enforcement agencies nationwide.

### ***Assessing the Effectiveness of Investigative Efforts***

In February 2017, the DoD IG published its report, Evaluation of Military Criminal Investigative Organizations' Adult Sexual Assault Investigations (Project No. 2016C003), DODIG-2017-054, citing DoD inspectors found no major deficiencies in any of the 159

randomly selected adult victim sexual assault investigations conducted by AFOSI in CYs 2013-15.

***Training for Military Criminal Investigative Organization and Law Enforcement Personnel***

In FY17, AFOSI held four iterations of its 64-hour long Sexual Crimes Investigations Training Program course at the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center in Georgia. In total, 120 AFOSI agents, Air Force Judge Advocate General officers and Security Forces members assigned to AFOSI-Security Forces Joint Sexual Assault Teams, received training in various advanced techniques and knowledge pertaining to memory formed under traumatic circumstances, counterintuitive victim behavior in sexual assaults, investigator cognitive biases, and the use of Cognitive Interviewing in investigations, etc. End of course attendee critiques remained very favorable with overall assessments being 4.5 on a five point scale.

***Turn-Around Time for Evidence Sent to the Defense Forensic Center***

AFOSI continued to receive high-quality forensic sciences laboratory support for sexual assault cases from the U.S. Army Criminal Investigations Laboratory. At the end of FY 17, the U.S. Army Criminal Investigations Laboratory’s turn-around-times for DNA evidence in AFOSI sexual assault cases was 68 days.

**3.2 Briefly describe your leadership-approved future plans to sustain a high level of competence in the investigation of adult sexual assault.**

AFOSI will sustain a high level of competence in the investigation of adult sexual assault through continued training and robust oversight of investigations. AFOSI recently conducted a comprehensive review of the curriculum for its Sexual Crimes Investigations Training Program Course. Revisions to the Sexual Crimes Investigations Training Program, which include additional training in domestic violence sexual assaults, will be integrated in the course starting in CY18. The Sexual Crimes Investigations Training Program will continue to be held five times per year; 150 Special Agents, Security Forces and Judge Advocates will continue to be trained in Sexual Crimes Investigations Training Program in CY18. In addition, Headquarters AFOSI quality case reviews will continue to be conducted on adult victims sexual assault cases. DoD IG has informed AFOSI that they will continue to review AFOSI's sexual assault cases through periodic assessments. AFOSI will also maintain its expectation to maintain a 75 day median investigation completion time for adult victim sexual assault cases; AFOSI will maintain monthly reporting of compliance with the 75-day metric.

**4. Goal 4—Accountability—“Maintain a high competence in holding alleged offenders appropriately accountable.”**

**4.1 Summarize your efforts to achieve the Accountability goal. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 4 – Accountability, p. 9)**



In this strategic summary, consider the following for inclusion, especially when there have been significant or force-wide changes to how your Military Service addresses the following matters (there is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions if these processes have remained largely the same as in prior years):

- Overall Approach: What force-wide initiatives did your Military Service employ this year to improve legal support to Service members and adult family members who reported a sexual assault? What enhancements have been made to the SAPR training provided to those who are affiliated with the Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Capability program (paralegals, trial counsel, and victim-witness assistance personnel) for responding to allegations of sexual assault? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan "Task List," (December 1, 2016), Goal 4 – Accountability, Objective 4.1, Task #1, p. 4)
- What are your efforts to ensure SARC, SAPR VA, MCIO, and commander knowledge of recent victim rights and military justice updates? (DoDI 6495.02, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (July 7, 2015), Encl 10, para 7)

**REQUIRED:**

- What metrics are being used to assess the effectiveness of holding alleged offenders appropriately accountable, to include your Military Service's metrics for measuring the success of the SVC/VLC program? (SecDef Memo (August 14, 2013), *Improving Victim Legal Support*, p. 1 / NDAA for FY 2016, section 532 / NDAA for FY 2013, section 573)
- Describe enhancements to the Special Victims' Counsel (SVC)/ Victims' Legal Counsel (VLC) program. Describe efforts to plan and fund for these programs in your POM process. (SecDef Memo (August 14, 2013), *Improving Victim Legal Support*, p. 1 / NDAA for FY 2016, section 532 / NDAA for FY 2013, section 573)
- (NGB) What efforts are being made to reassess the Office of Complex Administrative Investigation's (OCI) timeliness and resources to determine how to improve the timeliness of processing sexual assault investigations involving members of the Army National Guard, and identify the resources needed to improve the timeliness of these investigations? (GAO Report 17-217, *Better Resource Management Needed to Improve Prevention and Response in the Army National Guard and Army Reserve* (February 27, 2017), p. 2)
- Has your Military Service experienced any challenges in implementing 10 USC 1565b(b)(3) regarding states laws and confidential disclosures of a sexual assault. (NDAA for FY 2016, section 536 / DoDI 6495.02, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (May 24, 2017), para 4b(3))

**Overall Approach**

Judge advocates, paralegals, Victim and Witness Assistance Program personnel, and legal assistance attorneys must complete specialized SAPR First Responder training on an annual basis. This self-guided training covers a variety of topics including: restricted and unrestricted reporting options; the role of the SARC and VA; transitional

compensation; the Special Victims' Counsel program and victim's rights; and training on working with victims of trauma and sexual assault. This training is completed in accordance with the requirements of DoDI 6495.02, *Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program Procedures*, Enclosure 10, paragraph 7. Each year, the training is reviewed by functional experts and updated with changes in the law and an eye towards developing trends of interest to the legal community.

The Air Force's Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution capability is comprised of judge advocates and paralegals who have taken the First Responder training course. In addition to the general training provided to those noted above, all judge advocates with duties in military justice involving the investigation, disposition, prosecution, or defense of sexual assault cases must complete an additional training focused specifically on the mechanics of a sexual assault case and issues of interest during the investigation, charging, prosecution, and appellate stages. Senior Trial Counsel, who are the Air Force's most experienced prosecutors, received advanced training through various military and civilian courses. These trainings include: the National District Attorney's Association Sex Crimes Seminar; Prosecuting Alcohol Facilitated Sexual Assault, provided by the Naval Justice School; the National Sexual Assault Conference; and the National Crime Victims Law Institute Conference. Currently, all 23 Senior Trial Counsel have advanced training from civilian and military courses.

Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution capability is also enhanced by Senior Trial Counsel through various training courses, to include: Trial and Defense Advocacy Course; Military Justice Administration Course; Intermediate Sexual Assault Litigation Course; Advanced Sexual Assault Litigation Course; the Training by Reservists in Advocacy and Litigation Skills; and the Sex Crimes Investigation and Training Program. The teaching faculty for the Sexual Crimes Investigations Training Program is a multi-disciplinary group of people and includes: Senior Trial Counsel; the Air Force Judge Advocate General's Counsel attorney subject matter expert in the areas of sexual assault, domestic violence, and child abuse; the Associate Chief of Special Victims' Counsel; a sexual assault medical forensic examiner; Headquarters SAPR personnel; and Headquarters AFOSI personnel.

Furthermore, legal personnel, including those who are part of the Air Force's Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution capability, are kept informed of legislative changes to the military justice system, such as the FY17 National Defense Authorization Act, in numerous ways. The FY17 National Defense Authorization Act provided one of the most significant revisions to the military justice process in over 30 years. Following its passage, multiple in-person and virtual (webinar) training opportunities were provided covering the major changes effective 1 Jan 19; comprehensive training for all military justice practitioners on the changes contained in the FY17 National Defense Authorization Act will be provided throughout 2018. Many of the changes impact the investigation and trial process and the Air Force is monitoring these changes with a lens toward how they impact victims of crime.

### ***Ensuring Knowledge of Victim Rights and Military Justice Updates***

The Senior Officer Legal Orientation provides legal training that prepares wing, vice wing, and group commanders to exercise authorities assigned to them under the Uniform Code of Military Justice. This legal training includes instruction on military justice issues to include sexual assault cases and is held at the Air Force Judge Advocate General's School at Maxwell AFB in conjunction with the Air Force's Wing/Group Commander's Course. Students also receive training on victim rights, which is given by the Special Victims' Counsel Division Chief.

Special Victims' Counsel leadership provides training regarding victims' rights and the Special Victims' Counsel Division at the SARC/SAPR VA orientation courses provided at the Air Force Personnel Professional Development School six times a year. In 2017, a Senior Special Victims' Counsel provided an overview and update at the annual SARC/SAPR VA refresher training as well. The Special Victims' Counsel Associate Chief provides training at AFOSI's Sexual Crimes Investigations Training Program, which is offered five times a year. Regularly, Special Victims' Counsel in the field provide specialized training to trial counsel, paralegals and victim-witness assistance personnel, Military Criminal Investigative Organizations, and SARCs and SAPR VAs, ensuring that they receive updates about victims' rights. Special Victims' Counsel offer training to installation legal offices whenever the Special Victims' Counsel travels for litigation purposes. Special Victims' Counsel and Special Victims' Paralegals provide training at Commander's Calls, First Sergeant's meetings, and other installation level training in order to inform them of legal updates in victims' rights. When briefing legal updates regarding victims' rights, Special Victims' Counsel/Special Victims' Paralegals cover a variety of topics to include (but not limited to) identifying the opportunities that victims have to provide input to the government, availability of and changes in the law with respect to Article 6b representatives for incapacitated victims, and developments in appellate law.

### ***Assessing the Effectiveness of Holding Alleged Offenders Accountable***

Air Force Special Victims' Counsel leadership led the development of performance measures, outlined in the DoD General Counsel memorandum, dated April 15, 2016, (attached to this response) which comply with FY16 National Defense Authorization Act requirement. Subsequently, the Air Force Special Victims' Counsel Division Chief was named the Chairperson of the Inter-service Special Victims' Counsel/Victims' Legal Counsel Coordination Committee. The Inter-service Coordination Committee meets quarterly, and each Special Victims' Counsel/Victims' Legal Counsel Division provides periodic reports to the DoD General Counsel.

Special Victims' Counsel Division effectiveness is also tracked using victims' responses in the Air Force Victim Impact Survey. Survey responses received in FY17 reflected the following:

- 95% of respondents were satisfied with the advice and support the Special Victims' Counsel provided during the Article 32 preliminary hearing and/or court-martial

proceedings (90% of respondents were "extremely satisfied" with 5% respondents indicating that they were "extremely dissatisfied" or "dissatisfied");

- 99% would recommend other victims request a Special Victims' Counsel;
- 94% indicated that their Special Victims' Counsel advocated effectively on their behalf (6% of respondents "strongly disagreed" with this statement); and
- 94% indicated that their Special Victims' Counsel helped them understand the investigation and court-martial processes (6% respondents "strongly disagreed" with this statement).

### ***Enhancements to the Special Victims' Counsel/ Victims' Legal Counsel Program***

The Air Force has made enhancements to the Special Victims' Counsel Division through new training blocks, sustained manpower, and enhanced organizational structure.

Incoming Special Victims' Counsel Division personnel completed the May 2017 Special Victims' Counsel Course at Air Force Judge Advocate General's School. Two incoming Senior Special Victims' Counsel, 25 incoming Special Victims' Counsel, and 17 incoming Special Victims' Paralegals received 56 blocks of instruction regarding representation of adult and child victims of sexual assault, along with the incoming Division Chief and Deputy Division Chief. New blocks of instruction this year included those focused on: unique experiences of male survivors; retaliation and ostracism; and representation of DoD civilians. All attendees participated in three role-playing exercises in which they received feedback from Special Victims' Counsel leadership and faculty from Air Force Judge Advocate General's School. The exercises included adult and child intake meetings and a mock motion hearing. All attendees heard from several Special Victims' Counsel clients in four different sessions featuring adult sexual assault survivors.

The Air Force has continued to ensure the Special Victims' Counsel Division is adequately manned to operate 48 Special Victims' Counsel offices world-wide. As of October 2017, all but one Special Victims' Counsel and all but three Special Victims' Paralegals positions have been filled. This increase in personnel has decreased the overall average case load of each Special Victims' Counsel from approximately 30 cases per Special Victims' Counsel to approximately 20 cases, thereby improving the quality of services to each individual client.

Consistent with the Air Force Judiciary, the Air Force Special Victims' Counsel Division is structured into five Circuits: Pacific, European, Western, Central, and Eastern. The growing number of Special Victims' Counsel and Special Victims' Paralegals personnel has driven an organizational change to include the creation of the Special Victims' Counsel Division's first Circuit Paralegal Manager Position. This position provides critical operations oversight within the Special Victims' Counsel Circuit structure and will aid in recruitment of top-tier paralegals to the Special Victims' Counsel Division. Further, the

position provides professional development and upward mobility opportunities for those paralegals already in the Division.

In the past fiscal year, the Air Force continued to fund development and refinement of a client management system for Special Victims' Counsels. This system will allow Division leadership to better assess Special Victims' Counsel performance and workload as well as program efficacy. The Air Force also provided significant funding for Special Victims' Counsel travel in support of litigation and outreach efforts. Special Victims' Counsels regularly travel to meet with their clients in order to develop and maintain effective representation. Special Victims' Counsels travel to Article 32 preliminary hearings, pre-trial motions hearings, and courts-martial (both finding and sentencing proceedings). Additionally, equipment, furniture, and office renovations were purchased throughout the fiscal year to establish and update Special Victims' Counsel office space.

### ***Challenges Implementing 10 USC 1565(b)3***

The Air Force has not received any reports of challenges related to forced disclosures of personally identifiable information required by state law or regulation. Installations should establish Memorandums of Agreement or Understanding with local area private or public sector entities to ensure a clear understanding of expectations regarding military-affiliated victims of sexual assault who seek medical care outside of a Military Treatment Facility.

#### **4.2 Briefly describe your leadership-approved future plans to maintain a high competence in holding alleged offenders appropriately accountable.**

Leadership continues to aggressively seek and provide training to all Senior Trial Counsel on advanced litigation practice for sexual assault crimes. These trainings include those conducted by military and civilian agencies. In addition to receiving training, all Senior Trial Counsel are required to conduct training for Assistant Staff Judge Advocates and paralegals at the various Wing legal offices they visit for trial. The Senior Trial Counsel also provide training through the various courses offered at Air Force Judge Advocate General's School (see 4.1 above).

### **5. Goal 5—Assessment—“Effectively measure, analyze, assess, and report SAPR Program progress to improve effectiveness.”**

#### **5.1 Summarize your efforts to achieve the Assessment goal. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 5 – Assessment, p. 10)**

**In this strategic summary, consider the following for inclusion, especially when there have been significant or force-wide changes to how your Military Service addresses the following matters (there is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions if these processes have remained largely the same as in prior years):**

**- Overall Approach: What force-wide initiatives did your Military Service employ to ensure the quality, reliability, and validity of data collected in the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID)? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and**

**Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 5 – Assessment, Objective 5.1, p. 10 / DoDI 6495.02, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (May 24, 2017), Encl 2, para 6ad)**

**- What transition policies are in place for incoming personnel to ensure Service member sponsorship and unit integration into a chain of command? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan “Task List,” (December 1, 2016), Goal 5 – Assessment, Objective 5.1, Task 8, p. 4)**

**REQUIRED:**

**- What are your efforts to enhance SAPR Program oversight activities, to include the use of recent surveys (e.g., WGRA and MIJES) and insights from the Government Accountability Office, advisory committees, internal inspections, and feedback from enlisted and officer trainees to improve your programs and services? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021, (December 1, 2016), Objective 5.2, p. 10 / DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan “Task List,” (December 1, 2016), Goal 5 – Assessment, Objective 5.1, Tasks #2 & #6, p. 4)**

**- What policy and procedures are in place to fulfill the 50-year retention of DD Form 2910 (Victim Reporting Preference Statement) and DD Form 2911 (DoD Sexual Assault Forensic Examination Report) regardless of whether the Service member filed a Restricted or Unrestricted Report? (NDAA for FY 2014, section 1723 / DoDI 6495.02, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (May 24, 2017), para 4u)**

**- What policy and procedures are in place to implement minimum qualification standards to be selected, trained, and certified as a SAPR Program Manager? (NDAA for FY 2014, section 1725 / Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness Memorandum, “Certification Standards for Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program Managers,” (March 10, 2015))**

***Overall Approach***

In FY17, AF/A1Z included a 60-min training block on the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database during the AF annual refresher training. In addition, AF/A1Z provides one-on-one training assistance and/or Defense Connect Online Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database training sessions for SARCs and SAPR VAs as requested.

Through FY17, AF/A1Z also continued its monthly reporting to MAJCOMs highlighting Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database errors for monitoring and quality control. Further, AF/A1Z utilizes the SAS Institute Inc. analytic tool to find required data missing from Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database records as needed when the MAJCOM or installation SARCs and SAPR VAs are unable to retrieve the data at their level. Any trends or updates on Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database data input are discussed with the field on a monthly basis during AF/A1Z General Officer led MAJCOM SARC teleconferences, or ad hoc as needed.

Finally, AF/A1Z submitted a request to DoD SAPRO for a permanent exception to policy to grant SAPR VAs Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database access on a case by case basis. This initiative, when tested in FY16 and through early FY17, assisted in decreasing the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database error rate by 23%. The Air Force request for a permanent exception to policy was granted by the Undersecretary of Defense for Personnel Readiness at the end of FY17. With the ability for SAPR VAs to assist SARCs in entering Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database data, the Air Force anticipates continued improvement in quality, reliability and validity of sexual assault response data input.

### ***Sponsorship Policies***

Ensuring survivors of sexual assault have a positive sponsorship experience is a commander's responsibility. While there is no longer a generalized AF-level sponsorship requirement, commanders are advised at various times that they must ensure a smooth integration process for personnel entering their unit, and the Air Force is beta testing a new sponsorship strategy at four installations to ensure all transitioning Airmen embrace a general culture supportive of help-seeking (through an initiative titled Task Force True North). Venues such as Squadron Commander Courses and one-on-one sessions with the installation SARC are also used to advise commanders regarding sponsorship/integration. Additionally, SARCs are trained and encouraged to include sponsorship/integration as a discussion topic during commander one-on-one sessions.

### ***Enhancing SAPR Program Oversight***

As in previous years, AF/A1Z thoroughly reviewed inputs from existing surveys to include the 2016 Military Investigation and Justice Experience Survey, 2016 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members, and the 2016 Survivor Experience Panel to gather feedback and recommended changes for current Air Force prevention and response training and policy. For instance, all three sources reinforced an overall improved and positive perception of SAPR and Special Victims' Counsel advocacy services. However, these sources also highlighted a significant dissatisfaction with individual commanders or members of their chain of command. Specifically, perceived incidents of professional reprisal, ostracism and maltreatment significantly influenced victim overall satisfaction with the response process.

With these factors in mind, AF/A1Z ensured inclusion of retaliation in the CY17 Commander's Talking Points. In total, the 12 SAPR response-specific Talking Points, supplemented by prevention-focused Green Dot training, comprise the AF annual SAPR training requirement for the total force. As suggested through feedback obtained from the aforementioned sources, the retaliation discussion includes information on resources available to report retaliation as a victim, clarify the definition of retaliation for all Airmen, and tips on how leaders at all levels can ensure that they are not inadvertently retaliating against a victim of sexual assault. Further, the Air Force includes policy that the Case Management Group chair will require that any complaints received from a victim concerning coercion, retaliation, ostracism, maltreatment, or reprisal be included on the



agenda and remain on the agenda until the victim's case has reached final disposition or the complaint has been appropriately addressed according to the Case Management Group chair.

In addition to this direct implementation of feedback in future iterations of training and policy, as previously mentioned, the 2015 and 2016 Audit Reports also influenced a direct update of AFI 90-6001 and implementation of the oversight mechanism via Consultation Site Visits with MAJCOMs. These visits include review and documentation for each command on the following focus areas: Program Oversight and Compliance, Manpower, Policy Clarification, Education and Training, Communication, Budget, Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database Data Management, Monthly and Quarterly Reports/Notifications, Support to Geographically Separated Units, Program Documentation, File Plans, and Professional Development.

### ***50 Year Retention Policy***

AFI 90-6001 provides guidance on 50 year document retention for the DD Form 2910 and DD Form 2911 in both the case of unrestricted and restricted reports. Procedurally, SARCs retain the DD Form 2910 in the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database for unrestricted reports, and in accordance with DoD guidance for the storage of personally identifiable information for restricted reports. The DD Form 2911 is maintained by the applicable medical facility under a double-lock mechanism to meet the 50 year retention requirement.

### ***SAPR Program Manager Qualification Policies and Procedures***

AFI 90-6001 provides guidance on the minimum qualifications to become a SAPR Program Manager. Procedurally, all SARCs (Program Managers) must complete the Air Force SARC Course, Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program certification, and all screening criteria as described in paragraph 2.2 of AFI 90-6001.

## **5.2 Describe your leadership-approved future plans for effectively measuring, analyzing, assessing, and reporting SAPR program progress to improve effectiveness.**

The 2018 leadership-approved goal for an effective SAPR Program is an increase in reporting. Objectives to support this goal are streamlined reporting processes, improved training for supervisors in processes/resources to support victim care, and improvements in victim assessments of the response system. The metric for increased reporting will be the ratio between reports and prevalence, with a specific focus on reporting within 12 months of assault. Program objective assessment will be measured by: improved collaboration among response agencies; improvements in the Expedited Transfer process; and earlier engagement with Third Party Reporting. Additionally, focus groups and training evaluations will be used to measure effectiveness of supervisor training post-curriculum development. The key to supervisor training is to incorporate skills and knowledge transfer into existing core duty tasks without development of stand-alone training requirements.

## 6. Core Functions: Communication and Policy

6.1 Provide a brief summary for new or expanded efforts taken in FY17 on the following:

- How does your Military Service publicize the sexual assault restricted and unrestricted reporting options to your Service members and adult dependents? (DoDI 6495.02, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (May 24, 2017), Encl 4)
- How are commanders being held accountable for the climate of their units? What actions (both positive and negative) are taken by senior commanders to document the subordinate commander’s success or failure in establishing and maintaining a supportive command climate? (SecDef Memo (May 6, 2013), *Enhancing Commander Accountability*, p. 2)

### REQUIRED:

- How does your Military Service publicize to your Service members the different individuals and organizations available (i.e., SARCs, VAs, SVC/VLCs, command, IG, MCIO, law enforcement, etc.) to assist them in addressing sexual assault-related retaliation? (DoD Retaliation Prevention and Response Implementation Plan (January 2017), p. 11)
- How does your Military Service disseminate information to first responders, uniformed witnesses, and bystanders on the protections available to them if they are retaliated against for providing assistance to sexual assault victims? (DoD Retaliation Prevention and Response Implementation Plan (January 2017), p. 11)

### *Publicizing Reporting Options*

The Air Force is committed to ensuring all sexual assault victims are protected, treated with dignity and respect, and provided support, advocacy, and care. In 2015, the Air Force was granted an exception to policy from the DoD to expand SAPR services to AF Civilian employees, allowing them to make an unrestricted or restricted report. All newly assigned SARCs and SAPR VAs attend the AF/A1Z-hosted course taught at Air University where they receive detailed training on restricted and unrestricted reporting in a classroom setting - who is eligible, the differences between the two reports, forms needed, etc. Lessons are interactive presentations; student involvement and participation heightens the learning experience. Once graduates return to their respective installations, they are responsible for providing training to their base population on the various programs available to victims of sexual assault, as well as to ensure clear and accurate information is available for reporting a sexual assault, both unrestricted and restricted. They facilitate education of command personnel (e.g. Commanders, Chief Master Sergeants, and senior civilians) on victim advocacy services as well as military dependents over 18 years old. They also facilitate the development of SAPR public awareness campaigns and collaborate with other agencies and activities, both on and off the installation. In addition, AF/A1Z developed SAPR Talking Points for commanders to communicate with their

Airmen on various sexual assault related topics. One titled "SAPR Reporting Options" provides information on restricted and unrestricted reporting and the differences with each. Airmen have an opportunity to ask questions as well as to visit their installation SARC for additional information.

### ***Holding Commanders Accountable for Unit Climate***

AFI 36-2406, *Officer and Enlisted Evaluations Systems* outlines the commander's responsibility to maintain a healthy climate and how senior commanders/evaluators must take this into account when evaluating both the success or failure in establishing and maintaining a supportive command climate.

Paragraph 1.8.5.2. reads: Commanders at every level have an even greater responsibility to create a healthy climate in their command. Additionally, they are responsible for ensuring adherence to SAPR Program directives. Command climate, just like organizational climate, is the perception of a unit's environment by its members. Commanders are ultimately responsible for the good order and discipline in their unit and have a unique responsibility and authority to ensure good order and discipline. Therefore, evaluators must take this special responsibility and authority into consideration when evaluating a commander's effectiveness in ensuring a healthy command climate.

### ***Publicizing Information on Support Services***

At the installation level, SAPR Programs are required to establish and publicize installation support services including office contact numbers, 24/7 response line, DoD Safe Helpline, and installation-specific SAPR websites. Common methods of distribution include flyers, Wingman/business cards, pamphlets, base paper articles and social media sites. In addition, installations are also required to review and provide to MAJCOM SARCs on a quarterly basis a current listing of local responder and support agency contact information (to include on-base and off-base first responders, Special Victims' Counsel/Victims' Legal Counsel, IG, and Military Criminal Investigative Organization) for publication on the DoD Safe Helpline.

Advertisement and awareness of sexual assault-related retaliation resources is also achieved through in-person mandatory training and supplemental briefings/events, led by installation SARCs and SAPR VAs. These contact opportunities include (though are not limited to) Newcomer's Orientation, Key Spouse briefings, First Term Airmen Course, Health and Wellness Fairs, Wingman Day events, Sexual Assault Awareness and Prevention Month activities, Commander's Calls, and Officer/Enlisted Professional Military Education courses.

As previously mentioned, retaliation is also included in the 2017 Commander's Talking Points. In addition to covering general strategies, this lesson also includes local contact information for members to reference as needed. Talking Points were mandatory training for Airmen in 2016 and 2017.

In addition to the myriad of briefings Special Victims' Counsels and Special Victims' Paralegals provide regularly (for example, first-term Airman courses, newcomer orientations, squadron commanders courses, commanders calls, and similar events), Special Victims' Counsel and Special Victims' Paralegals posters are displayed at every base. Phone numbers are made available as well to SARCs and SAPR VAs, Family Advocacy Program personnel, and AFOSI agents. Special Victims' Counsel offices also produce handouts and flyers that are distributed around installations.

### ***Disseminating Information on Retaliation Protections***

In addition to the publication procedures highlighted above, Air Force annual training to first responders also specifically addresses retaliation information and resources. This includes information on briefing uniformed witnesses and bystanders by responders if required. Further, trends or concerns on retaliation are discussed as a part of every Case Management Group in accordance with AFI 90-6001, Chapter 8. Responder points of contact present in the Case Management Group are encouraged to disseminate applicable information to their units. Additionally, Community Action Teams at all levels will look at trends on retaliation associated with providing assistance to sexual assault victims for awareness and action as applicable. As always, the installation IG office is provided as a reference for any questions or concerns related to retaliation.

**7. NDAA Requirements - Provide your Military Service's status on the following NDAA for FY 2017 requirements. If the provision has been implemented, indicate "Completed," provide the implementation date, and a short explanation (150 words or less) of the action taken. If the provision has not been implemented, indicate "In Progress," provide the projected completion date, and a short update (150 words or less) of the current status. All are required.**

**7.1 Discharge review board (Board of Correction of Military Records (BCMR)) guidance on claims asserting post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury in connection with combat or sexual trauma as a basis for review of discharge.**

**Additionally, describe BCMR procedures for the following requirements:**

- How does a former Service member present medical evidence from the Secretary of Veterans Affairs or civilian health care provider to the BCMR, and how does the BCMR review and use that evidence?**
- Method of presentation: Is the review conducted in person with the former Service member, by file review, or both? If not in-person, does the former Service member have the option to request an in-person meeting or to be represented by counsel?**
- What steps are taken to review (with liberal consideration to the former Service member) how post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury potentially contributed to the circumstances resulting in a discharge of a lesser characterization?**

**(NDAA for FY 2017, section 535)**

Completed (18 Sep 17). The update to AFI 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR)*, published 18 Sep 17, includes the required guidance. All

cases where the request for relief relates to mental health conditions (including but not limited to Post Traumatic Stress Disorder, Traumatic Brain Injury, and/or sexual trauma) are referred to a staff psychiatrist or a clinical psychologist for a written advisory opinion, which is rendered upon the applicant for review and comment before the case is referred to the Board for deliberation. This provides the applicant an opportunity to provide additional documentary evidence in support of their request for relief in response to the advisory opinion.

Completed (standard procedure pre-National Defense Authorization Act). Applicants completely fill out, sign and submit a DD Form 149 (*Application for Correction of Military Record Under the Provisions of Title 10, U.S. Code, Section 1552*) along with any briefs in support of their application to include copies of medical records from the Secretary of Veterans Affairs or civilian health care providers to SAF/MRBR, 550-C Street West, Suite 40, Randolph AFB, TX 78150-4742. Digitally signed or wet signed completed DD forms 149 can also be submitted via email to: [usaf.pentagon.saf-mr.mbx.saf-mrbc@mail.mil](mailto:usaf.pentagon.saf-mr.mbx.saf-mrbc@mail.mil). The Board panel members review all materials submitted by the applicant, including copies of medical records, before deliberation.

Completed (standard procedure pre-National Defense Authorization Act). The standard practice for case presentation is by file review, however all applicants may request a hearing before the Board. Whether or not the Board authorizes a formal hearing is predicated on its finding that the applicant's presence, with or without counsel, would materially add to its understanding of the issues involved. Applicants may obtain legal counsel at their own expense or accredited representatives of veterans' service organizations who may submit and receive documents on the applicant's behalf. The robust nature of the documentary review, coupled with the applicant's guaranteed right to comment on all material presented to the Board, greatly reduce the need for in-person hearings. The Board has the sole discretion to determine whether to grant a hearing.

Completed (18 Sep 17). AFI 36-2603 was re-issued on 18 Sep 17; it codified ad hoc guidance that the Board had implemented as soon as the law changed. Board for Correction of Military Records Board and staff members have been trained on Liberal Consideration and on Mental Health and Sexual Assault issues by Air Force Legal Counsel and Medical professionals respectively. All cases where the request for relief relates to mental health conditions (including but not limited to Post Traumatic Stress Disorder, Traumatic Brain Injury and/or sexual trauma) are referred to a staff psychiatrist or a clinical psychologist for a written advisory opinion, which is rendered upon the applicant for review and comment before the case is referred to the Board for deliberation.

## **7.2 Professional military justice career development for judge advocates.**

**Additionally, provide comments on the following:**

- What metrics are used to assess your Military Service Pilot Program?**
- Did your Military Service develop a system for "military justice experience designators" or "skill identifiers?" (section 542(b))**

**- Does your Pilot Program assess “other matters related to professional military justice development?” If so, please describe. (section 542(c)(2)) (NDAA for FY 2017, section 542)**

In-progress (projected to be completed by December, 2020). The Air Force uses the metrics of growth, retention, training, experience and ability to assess its Military Service Pilot Program. The Military Justice Experience Tracker was implemented on 18 October 2017 and is being applied to all current Air Force Judge Advocate General’s Counsel members; its projected completion date is July, 2018. The Air Force Judge Advocate General Corps is also examining the impact that other mission demands, including the Office of Military Commissions, have on the deliberate professional development process implemented as part of the Pilot Program and projects that the assessment will be completed in December, 2020.

**7.3 Specialized training for Military Service Inspector General and other personnel who investigate claims of retaliation associated with sexual harassment and sexual assault reports.**

**Provide brief comments on the following:**

- What training do your Military Service Inspector General personnel and other personnel who investigate claims of retaliation receive on the nature and consequences of retaliation for both sexual harassment and sexual assault? Briefly describe the training addressing the “nature and consequences of sexual assault trauma.**
- Which personnel in your Military Service receive this training and how is it conducted?**
- Who does the intake of the retaliation complaint/allegation for sexual harassment? for sexual assault?**
- Who investigates the complaint/allegation of retaliation for sexual harassment? for sexual assault?**

**(NDAA for FY 2017, section 546)**

Completed (26 Oct 16). The Secretary of the Air Force Inspector General (SAF/IG) provides all AF IGs with specialized initial training on investigating all allegations of reprisal against service members. The 2017 NDAA, Sec. 546 requires “The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the personnel of the Department of Defense specified in subsection (b) who investigate claims of retaliation receive training on the nature and consequences of retaliation, and, in cases involving reports of sexual assault, the nature and consequences of sexual assault trauma. The training shall include such elements as the Secretary shall specify for purposes of this section.”

DoDI 6495.02, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures, implements Sec 546 of the 2017 NDAA. Enclosure 2 paragraph 5.a. requires the IG DoD to establish guidance and provide oversight. The guidance is clear for Military Criminal Investigative Organizations investigating sexual assault cases, however, specialized training for investigators of sexual assault related retaliation is lacking.

SAF/IGQ has developed and conducts specialized training for the intake and processing of complaints of sexual assault related retaliation for IGs at all levels of command, from the installation/wing, up through the MAJCOM and HAF level. This includes the unique handling of complaints that are related to either a previous restricted or unrestricted report of sexual assault, including the impact of going forward with an IG investigation of a restricted report of sexual assault. Training includes explaining options to complaints who allege sexual assault and retaliation but have not yet made either a restricted or unrestricted report of sexual assault, and maintaining confidentiality of complainants who choose not to go forward with any complaint.

Additionally, SAF/IGQ conducts refresher training at its annual Worldwide IG Training conference, reinforcing the concepts presented in the initial retaliation training.

SAF/IGQ has developed and conducts specialized training for the intake and processing of complaints of sexual assault related retaliation for IGs assigned at all levels of command, from the installation/wing, up through the MAJCOM and HAF level.

IGs trained in the Air Force Complaint Resolution Program, who are assigned at all levels of command, from the installation/wing, up through the MAJCOM and HAF level, are trained to conduct intake of allegations alleging reprisal due to reporting of sexual assault or sexual harassment.

At this time the DoD IG is conducting all investigations of reprisal due to report of sexual assault.

An August 2016 DoD mandated data call initiated collection of information on retaliation allegations associated with sexual assault and harassment reporting involving service members. This data call builds on the 2015 Retaliation Memo that requires SARC to discuss retaliation with victims as well as collect retaliation related data for review during installation Case Management Group meetings. This memo also establishes additional reporting requirements for SARCs regarding any retaliation victims may be experiencing. Reporting an assault may create unique challenges which can be disruptive to the office climate. Personnel may feel compelled to "take sides" and this may manifest in a number of different ways, including ostracism. The AF SAPR Program has already incorporated the subject of retaliation and ostracism into our first line supervisor training. This training educates first line supervisors on preventing and responding to incidents of retaliation at their level and resources available to victims. Installation commanders are responsible for developing supplemental guidance to prevent unlawful discrimination, sexual harassment, and reprisal. Commanders must post the guidance prominently on base web pages and in locations frequented by the base population. Our overarching SAPR Strategy goal is to realize an Air Force free from sexual assault; but, as long as there is one victim, we will not lose sight of our responsibility to care for that victim, to seek appropriate justice, and to appropriately address any retaliation that results from reporting a sexual assault or helping a sexual assault victim.



#### **7.4 Notification to complainants of the resolution of investigations into retaliation.**

**Additionally, provide your Military Service policy or practice on the following:**

- Who notifies the sexual harassment complainant of the resolution of a retaliation investigation? What is the timeframe between resolution and notification to the complainant? Identify the Military Service authority directing the action.**
- Who notifies the victim of retaliation relating to a sexual assault of the resolution of a retaliation investigation? What is the timeframe between resolution and notification to the retaliation victim? Identify the Military Service authority directing the action.**
- In instances of retaliation relating to sexual assault, is the retaliation allegation reported to the SAPR Case Management Group? If so, are these retaliation allegations tracked until resolution?**

**(NDAA for FY 2017, section 547)**

Complete (21 May 2015). AFI 90-6001 provides guidance on retaliation as a result of a report of sexual assault. When a victim informs either the IG or the SARC that they have experienced retaliation as a result of making a report of sexual assault, the allegation is tracked by the SAPR Case Management Group (documented in Case Management Group notes) until resolution.

The Commander notifies a complainant of the resolution of a retaliation investigation. The Air Force Office of Equal Opportunity assists the commander in conducting a Commander Directed Investigation resulting from an allegation of retaliation due to sexual harassment or assault.

### **8. Analytics Discussion**

**8.1 Military Services/NGB\*: provide an analytic discussion (1,000 words or less) of your Statistical Report of reported sexual assault cases from the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID). Required elements included on this template are information on Unrestricted Reports; Restricted Reports; service referrals for victims alleging sexual assault; and case synopses of completed investigations.**

**\*NGB should provide comments based on its available information and data.**

**This section must briefly address each of the following:**

- Notable changes in the data over time**
- Insight or suspected reasons for noted changes, or lack of change, in data**
- The application of insights from data analyses for programmatic planning, oversight, and/or research**
- Total number of Sexual Assaults (Restricted Reports and Unrestricted Reports) over time (since FY 2008) (Metric #12)**
- The number of sexual assault investigations completed by the MCIO in the FY and the corresponding mean and median investigation length. Case open date can be in any year, but the close date must be by the end of the FY (Metric # 5)**
- The number of subjects with victims who declined to participate in the military justice process (Metric #8)**

- **Command action for military subjects under DoD legal authority (to be captured using the most serious crime investigated, comparing penetration to contact crimes) (Non-Metric #1)**
- **Sexual assault court-martial outcomes (to be captured using the most serious crime charged, comparing penetration to contact crimes) (Non- Metric #2)**
- **Summary of referral data – Unrestricted and Restricted Reports - either referrals received from other sources or referrals made to other sources (e.g., medical/mental health, command, criminal investigation/security services, legal, civilian or VA authorities, etc.)**
- **Any other information relating to sexual assault case data**

### **8.1. Analytic Discussion Background**

**Sexual Assault Definition:** The DoD and Air Force SAPR programs use the term “sexual assault” to refer to the range of crimes in military law that constitute contact sexual offenses between adults. These crimes include the specific offenses of rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy (forced oral or anal sex), or attempts to commit these offenses, as defined in as defined in Articles 80, 120, and 125 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ).

**Sexual Assault Reporting Options:** Under the DoD's SAPR Policy, Service members and their adult military dependents who are victims of sexual assault have two reporting options - Restricted Reporting and Unrestricted Reporting.

Filing a Restricted Report allows a sexual assault victim to access medical care, mental health care, advocacy services, and legal advice without initiating a criminal investigation or notifying command. In order to use the Restricted Reporting option, sexual assault victims are required to report the crime to a SARC, SAPR VA, or healthcare personnel.

Filing an Unrestricted Report allows a sexual assault victim to access the same care and services as those filing a Restricted Report, but Unrestricted Reports are also referred for investigation to a Military Criminal Investigative Organization and the command is notified of the incident. According to DoD policy, all Unrestricted Reports must be referred for investigation by a Military Criminal Investigative Organization.

Victims who initially make a Restricted Report may later convert it to an Unrestricted Report in order to initiate an investigation. Once a victim files an Unrestricted Report or chooses to convert their previously-filed Restricted Report to an Unrestricted Report, it is not possible to convert it to a Restricted Report.

**The Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database:** Since the beginning of FY14, there has been the DoD’s authoritative, centralized case-level database used to collect and maintain information on sexual assaults involving members of the Armed Forces. The DoD SAPR Office operates the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database and works collaboratively with the Services to implement and sustain the system. DoD SAPRO developed the database to meet requirements set forth in the FY09 National Defense Authorization Act.

The DoD SAPRO and the Services use the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database to conduct oversight, inform Department and Service-level SAPR program planning and analysis, and meet Congressional reporting requirements. SARCs use the database to provide comprehensive and standardized victim case management. SARCs are required to enter information about the victim and incident for each report of sexual assault into the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database. Additionally, for Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault, the database interfaces with Military Criminal Investigative Organization information systems, which “push” additional information about subjects and offense-specific information into the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database. Military Criminal Investigative Organization information systems remain the system of record for all Unrestricted Reports they investigate. Service-appointed legal officers also enter and validate subject case disposition information into the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database.

**Scope:** This report contains data about sexual contact crimes that involve at least one service member (either as the victim or as one or more of the subjects) and have been reported to the Air Force. The data herein do not include sexual assaults between spouses or intimate partners that fall under the purview of DoD Family Advocacy Program, nor do these data include sexual harassment which falls under the purview of the Equal Opportunity Program. Since the age of consent under the UCMJ is 16 years, military and civilian victims aged 16 and older are included if they do not fall under the Family Advocacy Program’s purview. Service members who are approved for early enlistment prior to age 18 are also included.

Unrestricted and Restricted Reports capture sexual assaults committed by and against Service members. However, there are instances in which people outside of the U.S. Armed Forces commit sexual assault against a Service member or can be sexually assaulted by a Service member. Information describing these victims and subjects is also included in the following statistics.


The data that follow are a snapshot in time, reflecting the status of sexual assault reports, investigations, and subject dispositions in the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database on September 30, 2017 (the last day of FY17). The data in the database are continually updated as cases progress.

### **8.1.1. Sexual Assault Reporting in the Air Force**

The Air Force received a record 1,480 reports of sexual assault involving Service members as either victims or subjects in FY17. As depicted in Chart 8.1.1., this is the largest number of reports of sexual assault reports received by the Air Force in the history of its SAPR program, at least 9% higher than in any previous FY. Furthermore, the percentage of reports that remained restricted at the end of FY17 is 28%, down from almost 31% at the end of FY16 and the lowest percentage seen in the history of the Air Force SAPR Program.

## Sexual Assaults Reported to the Air Force

Reports Involving a Service Member Subject or Victim



Source: DSAID

- Unrestricted Reports\*
- Restricted Reports\*\*
- % Restricted

\* Includes initially-restricted reports from prior FYs and the indicated FY that converted to unrestricted in the indicated FY.  
 \*\* Restricted reports that remained restricted at the end of the indicated FY, including any initially-restricted reports that converted to unrestricted in a later FY.

**Chart 8.1.1. – Annual Reports of Sexual Assault**

The Air Force believes that the sustained high levels of reporting in FY14, FY15, FY16, and especially FY17 indicates that victims feel increasingly more comfortable coming forward to report these crimes, receive care, and allow investigations to take place so that commanders and the military justice system can hold subjects appropriately accountable. Although the Air Force fully supports the restricted reporting option, the decrease in the percentage of reports that remain restricted may indicate increasing victim confidence in the military justice system and the Air Force SAPR Program.

The 1,480 reports of sexual assault received by the Air Force in FY17 represents a 9.2% increase from the 1,355 reports made in FY16. The total active duty Air Force population increased about 1.5% during FY17, from about 313,700 at the end of FY16 to about 318,600 at the end of FY17. The increase in the proportion of active duty airmen who filed reports of sexual assault from FY16 to FY17 is statistically significant.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The term statistically significant means it would be very unlikely to observe an increase in reporting of this magnitude if the underlying sexual assault reporting rate in the Air Force has not increased since FY16. One-tailed p-value = 0.027.

For comparison, the Air Force received a total of 1,355 reports of sexual assault involving Service members as either victims or subjects in FY16, which represented a 3.3% increase from the 1,312 reports made in FY15. The total active duty Air Force population also increased 2.1% during FY16, from about 307,300 at the end of FY15 to about 313,700 at the end of FY16. The increase in reporting from FY15 to FY16 is not statistically significant.

It is important to note that sexual assaults do not necessarily occur in the same FY as the FY in which they are reported, although the majority do. Of the 1,480 sexual assault reports received by the Air Force in FY17, 875 (59%) were for incidents that occurred in FY17, 471 (32%) were for incidents that occurred in prior FYs, and the remaining 132 (9%) were for incidents that occurred on an unknown date.

For comparison, of the 1,355 sexual assault reports received by the Air Force in FY16, 748 (55%) were for incidents that occurred in FY16, 471 (35%) were for incidents that occurred in prior FYs, and the remaining 136 (10%) were for incidents that occurred on an unknown date.

**Types of Sexual Assault Reports:** DoD policy allows eligible victims to make one of two kinds of sexual assault report: unrestricted or restricted. Additionally, a victim who initially makes a restricted report has the option of later converting the restricted report to an unrestricted report (unrestricted reports cannot be converted to restricted). Of the 1,480 reports of sexual assault involving Service members as either victims or subjects received by the Air Force in FY17:

- 922 (62%) were unrestricted reports reported in FY17;
- 120 (8%) were restricted reports initially reported in FY17 that were converted to unrestricted in FY17;
- 23 (2%) were restricted reports initially reported in previous FYs but were converted to unrestricted in FY17; and
- 415 (28%) were restricted reports initially reported in FY17 that remained restricted at the end of FY17.


#### **8.1.1.1. Sexual Assault Prevalence in the Air Force**

It is important to draw a distinction between the number of sexual assaults that occur (i.e., sexual assault prevalence) and the number of sexual assaults that are reported to authorities (i.e., sexual assault reporting) in a given timeframe. Because most sexual assaults that occur in both civilian and military populations are not reported to authorities, sexual assault prevalence typically will exceed sexual assault reporting.

Since sexual assault historically has been and remains a highly underreported crime, the number of sexual assaults that are reported in a given timeframe may not accurately indicate the number of sexual assaults that occurred in that timeframe. So to estimate the number of sexual assaults that occur in the active duty DoD population, the DoD administers an anonymous, confidential survey to active duty DoD members biannually. The three most recent DoD sexual assault prevalence surveys were the 2016 Workplace

and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members, the 2014 RAND Military Workplace Study, and the 2012 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members.

Chart 8.1.1.1. shows the estimated past-year sexual assault prevalence estimates (red dots) with 95% confidence intervals (black lines) for active duty Airmen from the past three DoD prevalence surveys. According to these surveys, an estimated 3200 active duty airmen experienced a past-year sexual assault in 2012, falling to about 2450 in 2014 and about 2300 in 2016.<sup>2</sup>



**Chart 8.1.1.1. – Past-Year Sexual Assault Prevalence Estimates for Active Duty Airmen**

<sup>2</sup> The 2012 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members used a slightly different measure than did the 2014 RAND Military Workplace Study and the 2016 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members. The 2012 survey measured unwanted sexual contact, while the 2014 survey and the 2016 survey measured sexual assault. Also, the 2012 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members used a much smaller sample size than did either the 2014 or the 2016 surveys, which is reflected in the relatively large width of the 2012 survey confidence interval compared to the widths of the 2014 and 2016 survey confidence intervals.



### **8.1.1.2. Sexual Assault Reporting Compared to Sexual Assault Prevalence**


The Air Force SAPR Program has stated a two-pronged goal of reducing sexual assault prevalence while increasing sexual assault reporting. According to the most recent biannual sexual assault prevalence study (the 2016 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members), an estimated 2,300 active duty Airmen were sexually assaulted in the past year. Although sexual assault prevalence among active duty airmen has not changed significantly in recent years, sexual assault reporting continues to rise in the Air Force.

Chart 8.1.1.2. illustrates this trend of flat prevalence with increased reporting by comparing the estimated number of active duty Airmen who were sexually assaulted in the past year to the number of active duty Airmen who reported a sexual assault in each FY. However, because the Air Force accepts sexual assault reports from a much wider population than the population to which the prevalence estimates pertain, not all sexual assault reports are directly comparable to the sexual assault prevalence estimates.<sup>3</sup> For this reason, the vertical bars representing the number of sexual assault reports reported to the Air Force each FY are divided into two segments. The blue segment represents the number of sexual assault reports that are comparable to the prevalence estimate, and the gray segment represents the number of reports that are not comparable to the prevalence estimate.

---

<sup>3</sup> The sexual assault prevalence studies estimate the number of active duty Airmen who experienced a sexual assault in the past 12 months while in military service. The Air Force accepts sexual assault reports from active duty members of other services, certain non-active duty personnel, and imposes no limit on how long ago the sexual assault occurred or whether or not the victim was in the military at the time of the assault. Therefore, sexual assault reports meeting all of following criteria are shown in the blue segments of the bars in Chart 8.1.1.2., and all others are shown in the gray segments:

- the victim was an active duty Air Force member at the time of report
- the victim was in military service at the time of the assault
- the date of the assault is known
- the date of the assault is no more than one year prior to the date of the report



**Chart 8.1.1.2. – Active Duty Prevalence vs. Reporting of Sexual Assault**

Assuming prevalence has not changed since the most recent prevalence study was done in FY16, FY17 estimated a record 34% of sexual assaults being reported, up from 29% in FY16 and 26% in FY15. Given the stability of the two most recent prevalence estimates, the Air Force assesses the increase in sexual assault reporting as unlikely to have resulted from increased crime. Rather, the Air Force assesses that the increase in reporting is due to the resources and programs it makes available to sexual assault victims that encourage reporting.

The Air Force expects that the remaining “gap” between the survey-estimated number of sexually assaulted Airmen and the number of Airmen who choose to report can be further reduced in two ways:

- Over time, Air Force sexual assault prevention initiatives are expected to reduce past-year prevalence of sexual assault; and
- Over time, initiatives that encourage victims to report and improve the military justice system are expected to increase the number of victims who choose to report.

Although FY17 saw the highest reporting rate in the history of the Air Force SAPR program, about two-thirds of estimated past-year sexual assaults of active duty Airmen remain unreported, so much progress remains to be made. The Air Force continues to strive to narrow the gap between prevalence and reporting in order to reduce the underreporting of sexual assault in the military community.

**8.1.2. Unrestricted Reporting**

### 8.1.2.1. Analysis of Victims in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations

This section provides data about victims in completed investigations of unrestricted reports of sexual assault. In this section, the term “FY” refers to the FY in which the investigation associated with a report concluded, not the FY in which the sexual assault was reported. For example, unrestricted reports under the FY17 rubric are not necessarily sexual assaults reported in FY17. Rather, they are sexual assault reports whose associated investigations concluded in FY17. These reports could have been made in FY17 or in any prior FY.

**Type of Offense Investigated:** Table 8.1.2.1.1. below breaks out the unrestricted report investigations completed each FY by type of offense investigated. Military Criminal Investigative Organizations categorize Unrestricted Reports by the most serious offense alleged in the report, which may not ultimately be the same offense for which evidence supports a misconduct charge, if any.

The type of offense investigated in completed investigations has been stable since FY14. Penetrating offenses, which include rape, aggravated sexual assault, sexual assault, and forcible sodomy, account for slightly more than half of all completed investigations. Contact offenses, which include aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, and indecent assault account for about 45% of all completed investigations. Attempts to commit offenses and unknown offense types account for the remainder, approximately 5% of all completed investigations.

Type of Offense Investigated in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations								
FY of Investigation Completion	FY14		FY15		FY16		FY17	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
<b>Total Victims</b>	775	-	795	-	766	-	874	-
<b>Type of Offense Investigated</b>								
Penetrating Offenses	386	49.8%	404	50.8%	400	52.2%	439	50.2%
Contact Offenses	338	43.6%	346	43.5%	309	40.3%	392	44.9%
Attempts to Commit Offenses	35	4.5%	20	2.5%	36	4.7%	22	2.5%
Offense Code Data Not Available	16	2.1%	25	3.1%	21	2.7%	21	2.4%

**Table 8.1.2.1.1. – Type of Sexual Assault Offense for Unrestricted Reports**

#### Demographic Analysis of Victims in Completed Investigations

Table 8.1.2.1.2. below provides a demographic analysis of victims in investigations completed each FY by gender, age at the time of the incident, military affiliation, duty status, and grade.

**Gender:** Women consistently represent the vast majority (over 82%) of victims in investigations completed each FY, while comprising only about 19% of the active duty Air Force population during the indicated timeframe. The percentage of male victims in completed investigations jumped from about 11% in FY14 to 16.5% in FY15, and has slowly declined since, to 14% in FY17. Males comprised about 81% of the active duty Air Force population during this timeframe.

**Age at Time of Incident:** Victims in the 16-19 age group in completed investigations are over-represented compared to their representation in the active duty Air Force population. The percentage of victims in the 16-19 age group averaged around 17% between FY14 and FY17, while comprising less than 6% of the active duty Air Force population during the same timeframe. However, 22 of the 150 victims in this age group in FY17 reported incidents that occurred prior to Service entry. Even excluding the victims in this age group who reported incidents that occurred prior to Service entry, this age group still accounts for about 15% of victims in investigations completed in FY17, while comprising less than 6% of the FY17 active duty Air Force population.

Victims in the 20-24 age group are also over-represented compared to their representation in the active duty Air Force population, though not to the same extent as those in the 16-19 age group. The 20-24 age group contained about 40% of the victims in investigations completed in FY15 through FY17, while comprising about 27% of the active duty Air Force population in this timeframe. However, 48 of the 342 victims in this age group reported incidents that occurred prior to Service entry. After excluding the 48 victims in this age group who reported incidents that occurred prior to Service entry, this age group accounts for about 35% of the victims in investigations completed in FY17, while comprising about 27% of the FY17 active duty Air Force population.

Victims in each of the older age groups are well under-represented compared to their respective cohorts in the FY17 active duty Air Force population (25-34 age group: 17.2% vs. 44% in FY17 active duty Air Force population; 35-49 age group: 3.9% vs. 22% in FY17 active duty Air Force population; 50-64 age group: 0.3% vs. 0.7% in FY17 active duty Air Force population; and 65+ age group: 0% vs. 0% in FY17 active duty Air Force population).

**Grade:** Junior enlisted airmen (E1-E4) are highly over-represented amongst the victims in investigations completed in the FYs shown, consistently accounting for over 70% of victims, while comprising only about 39% of the active duty Air Force population. Senior enlisted airmen (E5-E9) and officers each account for much smaller shares of victims in completed investigations (16.3% and 6% in FY17, respectively) than their respective cohorts in the active duty Air Force population (42% and 19% in FY17, respectively).

Victim Demographics in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations								
FY of Investigation Completion	FY14		FY15		FY16		FY17	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
<b>Total Victims</b>	775	-	795	-	766	-	874	-
<b>Gender</b>								
Male	86	11.1%	131	16.5%	118	15.4%	122	14.0%
Female	637	82.2%	654	82.3%	635	82.9%	725	83.0%
Unknown	52	6.7%	10	1.3%	13	1.7%	27	3.1%
<b>Age (Time of Incident)</b>								
0-15	1	0.1%	4	0.5%	6	0.8%	6	0.7%
16-19	78	10.5%	127	16.0%	154	20.1%	150	17.2%
20-24	230	31.1%	323	40.6%	304	39.7%	342	39.1%
25-34	80	10.8%	127	16.0%	147	19.2%	150	17.2%
35-49	11	1.5%	37	4.7%	33	4.3%	34	3.9%
50-64	3	0.4%	1	0.1%	2	0.3%	3	0.3%
65+	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Unknown	337	45.5%	176	22.1%	120	15.7%	189	21.6%
<b>Military Affiliation</b>								
Military	604	77.9%	608	76.5%	608	79.4%	686	78.5%
Non-military	116	15.0%	176	22.1%	142	18.5%	159	18.2%
Unknown	55	7.1%	11	1.4%	16	2.1%	29	3.3%
<b>Duty Status (Military Victims)</b>								
Active Duty	578	95.7%	547	90.0%	549	90.3%	632	92.1%
Reserve (Activated)	16	2.6%	32	5.3%	39	6.4%	33	4.8%
National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	5	0.8%	5	0.8%	3	0.5%	9	1.3%
Cadet/Prep School Student	5	0.8%	24	3.9%	17	2.8%	12	1.7%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Grade (Military Victims)</b>								
C-1 to C-4 & Prep School	5	0.8%	24	3.9%	17	2.8%	12	1.7%
E-1 to E-4	452	74.8%	442	72.7%	427	70.2%	521	75.9%
E-5 to E-9	110	18.2%	109	17.9%	128	21.1%	112	16.3%
O-1 to O-3	30	5.0%	24	3.9%	24	3.9%	37	5.4%
O-4 to O-10	7	1.2%	9	1.5%	12	2.0%	4	0.6%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

**Table 8.1.2.1.2. – Victim Demographics for Unrestricted Reports**

**Military Protective Orders:** A summary of military protective orders is provided in Table 8.1.2.1.3. One hundred and five military protective orders were issued in FY17, of which two were violated. This is an increase from FY16 and FY15, which respectively had 82 and 85 military protective orders issued with one violation in each FY.

Military Protective Orders								
FY Military Protective Order Issued	FY14		FY15		FY16		FY17	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Military Protective Orders Issued	142	-	85	-	82	-	105	-
Military Protective Orders Violated	3	2.1%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	2	1.9%

**Table 8.1.2.1.3. - Military Protective Orders**

**Expedited Transfers:** A summary of expedited transfer requests by service member victims is provided in Table 8.1.2.1.4. The number of expedited transfer requests in FY17 was 148, of which three were denied. None of the three victims whose expedited transfer requests were denied requested a senior level review of their denied request. This is a substantial increase from FY16 and FY15, which respectively had 87 and 112 requests.

Expedited Transfers								
FY Expedited Transfer Requested	FY14		FY15		FY16		FY17	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Expedited Transfer Requests by Service Member Victims	125	-	112	-	87	-	148	-
Expedited Transfer Requests Approved	117	93.6%	109	97.3%	86	98.9%	145	98.0%
Expedited Transfer Requests Denied	8	6.4%	3	2.7%	1	1.1%	3	2.0%

**Table 8.1.2.1.4. - Expedited Transfers for Unrestricted Reports**

**Victim Participation in the Military Justice Process:** A summary of victim participation in the military justice process is provided in Table 8.1.2.1.5. The percentage of cases in which the victim declined to participate in the military justice process doubled from FY16 to FY17.

Victim Participation in Military Justice Process (Unrestricted Reports)								
FY of Investigation Completion	FY14		FY15		FY16		FY17	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Sexual Assault Investigation Subjects that can be Considered for Possible Action by DoD Commanders	439	-	517	-	403	-	495	-
Number of Subject Cases with Victims Declining to Participate in the Military Justice Action	47	10.7%	74	14.3%	59	14.6%	144	29.1%

**Table 8.1.2.1.5. – Victim Participation in the Military Justice Process**

### 8.1.2.2. Analysis of Subjects in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations

This section analyzes demographic data about subjects in completed investigations of unrestricted reports of sexual assault. In this section, the term “FY” refers to the FY in which the investigation associated with a sexual assault report concluded. For example, unrestricted reports under the FY17 rubric are not necessarily reports filed in FY17. Rather, they are sexual assault reports whose associated investigations concluded in FY17. These reports could have been filed in FY17 or in any preceding FY. Additionally, while each unrestricted report of sexual assault has a single victim, a report may have more than one subject. For these reasons, the number of subjects shown in a given FY will not necessarily match the number of unrestricted reports with completed investigations during that FY.

#### Demographic Analysis of Subjects in Completed Investigations

Table 8.1.2.2.1. provides a demographic analysis of subjects in investigations completed each FY by gender, age at the time of the incident, military affiliation, duty status, and grade.

**Gender:** The vast majority of subjects in completed investigations are male. The percentage of subjects in completed investigations that are male has remained relatively stable over recent FYs at about 85%. Men comprised about 81% of the active duty Air Force population during this timeframe.



Compared to their share of the AF active duty population, women are very under-represented as subjects in completed investigations. Only about 5% of subjects in investigations completed in recent FYs are women, but women have comprised about 19% of the active duty Air Force population during the same timeframe.

**Age at Time of Incident:** Subjects in the 16-19 age group are over-represented compared to their representation in the active duty AF population. The percentage of completed investigations with subjects in the 16-19 age group declined from almost 11% in FY14 to under 9% in FY17, while comprising about 5% of the active duty Air Force population during the same timeframe.

Subjects in the 20-24 age group are increasingly over-represented compared to their representation in the active duty AF population. The percentage of completed investigations with subjects in the 20-24 age group has increased from about 35% in FY15 to almost 40% in FY17, while comprising less than 27% of the active duty Air Force population in this timeframe.

Subjects in each of the older age groups (25-34, 35-49, 50-64, and 65+) remain well under-represented compared to their respective cohorts in the active duty Air Force population.

**Grade:** Junior enlisted airmen (E1-E4) is the only grade group that is over-represented as subjects in completed investigations relative to its representation in the active duty Air Force population. Junior enlisted airmen accounted for about 66% of the subjects in investigations completed in FY17, up from about 55% in previous years, while comprising only about 39% of the active duty Air Force population during this timeframe.

Senior enlisted airmen (E5-E9) accounted for 25% of the subjects in investigations completed in FY17, down from about 33% in previous years, while comprising about 42% of the active duty Air Force population.

Combined, enlisted airmen consistently account for about 90% of the subjects in completed investigations over time, while comprising about 81% of the active duty Air Force population. Conversely, officers consistently account for about 8% of the subjects in completed investigations, while comprising about 19% of the active duty Air Force population.

Subject Demographics in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations								
FY of Investigation Completion	FY14		FY15		FY16		FY17	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
<b>Total Subjects</b>	800	-	750	-	696	-	813	-
<b>Gender</b>								
Male	674	84.3%	642	85.6%	586	84.2%	699	86.0%
Female	40	5.0%	33	4.4%	45	6.5%	34	4.2%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	86	10.8%	75	10.0%	65	9.3%	80	9.8%
<b>Age (Time of Incident)</b>								
0-15	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	7	0.9%
16-19	83	10.8%	62	8.3%	51	7.3%	71	8.7%
20-24	281	36.4%	265	35.3%	261	37.5%	322	39.6%
25-34	201	26.0%	238	31.7%	217	31.2%	216	26.6%
35-49	79	10.2%	83	11.1%	70	10.1%	77	9.5%
50-64	11	1.4%	9	1.2%	9	1.3%	17	2.1%
65+	3	0.4%	1	0.1%	2	0.3%	1	0.1%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	114	14.8%	92	12.3%	85	12.2%	102	12.5%
<b>Military Affiliation</b>								
Military	604	75.5%	608	81.1%	551	79.2%	621	76.4%
Non-military	33	4.1%	43	5.7%	37	5.3%	62	7.6%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	163	20.4%	99	13.2%	108	15.5%	130	16.0%
<b>Duty Status (Military Subjects)</b>								
Active Duty	563	93.2%	555	91.3%	497	90.2%	580	93.4%
Reserve	22	3.6%	33	5.4%	36	6.5%	30	4.8%
National Guard	4	0.7%	2	0.3%	2	0.4%	5	0.8%
Cadet/Prep School Student	2	0.3%	14	2.3%	14	2.5%	6	1.0%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	13	2.2%	4	0.7%	2	0.4%	0	0.0%
<b>Grade (Military Subjects)</b>								
C-1 to C-4 & Prep School	2	0.3%	14	2.3%	14	2.5%	6	1.0%
E-1 to E-4	370	61.3%	342	56.8%	307	55.7%	408	65.7%
E-5 to E-9	165	27.3%	201	33.1%	185	33.6%	155	25.0%
WO-1 to WO-5	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%
O-1 to O-3	31	5.1%	29	4.8%	30	5.4%	31	5.0%
O-4 to O-10	21	3.5%	17	2.8%	12	2.2%	21	3.4%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	15	2.5%	4	0.7%	2	0.4%	0	0.0%

**Table 8.1.2.2.1. – Subject Demographics for Unrestricted Reports**


### 8.1.2.3. Investigative and Military Justice Process Discussion

**Subject Dispositions:** Once the investigation of an Unrestricted Report is complete, Congress requires the Military Services to provide the outcome of the allegations against each subject named in an investigation. These are called “subject dispositions”. Table 8.1.2.3.1. analyzes subject dispositions reported in FY17. Of the 593 subjects with dispositions reported in FY17, 493 were considered for command action and the remaining 100 were not considered for command action because the subject was outside the DoD’s legal authority or a civilian or foreign authority exercised jurisdiction over the subject. Of the 493 subjects considered for command action, for 476 the command action was completed in FY17. Of the 476 subjects with command actions completed in FY17, for 259 (54%) either a sexual assault charge or other misconduct was substantiated, for 205 (43%) command action was precluded, and for 12 (3%) the allegation was unfounded by command or legal review.

FY17 DISPOSITIONS	Total Count	Actions Completed in FY17 (Subset of Total Count)	Actions Completed in FY17 for Penetrating and Contact Offenses (Subset of Actions Completed in FY17)
<b>SUBJECTS OF INVESTIGATION WITH DISPOSITION INFORMATION TO REPORT IN FY17</b>	<b>593</b>		
<i>DoD did not Consider Action</i>	<b>100</b>		
<b>Subject outside DoD's legal Authority</b>	<b>95</b>		
<i>Alleged Offender is Unknown</i>	54		
<i>Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National</i>	39		
<i>Subject Died or Deserted</i>	2		
<b>Civilian/Foreign Authority Exercised Jurisdiction over Service Member Subject</b>	<b>5</b>		
<b>Sexual Assault Investigation Subjects Considered for Possible Action</b>	<b>493</b>	<b>476</b>	<b>466</b>
<b>Evidence Supported Commander Action</b>	<b>276</b>	<b>259</b>	<b>255</b>
<b>Sexual Assault Charge Substantiated</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>167</b>
Court-Martial Charge Preferred	122	113	111
Nonjudicial Punishments	20	17	17
Administrative Discharges	3	2	39
Other Adverse Administrative Actions	40	38	0
<b>Other Misconduct Substantiated</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>88</b>
Court-Martial Charge Preferred	4	4	0
Nonjudicial Punishments	41	39	0
Administrative Discharges	3	3	0
Other Adverse Administrative Actions	43	43	0
<b>Command Action Precluded</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>199</b>
Victim Declined to Participate	144	144	140
Insufficient Evidence	57	57	55
Statute of Limitations Expired	4	4	4
Victim Died before completion of justice action	0	0	0
<b>Allegation Unfounded by Command/Legal Review</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>

**Table 8.1.2.3.1. – FY17 Subject Dispositions for Unrestricted Reports**


**Completed Command Actions:** Chart 8.1.2.3.2. analyzes completed command actions for penetrating and sexual contact crimes over time (the FY17 bar corresponds to the right-most column of Table 8.2.3.1. above). The proportion of command actions that were precluded increased substantially over the past year, from about 34% in FY16 to about 45% in FY17. At the same time, the proportion of completed command actions for penetrating and sexual contact crimes that resulted in preferred courts-martial charges or nonjudicial punishments for sexual assault offenses declined substantially, from about 42% in FY16 to about 28% in FY17. The chart also shows command action not possible rose from 34% in FY16 to 45% in FY17; this resulted in disposition percentages for all command actions to be lower in FY17 than in FY16.




**Chart 8.1.2.3.2. – Completed Command Actions by FY**

**Court-Martial Outcomes:** Charts 8.1.2.3.3. and 8.1.2.3.4. analyze sexual assault court-martial outcomes for penetrating and sexual contact crimes, respectively, over time.<sup>4</sup> There were 93 subjects charged with a penetrating crime in FY17, of which 56 proceeded to trial. Of the 56 tried, 28 were convicted (50%). There were 20 subjects charged with a sexual contact crime in FY17, of which 14 proceeded to trial. Of the 14 tried, 9 were convicted (64%). The overall conviction rate for cases tried with an Article 120 offense was 53%.

<sup>4</sup> Although Table 8.1.2.3.1. indicates 111 subjects with completed command actions in FY17 for penetrating or sexual contact offenses had courts-martial charges preferred, charts 8.1.2.3.3. and 8.1.2.3.4. show a combined 113 courts-martial referrals. This is because two investigations into attempt to commit offenses were actually charged as penetrating or sexual contact offenses.



**Chart 8.1.2.3.3. – Sexual Assault Court Martial Outcomes for Penetrating Crimes**



**Chart 8.1.2.3.4. – Sexual Assault Court Martial Outcomes for Sexual Contact Crimes**


## Duration of Investigative and Military Justice Processes

The length of a sexual assault investigation depends on a number of factors, including:

- The offense alleged;
- The location and availability of the victim, subject, and witnesses;
- The amount and kind of physical evidence gathered during the investigation; and
- The length of time required for crime laboratory analysis of evidence.


Depending on these and other factors, sexual assault investigation length may range from a few months to over a year.

Chart 8.1.2.3.5. shows the mean and median lengths of time to complete sexual assault investigations in the Air Force. The median has remained constant at about 75 days over recent years, while the mean has decreased from 126 days in FY15 to 99 days in FY17. This indicates that the durations of the longest investigations are decreasing over time (i.e., outlying investigation lengths are becoming less extreme).



**Chart 8.1.2.3.5. – Average Sexual Assault Investigation Length**


Chart 8.1.2.3.6. shows the mean and median number of days from when a victim files an unrestricted report (i.e. signs the DD 2910) to the completion of the courts-martial process, sentence or acquittal. In FY17, the average time from filing an unrestricted sexual assault report to completion of the courts-martial process reached an all-time high of over one year.



**Chart 8.1.2.3.6. – Days from Filing of Unrestricted Report Until Courts-Martial Outcome**

Chart 8.1.2.3.7. shows the mean and median number of days from when a victim files an unrestricted report (i.e. signs the DD 2910) and completion of non-judicial punishment actions. These times have been increasing over time, reaching all-time highs in FY17 of about a half of a year.





**Chart 8.1.2.3.7. – Days from Filing of Unrestricted Report Until Non-Judicial Punishment Outcome**

**8.1.2.4. Analysis of Incident Details in Unrestricted Reports**

Table 8.1.2.4.1. analyzes incident details associated with unrestricted reports of sexual assault by the FY in which the sexual assault was reported to the Air Force. Whereas previous sections classified unrestricted sexual assault reports by the FY in which the associated investigation was completed, this section classifies sexual assault reports by the FY in which the report was filed. In cases where investigations have not yet been completed, the incident details are based upon information provided by the victim. Also, Table 8.1.2.4.1. does not include any sexual assault reports that were initially filed as restricted in one FY but converted to unrestricted in a later FY. It does, however, include any sexual assault reports that were initially filed as restricted in one FY and converted to unrestricted in the same FY.

The overall number of unrestricted reports made to the Air Force increased over 15% from FY16 to FY17, from 905 in FY16 to 1042 in FY17. This is by far the highest number of unrestricted reports made to the Air Force in the history of its SAPR program, and represents the largest year-over-year percentage increase in unrestricted reports in recent years.

**Assault Location:** The percentage of unrestricted reports in which the sexual assault occurred on-base has increased over the past three years from about 41% in FY15 to over 51% in FY17.

**Subject-Victim Service Affiliation:** Subject-victim service affiliation shows an overall decrease in the percentage of unrestricted reports in which service members sexually assault non-service members to a low of 12.8% in FY17 and an increase in the percentage of unrestricted reports in which non-service members sexually assault service members to a high of 6% in FY17.

**Subject-Victim Gender:** After reaching lows in FY15, the percentage of unrestricted reports involving heterosexual sexual assaults (i.e., males sexually assaulting females or females sexually assaulting males) increased back to near highs in FY17. Conversely, the percentage of reports involving homosexual sexual assaults (i.e., males sexually assaulting other males or females sexually assaulting other females) decreased from highs in FY15 to near lows in FY17.

**Reporting Delay:** The percentage of unrestricted reports that were reported within 30 days of the occurrence of the sexual assault has remained stable over time at just under 50%.

**Assault Day of Week and Time of Day:** The percentage of unrestricted reports in which the sexual assault occurred on the weekend (Friday through Sunday) has increased from a low of about 38% in FY15 to a high of about 54% in FY17. Across the timeframe shown, a plurality of unrestricted reports of sexual assault describe incidents that occurred between the hours of midnight and 6:00 AM.

Incident Details for Unrestricted Reports*								
FY of Report	FY14		FY15		FY16		FY17	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
<b>Total Reports</b>	932	-	912	-	905	-	1042	-
<b>Assault Location</b>								
On-Base	469	50.3%	376	41.2%	438	48.4%	537	51.5%
Off-Base	409	43.9%	386	42.3%	407	45.0%	427	41.0%
Unidentified	54	5.8%	150	16.4%	60	6.6%	78	7.5%
<b>Subject-Victim Service Affiliation</b>								
Member on Member	457	49.0%	426	46.7%	427	47.2%	500	48.0%
Member on Non-Member	159	17.1%	140	15.4%	142	15.7%	133	12.8%
Non-Member on Member	41	4.4%	45	4.9%	41	4.5%	63	6.0%
Unidentified on Member	15	1.6%	55	6.0%	25	2.8%	45	4.3%
Relevant Data Not Available	260	27.9%	246	27.0%	270	29.8%	301	28.9%
<b>Subject-Victim Gender</b>								
Male on Female	576	61.8%	483	53.0%	534	59.0%	633	60.7%
Male on Male	61	6.5%	76	8.3%	71	7.8%	79	7.6%
Female on Male	21	2.3%	16	1.8%	20	2.2%	26	2.5%
Female on Female	8	0.9%	19	2.1%	12	1.3%	11	1.1%
Unknown on Male	0	0.0%	10	1.1%	5	0.6%	7	0.7%
Unknown on Female	1	0.1%	29	3.2%	11	1.2%	25	2.4%
Mutiple Mixed Gender	14	1.5%	8	0.9%	12	1.3%	6	0.6%
Relevant Data Not Available	251	26.9%	271	29.7%	240	26.5%	255	24.5%
<b>Reporting Delay</b>								
Within 3 days	284	30.5%	236	25.9%	232	25.6%	303	29.1%
4-30 days	178	19.1%	199	21.8%	175	19.3%	203	19.5%
31-365 days	257	27.6%	227	24.9%	263	29.1%	293	28.1%
> 1 year	194	20.8%	154	16.9%	198	21.9%	195	18.7%
Relevant Data Not Available	19	2.0%	96	10.5%	37	4.1%	48	4.6%
<b>Assault Time of Day</b>								
6AM - 6PM	154	16.5%	180	19.7%	203	22.4%	189	18.1%
6PM - Midnight	253	27.1%	248	27.2%	243	26.9%	298	28.6%
Midnight - 6AM	465	49.9%	375	41.1%	407	45.0%	479	46.0%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	60	6.4%	109	12.0%	52	5.7%	76	7.3%
<b>Assault Day of Week</b>								
Weekend (Fri-Sun)	411	44.1%	351	38.5%	488	53.9%	566	54.3%
Weekday (Mon-Thur)	501	53.8%	438	48.0%	378	41.8%	428	41.1%
Relevant Data Not Available	20	2.1%	123	13.5%	39	4.3%	48	4.6%

\*The counts in this table do not include prior-FY restricted reports that were converted to unrestricted in the indicated FY.

**Table 8.1.2.4.1. – Incident Details for Unrestricted Reports**

### 8.1.3. Restricted Reporting

Restricted reports of sexual assault are confidential, covered communications as defined in DoD policy. The allegations made in restricted reports are not investigated, victims are not required to provide many details about these sexual assaults, and SAPR personnel do not enter information about alleged subjects into the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database. Therefore, the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database data about restricted reports are more limited than data about unrestricted reports.

#### 8.1.3.1. Restricted Report Conversions

Table 8.1.3.1.1. shows the number of initially restricted reports, the number of initially restricted reports that were converted to unrestricted in the same FY as the restricted report was initially filed, and the number of restricted reports remaining restricted at the end of each FY.

Five-hundred and thirty-five (535) victims initially filed restricted reports with the Air Force in FY17. Of these, 120 chose to convert their restricted report to an unrestricted report during FY17 (these are counted above in the unrestricted reporting sections), leaving 415 restricted reports remaining restricted at the end of FY17. The percentage of initially restricted reports that victims chose to convert to unrestricted in the same FY as the restricted report was initially filed has increased to a high of over 22% in FY17. The Air Force assesses this increase in same-FY restricted report conversions as indicative of growing confidence on the part of victims to participate in the investigative and judicial processes that are necessary to hold alleged offenders accountable.

Restricted Report Conversions								
FY of Report	FY14		FY15		FY16		FY17	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Initially Restricted	505	-	471	-	524	-	535	-
Converted to Unrestricted	99	19.6%	90	19.1%	109	20.8%	120	22.4%
Remaining Restricted	406	80.4%	381	80.9%	415	79.2%	415	77.6%

**Table 8.1.3.1.1. – Restricted Report Conversions**

### 8.1.3.2. Analysis of Victims in Restricted Reports

Table 8.1.3.2.1. and the following discussion provides a demographic analysis of victims of sexual assault who made restricted reports that remained restricted at the end of the FY in which the report was initially filed. Restricted reports that were converted to unrestricted are included in the unrestricted reporting sections above.

**Gender:** The proportion of female victims in restricted reports has been increasing over the past three years, reaching a high of over 86% in FY17. Women comprised about 19% in the active duty Air Force population during the same timeframe. Conversely, the proportion of male victims in restricted reports has been falling over the past three years, reaching a low of about 13% in FY17. Men comprised about 81% of the active duty Air Force population during this timeframe.

**Age at Time of Incident:** Victims in the 16-19 age group are highly over-represented compared to their representation in the active duty Air Force population, accounting for 21%-26% of restricted reports that remain restricted while comprising less than 5% of the active duty Air Force population. However, about 40% of the victims in this age group in FY17 reported incidents that occurred prior to Service entry. After excluding the victims in this age group who reported incidents that occurred prior to Service entry, this age group still accounts for 18% of victims who made restricted reports that remained restricted at the end of FY17, while comprising only 5% of the FY17 population.

Victims in the 20-24 age group are also consistently over-represented compared to their share of the active duty Air Force population, accounting for a recent-year high of almost 43% of the victims who filed restricted reports that remained restricted at the end of FY17, while comprising less than 27% of the active duty Air Force population. About 10% of the FY17 victims in this age group reported incidents that occurred prior to Service entry. Excluding the victims in this age group who reported incidents that occurred prior to Service entry, this age group still accounts for about 40% of the victims who made restricted reports that remained restricted at the end of FY17, while comprising only 27% of the FY17 population.

Each of the older age groups are consistently well under-represented compared to their respective cohorts in the active duty Air Force population.

**Grade:** Junior enlisted airmen (E1-E4) are consistently highly over-represented amongst victims who filed restricted reports that remained restricted at the end of the FY in which the report was filed. Junior enlisted airmen accounted for a recent-year high of about 67% of the victims in restricted reports that remained restricted at the end of FY17, while comprising only 39% of the active duty Air Force population during this timeframe. However, about 26% of the junior enlisted airmen who filed restricted reports that remained restricted at the end of FY17 reported incidents that occurred prior to military service. After excluding these, junior enlisted airmen still account for 56% of the victims that filed restricted reports that remained restricted at the end of FY17, while comprising 39% of the active duty Air Force population.

Meanwhile, senior enlisted airmen (E5-E9) and officers each accounted for much smaller shares of the FY17 victims who filed restricted reports that remained restricted at the end of FY17 (approximately 21% and 10%, respectively) than their respective cohorts in the active duty Air Force population (42% and 20%, respectively).

Victim Demographics in Restricted Reports								
FY of Report	FY14		FY15		FY16		FY17	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
<b>Total Victims</b>	406	-	381	-	415	-	415	-
<b>Gender</b>								
Male	67	16.5%	87	22.8%	74	17.8%	55	13.3%
Female	338	83.3%	292	76.6%	341	82.2%	358	86.3%
Relevant Data Not Available	1	0.2%	2	0.5%	0	0.0%	2	0.5%
<b>Age (Time of Incident)</b>								
0-15	49	11.9%	35	9.2%	33	8.0%	25	6.0%
16-19	93	22.6%	85	22.3%	87	21.0%	109	26.3%
20-24	166	40.4%	150	39.4%	157	37.8%	177	42.7%
25-34	89	21.7%	80	21.0%	103	24.8%	88	21.2%
35-49	14	3.4%	19	5.0%	23	5.5%	15	3.6%
50-64	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	1	0.2%
65+	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Relevant Data Not Available	0	0.0%	12	3.1%	11	2.7%	0	0.0%
<b>Military Affiliation</b>								
Military	395	97.3%	366	96.1%	400	96.4%	396	95.4%
Non-military	10	2.5%	13	3.4%	14	3.4%	17	4.1%
Relevant Data Not Available	1	0.2%	2	0.5%	1	0.2%	2	0.5%
<b>Duty Status (Military Victims)</b>								
Active Duty	357	90.4%	317	86.6%	354	88.5%	365	92.2%
Reserve (Activated)	16	4.1%	23	6.3%	25	6.3%	15	3.8%
National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	7	1.8%	3	0.8%	5	1.3%	7	1.8%
Cadet/Prep School Student	15	3.8%	23	6.3%	16	4.0%	9	2.3%
Relevant Data Not Available	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Grade (Military Victims)</b>								
C-1 to C-4 & Prep School	15	3.8%	23	6.3%	16	4.0%	9	2.3%
E-1 to E-4	250	63.3%	213	58.2%	250	62.5%	264	66.7%
E-5 to E-9	94	23.8%	101	27.6%	94	23.5%	84	21.2%
O-1 to O-3	33	8.4%	21	5.7%	28	7.0%	31	7.8%
O-4 to O-10	3	0.8%	8	2.2%	12	3.0%	8	2.0%
Relevant Data Not Available	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

**Table 8.1.3.2.1. – Victim Demographics in Restricted Reports**

**8.1.3.3. Analysis of Incident Details in Restricted Reports**

Table 8.1.3.3.1. provides analysis of the incident details for restricted reports that remained restricted at the end of each FY.

Incident Details for Restricted Reports								
FY of Report	FY14		FY15		FY16		FY17	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
<b>Total Reports</b>	406	-	381	-	415	-	415	-
<b>Incident Location</b>								
On-Base	116	28.6%	88	23.1%	124	29.9%	140	33.7%
Off-Base	235	57.9%	183	48.0%	220	53.0%	230	55.4%
Unidentified/Relevant Data Not Avail.	55	13.5%	110	28.9%	71	17.1%	45	10.8%
<b>Subject-Victim Military Affiliation</b>								
Member on Member	166	52.0%	172	45.1%	185	44.6%	204	49.2%
Member on Non-Member	10	3.1%	13	3.4%	14	3.4%	17	4.1%
Non-Member on Member	102	32.0%	75	19.7%	106	25.5%	130	31.3%
Unidentified on Member	41	12.9%	56	14.7%	46	11.1%	61	14.7%
Relevant Data Not Available	87	27.3%	65	17.1%	64	15.4%	3	0.7%
<b>Reporting Delay</b>								
Within 3 days	87	21.4%	55	14.4%	85	20.5%	79	19.0%
4-30 days	66	16.3%	56	14.7%	54	13.0%	54	13.0%
31-365 days	66	16.3%	59	15.5%	60	14.5%	82	19.8%
> 1 year	96	23.6%	78	20.5%	119	28.7%	116	28.0%
Relevant Data Not Available	91	22.4%	133	34.9%	97	23.4%	84	20.2%
<b>Assault Time of Day</b>								
6AM - 6PM	55	13.5%	42	11.0%	45	10.8%	48	11.6%
6PM - Midnight	128	31.5%	124	32.5%	177	42.7%	176	42.4%
Midnight - 6AM	163	40.1%	115	30.2%	129	31.1%	140	33.7%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail	60	14.8%	100	26.2%	64	15.4%	51	12.3%
<b>Assault Day of Week</b>								
Weekend (Fri-Sun)	204	50.2%	137	36.0%	185	44.6%	227	54.7%
Weekday (Mon-Thur)	115	28.3%	77	20.2%	141	34.0%	106	25.5%
Relevant Data Not Available	87	21.4%	167	43.8%	89	21.4%	82	19.8%

**Table 8.1.3.3.1. – Incident Details for Restricted Reports**

#### 8.1.4. Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault

Table 8.1.4.1. analyzes service referrals for unrestricted reports. There are no significant changes to report between FY16 and FY17. The most common service referrals for unrestricted reports were mental health, legal, victim advocate, and chaplain/spiritual support.

Service Referrals for Unrestricted Reports								
FY of Service Referral	FY14		FY15		FY16		FY17	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
<b>Total Service Referrals</b>	1,103	-	1,394	-	1,429	-	1,760	-
<b>Type of Service</b>								
Medical	100	9.1%	92	6.6%	124	8.7%	161	9.1%
Mental Health	276	25.0%	307	22.0%	298	20.9%	370	21.0%
Legal	202	18.3%	288	20.7%	302	21.1%	368	20.9%
Chaplain/Spiritual Support	148	13.4%	223	16.0%	187	13.1%	238	13.5%
Rape Crisis Center	22	2.0%	41	2.9%	47	3.3%	34	1.9%
Victim Advocate	217	19.7%	277	19.9%	295	20.6%	350	19.9%
DoD Safe Helpline	63	5.7%	93	6.7%	110	7.7%	145	8.2%
Other	75	6.8%	73	5.2%	66	4.6%	94	5.3%

**Table 8.1.4.1. – Service Referrals for Unrestricted Reports**



Table 8.1.4.2. analyzes service referrals for restricted reports. Similar to unrestricted reports, the most common service referrals for restricted reports were mental health, legal, victim advocate, and chaplain/spiritual support.

Service Referrals for Restricted Reports								
FY of Service Referral	FY14		FY15		FY16		FY17	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
<b>Total Service Referrals</b>	603	-	727	-	919	-	889	-
<b>Type of Service</b>								
Medical	52	8.6%	62	8.5%	93	10.1%	83	9.3%
Mental Health	179	29.7%	194	26.7%	239	26.0%	226	25.4%
Legal	52	8.6%	108	14.9%	119	12.9%	127	14.3%
Chaplain/Spiritual Support	124	20.6%	126	17.3%	151	16.4%	145	16.3%
Rape Crisis Center	29	4.8%	28	3.9%	31	3.4%	29	3.3%
Victim Advocate	96	15.9%	131	18.0%	159	17.3%	168	18.9%
DoD Safe Helpline	35	5.8%	50	6.9%	81	8.8%	77	8.7%
Other	36	6.0%	28	3.9%	46	5.0%	34	3.8%

**Table 8.1.4.2. – Service Referrals for Restricted Reports**

**8.2 Complete the following table with your numbers as of the end of the fiscal year. Use the job/duty descriptions provided and the following inclusion criteria:**

- Include all Reserve and Active Duty military personnel. Army and Air Force do not need to include their respective National Guard component information as it will be included in the National Guard Bureau’s response.
- Include civilian and contractor personnel, as applicable
- Only include filled positions
- Indicate the number of full-time and part-time personnel
- Provide the exact number of current personnel, whenever possible. If the number is an estimate, please indicate how the estimate was reached and any other relevant information.

**(DoDI 6495.02, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (May 24, 2017), Encl 2, para 6ac)**

Job/Duty Title	Description of Job/Duty	Full-Time	Part-Time
Program Managers	Capability in developing policy, or program management and execution; and completion of 40+ hours of Military Service-specific National Advocate Credentialing Program and approved SARC training.	11	0
Dedicated Headquarters-Level Professionals	Include policy, advocacy, and prevention professionals who support the headquarters-level SAPR program offices at each Military Service/National Guard Bureau ( <i>not including program managers, who are counted in their own category</i> ).	31	0

Uniformed SARC	Serve as the single point of contact at an installation or within a geographic area to oversee sexual assault awareness, prevention, and response training; coordinate medical treatment, including emergency care, for victims of sexual assault; and track the services provided to victims from the initial report through final disposition and resolution. Certified under the nationally-accredited DoD Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program.	34	46*
Civilian SARC	See above.	81	16*
Uniformed SAPR-VA	Provide non-clinical crisis intervention, referral, and ongoing non-clinical support to adult sexual assault victims; offer information on available options/resources to victims; coordinate liaison assistance with other organizations and agencies on victim care matters; and report directly to the SARC. Certified under the nationally-accredited Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program.	6	0
Civilian SAPR-VA	See above.	81	0
Violence Prevention Integrators	The Violence Prevention Integrator serves as the commander's consultant and focal point for all primary prevention activities intended to institutionalize an environment of proactive prevention of interpersonal and self-directed violence.	89	0
Sexual Assault-Specific Legal	Legal personnel who specialize in sexual assault cases including prosecutors, Victim Witness Assistance Program personnel, paralegals, legal experts, and Special Victim's Counsel/Victim's Legal Counsel.	<b>Senior Trial Counsel:</b> 11 Special Victim Unit prosecutors  <b>Special Victims Counsel Personnel:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5 HQ Professionals</li> </ul>	0

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 53 Special Victims' Counsel</li> <li>• 44 Special Victims' Paralegals</li> </ul>	
Sexual Assault – Specific Investigators	Military Criminal Investigation Office investigators who specialize in sexual assault cases.	<b>24</b>	<b>350**</b>
Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiners	<p>Medical providers that have completed the DoD course at Fort Sam Houston, or equivalent.</p> <p>Specially trained healthcare provider who has completed specialized education and clinical preparation in the medical forensic care of the sexual assault patient. Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiners are trained to provide sexual assault patient care in accordance with Department of Justice training standards and have achieved certification through the DoD inter-Service Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiner training program or other DoD approved organization.</p>	<b>0</b>	<b>52</b>
Air Force Sexual Assault Medical Managers	The role of the Sexual Assault Medical Manager is a healthcare provider collateral duty that all Air Force medical facilities must have in CONUS. The Sexual Assault Medical Manager works with the SARC to ensure that patients reporting assault have received initial medical care (usually via memorandum of understanding/agreement in the civilian community). The Sexual Assault Medical Manager coordinates the continuing/follow-up medical care of that patient for further sexually transmitted infection testing, pregnancy testing and referrals as needed (such as Behavioral Health).	<b>0</b>	<b>30</b>

*Notes:*  
*\*These numbers reflect Alternate SARCs*  
*\*\*This number reflects all criminal lead agents working cases in the field*

Unrestricted Reports

AIR FORCE FY17 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY		
<p><b>A. FY17 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT</b> (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) <b>BY or AGAINST</b> Service Members.                      Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY17. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year.                      This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAID) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.</p>		FY17 Totals
<b># FY17 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)</b>		<b>1042</b>
# Service Member Victims		875
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject		133
# Relevant Data Not Available		34
<b># Unrestricted Reports in the following categories</b>		<b>1042</b>
# Service Member on Service Member		500
# Service Member on Non-Service Member		133
# Non-Service Member on Service Member		63
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member		45
# Relevant Data Not Available		301
<b># Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring</b>		<b>1042</b>
# On military installation		537
# Off military installation		427
# Unidentified location		78
<b># Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation</b>		<b>1042</b>
<b># Victims in investigations initiated during FY17</b>		<b>923</b>
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2017		193
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2017		730
<b># Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming</b>		<b>48</b>
<b># Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement</b>		<b>71</b>
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ		11
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations		1
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service		26
# Victims - Other		33
<b># All Restricted Reports received in FY17 (one Victim per report)</b>		<b>535</b>
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)		120
<b># Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY17</b>		<b>415</b>
<b>B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY17</b>		FY17 Totals
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report</b>		<b>875</b>
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault		257
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault		76
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault		90
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault		238
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault		166
# Relevant Data Not Available		48
<b>Time of sexual assault</b>		<b>875</b>
# Midnight to 6 am		395
# 6 am to 6 pm		157
# 6 pm to midnight		248
# Unknown		28
# Relevant Data Not Available		47
<b>Day of sexual assault</b>		<b>875</b>
# Sunday		117
# Monday		83
# Tuesday		86
# Wednesday		81
# Thursday		103
# Friday		136
# Saturday		221
# Relevant Data Not Available		48

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

C. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	FY17 Totals		
	633	79	26	11	7	25	6	255	1042		
# Service Member on Service Member	407	59	18	9	0	1	4	2	500		
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	125	6	0	0	0	0	2	0	133		
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	47	8	5	1	0	1	0	1	63		
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	12	0	1	0	7	23	0	2	45		
# Relevant Data Not Available	42	6	2	1	0	0	0	250	301		
<b>FY17 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)</b>											
<b>UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY17</b>	<b>Penetrating Offenses</b>				<b>Contact Offenses</b>						
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY17 Totals
D1.	168	2	292	6	22	401	0	6	29	116	1042
# Service Member on Service Member	58	0	175	0	5	241	0	1	15	5	500
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	22	1	41	2	4	56	0	0	3	4	133
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	14	0	12	2	1	26	0	0	4	4	63
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	15	0	12	1	1	7	0	1	5	3	45
# Relevant Data Not Available	59	1	52	1	11	71	0	4	2	100	301
D2.											
TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY17 Reports	145	1	236	4	18	328	0	6	26	111	875
# Service Member Victims: Female	116	1	208	0	11	264	0	4	22	85	711
# Service Member Victims: Male	29	0	28	4	7	64	0	2	4	26	164
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT MADE IN FY17</b>											
D3. Time of sexual assault	168	2	292	6	22	401	0	6	29	116	1042
# Midnight to 6 am	79	0	170	3	6	181	0	1	17	22	479
# 6 am to 6 pm	25	0	37	2	6	100	0	2	3	14	189
# 6 pm to midnight	54	2	84	1	10	118	0	0	9	20	298
# Unknown	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	14	28
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	46	48
D4. Day of sexual assault	168	2	292	6	22	401	0	6	29	116	1042
# Sunday	24	0	49	0	3	50	0	0	4	13	143
# Monday	23	0	25	1	0	41	0	3	3	5	101
# Tuesday	16	0	23	2	3	53	0	2	5	8	112
# Wednesday	16	1	25	0	4	40	0	0	1	8	95
# Thursday	25	0	34	1	2	47	0	0	2	9	120
# Friday	22	0	54	1	4	77	0	1	3	9	171
# Saturday	42	1	82	1	6	93	0	0	11	16	252
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	48	48

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS	FY17 Totals
<b>E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During FY17</b> Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.	
<b># Investigations Initiated during FY17</b>	<b>813</b>
# Investigations Completed as of FY17 End (group by MCIO #)	566
# Investigations Pending Completion as of FY17 End (group by MCIO #)	247
<b># Subjects in investigations Initiated During FY17</b>	<b>830</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by CID</b>	<b>17</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	2
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	15
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS</b>	<b>13</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	13
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI</b>	<b>589</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	582
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	7
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations</b>	<b>47</b>
Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations</b>	<b>46</b>
Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement</b>	<b>8</b>
Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	6
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	2
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>17</b>
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	5
# Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available	88
<b>E2. Service Investigations Completed during FY17</b>	
Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the FY17. These investigations may have been initiated during the FY17 or any prior FY.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by Services during FY17 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>743</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	78
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	45
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	8
<b># Subjects in investigations completed during FY17 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>791</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by CID</b>	<b>22</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	2
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	20
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS</b>	<b>18</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	18
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI</b>	<b>573</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	566
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	7
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>54</b>
# Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	57
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	67
<b># Victims in investigations completed during FY17, supported by your Service</b>	<b>851</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in CID investigations</b>	<b>23</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations	15
# Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations	8
<b># Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations</b>	<b>20</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	11
# Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	9
<b># Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations</b>	<b>624</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	616
# Other Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	8
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in completed Service Investigations, supported by your Service</b>	<b>155</b>
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	29

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

<b>E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during FY17</b>	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during FY17 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>23</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in investigations completed during FY17 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>22</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement</b>	<b>8</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	6
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	2
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>8</b>
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	6
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Victims in investigations completed during FY17, supported by your Service</b>	<b>23</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations</b>	<b>19</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	18
# Other Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	1
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service</b>	<b>4</b>
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during FY17 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as "MPs")</b>	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by MPs during FY17 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>0</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in MP investigations completed during FY17 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Victims in MP investigations completed during FY17, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in MP investigations</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0



Victims in Investigation Completed in FY17	Victim Data From Investigations completed during FY17										FY17 Totals
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses						
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
<b>F1. Gender of Victims</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>376</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>874</b>
# Male	16	0	27	2	3	66	0	0	1	7	122
# Female	114	5	262	2	12	294	0	1	21	14	725
# Unknown	1	0	10	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	27
<b>F2. Age of Victims</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>376</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>874</b>
# 0-15	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	6
# 16-19	33	2	52	0	1	57	0	0	5	0	150
# 20-24	43	0	152	0	5	135	0	0	4	3	342
# 25-34	23	1	38	2	1	75	0	0	4	6	150
# 35-49	4	1	6	0	3	14	0	0	3	1	34
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	22	1	50	2	5	92	0	0	6	11	189
<b>F3. Victim Type</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>376</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>874</b>
# Service Member	96	3	235	1	10	300	0	1	20	20	686
# DoD Civilian	0	0	2	0	2	8	0	0	0	0	12
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# US Civilian	34	2	51	3	3	45	0	0	2	0	140
# Foreign National	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	5
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	1	0	10	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	29
<b>F4. Grade of Service Member Victims</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>686</b>
# E1-E4	62	1	200	1	7	226	0	0	12	12	521
# E5-E9	22	1	22	0	3	54	0	0	5	5	112
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	9	0	10	0	0	14	0	0	1	3	37
# O4-O10	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	4
# Cadet/Midshipman	3	0	3	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	11
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>F5. Service of Service Member Victims</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>686</b>
# Army	2	0	2	0	0	6	0	0	0	2	12
# Navy	0	0	4	0	0	6	0	0	1	1	12
# Marines	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# Air Force	94	3	228	1	10	287	0	1	19	17	660
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>F6. Status of Service Member Victims</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>686</b>
# Active Duty	86	3	224	1	10	270	0	1	17	20	632
# Reserve (Activated)	7	0	5	0	0	18	0	0	3	0	33
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	3	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	9
# Cadet/Midshipman	3	0	3	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	11
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

G. DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY17 (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	Subject Data From Investigations completed during FY17											
	Penetrating Offenses					Contact Offenses					Offense Code Data Not Available	FY17 Totals
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)			
<b>G1. Gender of Subjects</b>	138	5	290	5	17	325	0	1	25	7	813	
# Male	108	4	255	3	12	291	0	1	19	6	699	
# Female	5	0	7	2	0	19	0	0	0	1	24	
# Unknown	15	1	17	0	0	8	0	0	4	0	45	
# Relevant Data Not Available	10	0	11	0	5	7	0	0	2	0	35	
<b>G2. Age of Subjects</b>	138	5	290	5	17	325	0	1	25	7	813	
# 0-15	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
# 16-19	10	0	31	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	71	
# 20-24	46	0	155	1	3	108	0	0	8	1	322	
# 25-34	33	3	63	2	7	101	0	0	5	2	216	
# 35-49	9	0	10	0	1	54	0	0	2	1	77	
# 50-64	3	1	0	0	0	11	0	0	2	0	17	
# 65 and older	11	1	9	0	0	6	0	0	3	0	30	
# Unknown	9	0	1	2	1	7	0	1	1	0	22	
# Relevant Data Not Available	14	0	17	0	5	8	0	0	4	3	51	
<b>G3. Subject Type</b>	139	5	290	5	17	326	0	1	25	7	813	
# Service Member	87	3	238	3	10	261	0	0	15	4	621	
# Drill Instructors/Drill Sergeants	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Recruiters	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4	
# DoD Civilian	1	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	1	0	13	
# DoD Contractor	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# US Civilian	14	1	10	2	0	13	0	0	2	0	42	
# Foreign National	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	4	
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	22	1	21	0	1	11	0	1	4	2	63	
# Relevant Data Not Available	13	0	19	0	6	28	0	0	2	1	67	
<b>G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects</b>	87	3	238	3	10	261	0	0	15	4	621	
# E1-E4	53	0	180	2	4	159	0	0	10	0	408	
# E5-E9	25	2	40	1	5	75	0	0	4	3	155	
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# O1-O3	7	1	12	0	1	9	0	0	0	1	31	
# O4-O10	2	0	3	0	0	15	0	0	1	0	21	
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	6	
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>G5. Service of Service Member Subjects</b>	87	3	238	3	10	261	0	0	15	4	621	
# Army	7	0	10	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	26	
# Navy	2	0	8	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	17	
# Marines	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	4	
# Air Force	77	3	220	3	10	242	0	0	15	4	574	
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>G6. Status of Service Member Subjects</b>	87	3	238	3	10	261	0	0	15	4	621	
# Active Duty	84	3	223	3	10	239	0	0	14	4	580	
# Reserve (Activated)	3	0	8	0	0	18	0	0	1	0	30	
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	6	
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY17 INVESTIGATIONS	FY17 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED FY17 INVESTIGATIONS	FY17 Totals
# Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOS or other law enforcement for investigation during FY17, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.	5		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	2		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	1		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	1		
# Subjects - Other	1		
# Subjects in investigations completed in FY17 Note: These are Subjects from Tab1b, Cells B29, B59, B77.	832	# Victims in investigations completed in FY17	874
# Service Member Subjects in investigations opened and completed in FY17	456	# Service Member Victims in investigations opened and completed in FY17	515
# Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority	96		
# Unknown Offenders	66	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	6
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	46
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	25	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	8
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	16
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	5	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports against a Service Member who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	2
# Subjects who died or deserted	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
		# Service Member Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	123		
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	82	# Service Member Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action	69
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	33	# Service Member Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute	29
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	1	# Service Member Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	1
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	7	# Service Member Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command	4
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	0	# Service Member Victims who died before completion of the military justice action	0
# Subjects disposition data not yet available	436	# Service Member Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available	532
# Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 30-SEP-2017	160		
# FY17 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action	160	# FY17 Service Member Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action	144
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	45	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals against Subject	36
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	15	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	17
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	4	# Service Member Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	2
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions	25	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject	22
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	3	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals for non-sexual assault offenses	1
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	32	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	34
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	2	# Service Member Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	2
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	34	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense	30
* Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.			

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

<b>I. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martial for sexual assault crimes completed during FY17</b>		<b>FY17 Totals</b>
<b># Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a Sexual Assault Charge Pending Court Completion</b>		<b>122</b>
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY17		9
<b># Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY17</b>		<b>113</b>
<b># Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed</b>		<b>19</b>
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer		9
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment		1
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial		7
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment		1
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal		1
<b># Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial</b>		<b>26</b>
# Officer Subjects who were allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial		0
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial		26
<b># Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a sexual assault charge</b>		<b>68</b>
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges		31
<b># Subjects Convicted of Any Charge at Trial</b>		<b>37</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment		0
# Subjects with no punishment		0
# Subjects with pending punishment		0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>		<b>37</b>
# Subjects receiving confinement		30
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank		34
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures		26
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)		27
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom		1
# Subjects receiving extra duty		0
# Subjects receiving hard labor		7
# Subjects to be processed for administrative discharge or separation subsequent to sexual assault conviction		8
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge		0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge		8
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge		0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge		0
# Convicted Subjects with a conviction under a UCMJ Article that requires Sex Offender Registration		22
<b>J. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for sexual assault crimes completed during FY17</b>		<b>FY17 Totals</b>
<b># Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY17</b>		<b>20</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY17		3
<b># Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY17</b>		<b>17</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed		1
<b># Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment</b>		<b>16</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment		0
# Subjects with no punishment		0
# Subjects with pending punishment		0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>		<b>16</b>
# Subjects receiving correctional custody		0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank		11
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures		4
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom		3
# Subjects receiving extra duty		2
# Subjects receiving hard labor		0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand		15
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a sexual assault charge		7
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge		1
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge		6
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge		0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge		0
<b>K. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN. This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.</b>		<b>FY17 Totals</b>
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY17		1
<b># Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a sexual assault offense</b>		<b>2</b>
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge		1
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge		1
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge		0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge		0
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of FY17		2
<b># Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a sexual assault offense</b>		<b>38</b>

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

<b>L. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Non-sexual assault offense)</b> . This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martials for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.		<b>FY17 Totals</b>
<b># Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a non-sexual assault offense in FY17</b>		<b>4</b>
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY17		0
<b># Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY17</b>		<b>4</b>
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal		0
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial for a non-sexual assault offense		0
# Officer Subjects who were officers that were allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial		0
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial		0
<b># Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a non-sexual assault offense</b>		<b>4</b>
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges		1
<b># Subjects Convicted of Any Non-Sexual Assault Charge at Trial</b>		<b>3</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment		0
# Subjects with no punishment		0
# Subjects with pending punishment		0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>		<b>3</b>
# Subjects receiving confinement		3
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank		3
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures		1
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)		0
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom		0
# Subjects receiving extra duty		0
# Subjects receiving hard labor		1
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to conviction at trial		3
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge		0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge		3
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge		0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge		0
<b>M. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Non-Sexual Assault Charge)</b> . This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.		<b>FY17 Totals</b>
<b># Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY17</b>		<b>41</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY17		2
<b># Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY17</b>		<b>39</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed		3
<b># Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense</b>		<b>36</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment		0
# Subjects with no punishment		0
# Subjects with pending punishment		0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>		<b>36</b>
# Subjects receiving correctional custody		0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank		18
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures		18
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom		4
# Subjects receiving extra duty		10
# Subjects receiving hard labor		0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand		34
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge		5
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge		2
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge		3
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge		0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge		0
<b>N. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN (Non-sexual assault offense)</b> . This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.		<b>FY17 Totals</b>
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY17		0
<b># Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a non-sexual assault offense</b>		<b>3</b>
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge		1
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge		2
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge		0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge		0
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of FY17		0
<b># Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a non-sexual assault offense</b>		<b>43</b>

Restricted Reports

AIR FORCE FY17 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
<b>A. FY17 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT</b>	<b>FY17 Totals</b>
<b># TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports</b>	<b>535</b>
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports	506
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject	21
# Relevant Data Not Available	8
<b># Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY17*</b>	<b>120</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY17	110
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY17	4
# Relevant Data Not Available	6
<b># Total Victim reports remaining Restricted</b>	<b>415</b>
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	396
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	17
# Relevant Data Not Available	2
<b># Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories</b>	<b>415</b>
# Service Member on Service Member	204
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	130
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	17
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	61
# Relevant Data Not Available	3
<b>B. INCIDENT DETAILS</b>	<b>FY17 Totals</b>
<b># Reported sexual assaults occurring</b>	<b>415</b>
# On military installation	140
# Off military installation	230
# Unidentified location	17
# Relevant Data Not Available	28
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report</b>	<b>415</b>
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	79
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	30
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	24
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	82
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	116
# Relevant Data Not Available	84
<b>Time of sexual assault incident</b>	<b>415</b>
# Midnight to 6 am	140
# 6 am to 6 pm	48
# 6 pm to midnight	176
# Unknown	39
# Relevant Data Not Available	12
<b>Day of sexual assault incident</b>	<b>415</b>
# Sunday	47
# Monday	24
# Tuesday	18
# Wednesday	26
# Thursday	38
# Friday	52
# Saturday	128
# Relevant Data Not Available	82
<b>C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION</b>	<b>FY17 Totals</b>
<b># Service Member Victims</b>	<b>396</b>
# Army Victims	8
# Navy Victims	10
# Marines Victims	1
# Air Force Victims	377
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0

Restricted Reports (continued)

<b>D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY17 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT</b>		<b>FY17 Totals</b>
<b>Gender of Victims</b>		<b>415</b>
# Male		55
# Female		358
# Relevant Data Not Available		2
<b>Age of Victims at the Time of Incident</b>		<b>415</b>
# 0-15		25
# 16-19		109
# 20-24		177
# 25-34		88
# 35-49		15
# 50-64		1
# 65 and older		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>Grade of Service Member Victims</b>		<b>396</b>
# E1-E4		264
# E5-E9		84
# WO1-WO5		0
# O1-O3		31
# O4-O10		8
# Cadet/Midshipman		8
# Academy Prep School Student		1
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>Status of Service Member Victims</b>		<b>396</b>
# Active Duty		365
# Reserve (Activated)		15
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)		7
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student		8
# Academy Prep School Student		1
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>Victim Type</b>		<b>415</b>
# Service Member		396
# DoD Civilian		
# DoD Contractor		
# Other US Government Civilian		
# Non-Service Member		17
# Foreign National		
# Foreign Military		
# Relevant Data Not Available		2
<b>E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE</b>		<b>FY17 Totals</b>
<b># Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service</b>		<b>80</b>
# Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18		46
# Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18		34
# Service Member Choosing Not to Specify		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY)</b>		<b>FY17 Totals</b>
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted		27.62
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted		43.57
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted		2
<b>G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY17</b>		<b>FY17 Totals</b>
<b>Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY17</b>		<b>23</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY17		21
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY17		2
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
* The Restricted Reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.		



AIR FORCE FY17 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT		
<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>		
<b>A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBERS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:</b>	<b>FY17 Totals</b>	
<b># Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories</b>		
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>1654</b>	
# Medical	141	
# Mental Health	342	
# Legal	368	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	236	
# Rape Crisis Center		
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	349	
# DoD Safe Helpline	145	
# Other	73	
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>106</b>	
# Medical	20	
# Mental Health	28	
# Legal	0	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	2	
# Rape Crisis Center	34	
# Victim Advocate	1	
# DoD Safe Helpline		
# Other	21	
<b># Cases where SAFEs were conducted</b>	<b>104</b>	
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>1</b>	
<b># Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service</b>	<b>64</b>	
<b>B. FY17 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO) * AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS - UNRESTRICTED REPORTS</b>	<b>FY17 TOTALS</b>	
<b># Military Protective Orders issued during FY17</b>	<b>96</b>	
<b># Reported MPO Violations in FY17</b>	<b>1</b>	
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	1	
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault	0	
# Reported MPO Violations by Both	0	
*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk for the Victim.		
Use the following categories or add a new category to identify the reason the requests were denied:		<b>FY17 TOTALS</b>
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	1	<b>Total Number Denied</b> 2
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0	<b>Reasons for Disapproval (Total)</b> 2
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	152	Moved Alleged Offender Instead 0
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	2	Pre-existing Transfer Order Used Instead 0
<b>C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS</b>		
<b># Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories</b>	<b>FY17 TOTALS</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>812</b>	
# Medical	73	
# Mental Health	205	
# Legal	127	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	143	
# Rape Crisis Center		
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	164	
# DoD Safe Helpline	77	
# Other	23	
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>77</b>	
# Medical	10	
# Mental Health	21	
# Legal	0	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	2	
# Rape Crisis Center	29	
# Victim Advocate	4	
# DoD Safe Helpline		
# Other	11	
<b># Cases where SAFEs were conducted</b>	<b>38</b>	
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>1</b>	
		Case did not meet sexual assault criteria 1
		Not enough time for an expedited transfer because victim separation already planned 1

AIR FORCE FY17 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>	
CIVILIAN DATA	
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER	FY17 Totals
<b>D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:</b>	<b>168</b>
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	33
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	7
# Relevant Data Not Available	128
<b>D2. Gender of Non-Service Members</b>	<b>168</b>
# Male	10
# Female	117
# Relevant Data Not Available	41
<b>D3. Age of Non-Service Members at the Time of Incident</b>	<b>168</b>
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	9
# 20-24	5
# 25-34	14
# 35-49	5
# 50-64	3
# 65 and older	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	129
<b>D4. Non-Service Member Type</b>	<b>168</b>
# DoD Civilian	39
# DoD Contractor	4
# Other US Government Civilian	4
# US Civilian	67
# Foreign National	4
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	48
<b>D5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Members in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>97</b>
# Medical	7
# Mental Health	13
# Legal	24
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	13
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	26
# DoD Safe Helpline	8
# Other	6
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>59</b>
# Medical	8
# Mental Health	17
# Legal	4
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	3
# Rape Crisis Center	12
# Victim Advocate	10
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	5
<b># Cases where SAFEs were conducted</b>	<b>17</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>E. FY17 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS</b>	<b>FY17 Totals</b>
<b>E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report</b>	<b>33</b>
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY17	4
<b># Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted</b>	<b>29</b>
<b># Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories:</b>	<b>29</b>
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	11
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	14
# Relevant Data Not Available	4
<b>E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims</b>	<b>29</b>
# Male	2
# Female	24
# Relevant Data Not Available	3
<b>E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident</b>	<b>29</b>
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	8
# 20-24	7
# 25-34	10
# 35-49	4
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E4. VICTIM Type</b>	<b>29</b>
# DoD Civilian	0
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# Non-Service Member	25
# Relevant Data Not Available	4
<b>E5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources</b>	<b>46</b>
# Medical	10
# Mental Health	8
# Legal	8
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	6
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	10
# DoD Safe Helpline	4
# Other	0
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>18</b>
# Medical	3
# Mental Health	4
# Legal	1
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	3
# Rape Crisis Center	3
# Victim Advocate	2
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	2
<b># Cases where SAFEs were conducted</b>	<b>8</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>0</b>

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest

AIR FORCE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST FY17 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY Note: These Reports are a subset of the FY17 Reports of Sexual Assault.		
<b>A. FY17 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b> (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) <b>BY</b> or <b>AGAINST</b> Service Members. Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY17. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year. This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAD) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.	<b>FY17 Totals</b>	
<b># FY17 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)</b>	<b>42</b>	
# Service Member Victims	40	
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject	1	
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	
<b># Unrestricted Reports in the following categories</b>	<b>42</b>	
# Service Member on Service Member	22	
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	1	
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	4	
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	2	
# Relevant Data Not Available	13	
<b># Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring</b>	<b>42</b>	
# On military installation	38	
# Off military installation	3	
# Unidentified location	1	
<b># Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation</b>	<b>42</b>	
<b># Victims in investigations initiated during FY17</b>	<b>39</b>	
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2017	4	
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2017	35	
<b># Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming</b>	<b>1</b>	
<b># Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement</b>	<b>2</b>	
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ	0	
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0	
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service	0	
# Victims - Other	2	
<b># All Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest received in FY17 (one Victim per report)</b>	<b>30</b>	
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)	6	
<b># Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY17</b>	<b>24</b>	
<b>B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST FOR FY17</b>	<b>FY17 Totals</b>	<b>FY17 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases</b>
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>40</b>
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	17	15
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	7	7
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	2	2
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	11	11
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	5	5
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0
<b>Time of sexual assault</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>40</b>
# Midnight to 6 am	25	24
# 6 am to 6 pm	7	7
# 6 pm to midnight	10	9
# Unknown	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0
<b>Day of sexual assault</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>40</b>
# Sunday	13	13
# Monday	5	4
# Tuesday	2	2
# Wednesday	6	5
# Thursday	4	4
# Friday	5	5
# Saturday	7	7
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

C. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	FY17 Totals		
		28	5	0	0	0	1	0	8	42	
# Service Member on Service Member	18	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	22		
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4		
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2		
# Relevant Data Not Available	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	13		
<b>FY17 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)</b>											
<b>UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY17</b>	<b>Penetrating Offenses</b>				<b>Contact Offenses</b>						
D. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY17 Totals
D1.	4	0	10	0	0	27	0	0	0	1	42
# Service Member on Service Member	1	0	6	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	22
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	3	0	1	0	0	8	0	0	0	1	13
D2.											
TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY17 Reports	4	0	10	0	0	25	0	0	0	1	40
# Service Member Victims: Female	2	0	7	0	0	22	0	0	0	1	32
# Service Member Victims: Male	2	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	8
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST MADE IN FY17</b>											
D3. Time of sexual assault	4	0	10	0	0	27	0	0	0	1	42
# Midnight to 6 am	2	0	6	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	25
# 6 am to 6 pm	1	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	7
# 6 pm to midnight	1	0	4	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	10
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D4. Day of sexual assault	4	0	10	0	0	27	0	0	0	1	42
# Sunday	0	0	6	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	13
# Monday	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	5
# Tuesday	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
# Wednesday	1	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	6
# Thursday	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	4
# Friday	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	5
# Saturday	0	0	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	7
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

FY17 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATIONS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT. Note: The data in this section is drawn from raw, uninvestigated information about Unrestricted Reports received during FY17. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year.	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12)  (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY17 Totals
	<b>TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS</b>	4	0	10	0	0	27	0	0	0	1
Afghanistan	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
Bahrain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Djibouti	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
Iraq	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jordan	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
Kuwait	1	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	6
Kyrgyzstan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lebanon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pakistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Qatar	0	0	2	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	9
Saudi Arabia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Syria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Turkey	1	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	11
Uae	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
Yemen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS</b>	4	0	10	0	0	27	0	0	0	1	42

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY17 Totals
<b>E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During FY17 in Combat Areas of Interest</b>	
Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.	
<b># Investigations Initiated during FY17</b>	<b>36</b>
# Investigations Completed as of FY17 End (group by MCIO #)	28
# Investigations Pending Completion as of FY17 End (group by MCIO #)	8
<b># Subjects in investigations Initiated During FY17</b>	<b>36</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by CID</b>	<b>2</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	2
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS</b>	<b>2</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	2
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI</b>	<b>17</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	17
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations</b>	
Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	4
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations</b>	
Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	3
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement</b>	
Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>E2. Service Investigations Completed during FY17 in Combat Areas of Interest</b>	
Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the FY17. These investigations may have been initiated during the FY17 or any prior FY.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by Services during FY17 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>39</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	3
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	1
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	1
<b># Subjects in investigations completed during FY17 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>39</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by CID</b>	<b>3</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	3
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS</b>	<b>1</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	1
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI</b>	<b>21</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	19
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	2
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>4</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>6</b>
<b># Subject Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>4</b>
<b># Victims in investigations completed during FY17, supported by your Service</b>	<b>44</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in CID investigations</b>	<b>7</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations	2
# Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations	5
<b># Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations</b>	<b>2</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	2
# Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	0
<b># Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations</b>	<b>33</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	33
# Other Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in completed Service Investigations, supported by your Service</b>	<b>1</b>
<b># Victim Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>1</b>

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY17 Totals
<b>E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during FY17 in Combat Areas of Interest</b>	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
# Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during FY17 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in investigations completed during FY17 involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Victims in investigations completed during FY17, supported by your Service	0
# Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Your Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during FY17 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as "MPs") in Combat Areas of Interest</b>	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.	
# Total Investigations completed by MPs during FY17 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in MP investigations completed during FY17 involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Victims in MP investigations completed during FY17, supported by your Service	0
# Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Your Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations, supported by your Service	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0



Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

Victims in Investigations Completed in FY17 in Combat Areas of Interest	Victim Data From Investigations completed during FY17											
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses							Offense Code Data Not Available
F. DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY17 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)			
<b>F1. Gender of Victims</b>	3	0	12	0	0	29	0	0	0	0	44	
# Male	2	0	3	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	10	
# Female	1	0	9	0	0	24	0	0	0	0	34	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>F2. Age of Victims</b>	3	0	12	0	0	29	0	0	0	0	44	
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 16-19	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	
# 20-24	1	0	9	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	27	
# 25-34	1	0	2	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	11	
# 35-49	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	
<b>F3. Victim Type</b>	3	0	12	0	0	29	0	0	0	0	44	
# Service Member	3	0	12	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	42	
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
<b>F4. Grade of Service Member Victims</b>	3	0	12	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	42	
# E1-E4	0	0	9	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	32	
# E5-E9	2	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	9	
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# O1-O3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>F5. Service of Service Member Victims</b>	3	0	12	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	42	
# Army	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	5	
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Air Force	3	0	11	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	37	
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>F6. Status of Service Member Victims</b>	3	0	12	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	42	
# Active Duty	3	0	10	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	35	
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	7	
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

Subjects in Investigations Completed in FY17 in Combat Areas of Interest	Subject Data From Investigations completed during FY17										
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses				Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY17 Totals
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)			
<b>G. DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY17 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)</b>											
<b>G1. Gender of Subjects</b>	2	0	11	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	39
# Male	1	0	10	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	34
# Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	4
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>G2. Age of Subjects</b>	2	0	11	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	39
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 16-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 20-24	0	0	3	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	17
# 25-34	0	0	4	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	9
# 35-49	0	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	7
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	4
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
<b>G3. Subject Type</b>	2	0	11	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	39
# Service Member	0	0	8	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	25
# Drill Instructors/Drill Sergeants	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Recruiters	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# DoD Contractor	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	2	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	6
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
<b>G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects</b>	0	0	8	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	25
# E1-E4	0	0	4	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	16
# E5-E9	0	0	3	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	8
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G5. Service of Service Member Subjects</b>	0	0	8	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	25
# Army	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
# Navy	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Air Force	0	0	5	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	19
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G6. Status of Service Member Subjects</b>	0	0	8	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	25
# Active Duty	0	0	5	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	19
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY17 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY17 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED FY17 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY17 Totals
<b># Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement</b> <b>Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOs or other law enforcement for investigation during FY17, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.</b>	1		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	0		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	0		
# Subjects - Other	1		
<b># Subjects in investigations completed in FY17</b> <b>Note: These are Subjects from Tab1b, Cells B29, B59, B77.</b>	40	<b># Victims in investigations completed in FY17</b>	44
<b># Service Member Subjects in investigations opened and completed in FY17</b>	18	<b># Service Member Victims in investigations opened and completed in FY17</b>	30
<b># Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority</b>	10		
# Unknown Offenders	6	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	1
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	5
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	4	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	4
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	0
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports against a Service Member who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0
		# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Subjects who died or deserted	0	# Service Member Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
<b># Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault</b>	4		
<i># Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action</i>	2	<i># Service Member Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action</i>	2
<i># Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute</i>	2	<i># Service Member Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute</i>	2
<i># Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations</i>	0	<i># Service Member Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations</i>	0
<i># Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command</i>	0	<i># Service Member Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command</i>	0
<i># Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action</i>	0	<i># Service Member Victims who died before completion of the military justice action</i>	0
<b># Subjects disposition data not yet available</b>	12	<b># Service Member Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available</b>	13
<b># Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 30-SEP-2017</b>	14		
<b># FY17 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action</b>	14	<b># FY17 Service Member Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action</b>	17
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	1	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals against Subject	2
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	1	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	4
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions	4	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject	4
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	1	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals for non-sexual assault offenses	1
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	4	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	4
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	3	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense	2
<b>* Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.</b>			

Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest

AIR FORCE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (CAI) FY17 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
<b>A. FY17 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY17 Totals</b>
<b># TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports</b>	<b>30</b>
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports	30
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY17*</b>	<b>6</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY17	6
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY17	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Total Victim reports remaining Restricted</b>	<b>24</b>
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	24
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories</b>	<b>24</b>
# Service Member on Service Member	18
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	3
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	0
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>B. INCIDENT DETAILS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY17 Totals</b>
<b># Reported sexual assaults occurring</b>	<b>24</b>
# On military installation	23
# Off military installation	1
# Unidentified location	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report</b>	<b>24</b>
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	2
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	0
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	1
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	7
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	8
# Relevant Data Not Available	6
<b>Time of sexual assault incident</b>	<b>24</b>
# Midnight to 6 am	6
# 6 am to 6 pm	1
# 6 pm to midnight	15
# Unknown	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Day of sexual assault incident</b>	<b>24</b>
# Sunday	1
# Monday	1
# Tuesday	4
# Wednesday	4
# Thursday	4
# Friday	2
# Saturday	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	6
<b>C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY17 Totals</b>
<b># Service Member Victims</b>	<b>24</b>
# Army Victims	1
# Navy Victims	0
# Marines Victims	0
# Air Force Victims	23
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0

Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

AIR FORCE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (CAI) FY17 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
<b>D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY17 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY17 Totals</b>
<b>Gender of Victims</b>	<b>24</b>
# Male	5
# Female	19
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Age of Victims at the Time of Incident</b>	<b>24</b>
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	4
# 20-24	8
# 25-34	9
# 35-49	3
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Grade of Service Member Victims</b>	<b>24</b>
# E1-E4	11
# E5-E9	11
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	1
# O4-O10	1
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Status of Service Member Victims</b>	<b>24</b>
# Active Duty	22
# Reserve (Activated)	1
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	1
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Victim Type</b>	<b>24</b>
# Service Member	24
# DoD Civilian	0
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# Non-Service Member	0
# Foreign National	0
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY17 Totals</b>
<b># Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service</b>	<b>0</b>
# Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18	0
# Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18	0
# Service Member Choosing Not to Specify	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY17 Totals</b>
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	18.5
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	21.06
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	1
<b>G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY17 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY17 Totals</b>
<b>Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY17</b>	<b>0</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY17	0
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY17	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>TOTAL # FY17 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT</b>	<b>FY17 Totals</b>
<b>TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>24</b>
Afghanistan	3
Bahrain	0
Djibouti	1
Iraq	2
Jordan	1
Kuwait	3
Kyrgyzstan	1
Lebanon	0
Oman	0
Pakistan	0
Qatar	5
Saudi Arabia	0
Syria	0
Turkey	7
Uae	1
Yemen	0
* The Restricted Reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.	

Support Services in Combat Areas of Interest

AIR FORCE CAI FY17 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	
<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>	
<b>A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBERS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:</b>	<b>FY17 Totals</b>
<b># Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>64</b>
# Medical	7
# Mental Health	7
# Legal	17
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	8
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	15
# DoD Safe Helpline	2
# Other	8
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>4</b>
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	1
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	1
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	
# Other	2
<b># Cases where SAFEs were conducted</b>	<b>6</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>B. FY17 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)* AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS - UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY17 TOTALS</b>
<b># Military Protective Orders issued during FY17</b>	<b>9</b>
<b># Reported MPO Violations in FY17</b>	<b>0</b>
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Both	0
<i>*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made</i>	
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	0
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	4
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0
<b>C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY17 TOTALS</b>
<b># Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>31</b>
# Medical	7
# Mental Health	6
# Legal	4
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	4
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	5
# DoD Safe Helpline	3
# Other	2
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>6</b>
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	3
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	2
# Victim Advocate	1
# DoD Safe Helpline	
# Other	0
<b># Cases where SAFEs were conducted</b>	<b>1</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>0</b>

CIVILIAN DATA	
<b>D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY17 Totals</b>
<b>D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:</b>	<b>3</b>
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	0
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	3
<b>D2. Gender of Non-Service Members</b>	<b>3</b>
# Male	0
# Female	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
<b>D3. Age of Non-Service Members at the Time of Incident</b>	<b>3</b>
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	1
# 20-24	0
# 25-34	0
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	2
<b>D4. Non-Service Member Type</b>	<b>3</b>
# DoD Civilian	0
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# US Civilian	1
# Foreign National	0
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	2
<b>D5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Members in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>1</b>
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	1
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>1</b>
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	1
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>1</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>E. FY17 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY17 Totals</b>
<b>E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report</b>	<b>0</b>
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY17	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories:</b>	<b>0</b>
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	0
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims</b>	<b>0</b>
# Male	0
# Female	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident</b>	<b>0</b>
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	0
# 25-34	0
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E4. VICTIM Type</b>	<b>0</b>
# DoD Civilian	0
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources</b>	<b>0</b>
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	0
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>0</b>
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	0
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>0</b>



No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject Is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Prior Investigation For Sex Offense?	Subject Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Behavior Type	Quarter/Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Other Serious Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Relevant Charges (Reviewed per Art 62 Hearing or applicable)	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Final Report or Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
1	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Court-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was intoxicated to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for rape and sexual assault. Following the Article 15 hearing, the general court-martial covering authority returned the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial covering authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTIC service characterization.
2	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Korea, Rep Of	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No			Q3 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her breasts and placed his hand on her pants. Victim reported Subject did not describe her reports with the report. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court-martial.
3	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Court-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis without her consent. Victim reported that she fell asleep and woke up when subject penetrated her vagina with his penis and that she had her "top" and "tights" and he continued to penetrate her. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Following the Article 15 hearing, the general court-martial covering authority returned the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial covering authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTIC service characterization.
4	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			Q4 (July-September)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LDC) Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her breast and the rear her clothes while playing a basketball in a way that made her feel uncomfortable. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LDC to Subject.
5	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Jordan	Air Force	E-3	Female			Male	No			Q4 (July-September)	Subject is a Chalien or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported Subject ran his hand up and down the back of the victim, inappropriately touching her buttocks. Subject later contacted to obtain law enforcement who closed the case with no action. The installation commander debriefed Subject from the installation.
6	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Acquittal						Notes: Victim reported Subject touched breasts, buttocks and genital area over her clothes with her consent. Victim also reported Subject took her hand and placed it over Subject's penis outside of her clothes without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered Subject non-judicial punishment. The commander found the subject not guilty and terminated the non-judicial punishment after receiving Subject's request.
7	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted	Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 12)	None			Notes: Victim reported Subject forced her to rub her face against his groin area over his clothes. Victim also reported Subject sexually harassed her at work. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge of Abuse of Authority along with other charges. Subject was convicted of dereliction of duty, but acquitted of the abusive sexual contact.	
8	Rape (Art. 120)	N/A	US Outbid		Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q4 (July-September)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Court-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Loss of Life; Confinement (Months): 1; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Hard Labor: No Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was intoxicated. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was convicted of sexual assault.
9	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim reported Subject kissed her without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued an LOR to Subject.
10	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	O-1	Male	Air Force	O-1	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Admonishment (LDA) Notes: Victim reported Subject attempted to put his finger into Victim's rectum. Victim told Subject to stop and not to do attempt to touch her again. After receiving the report of investigation, taking into account the Victim's wishes and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander closed the case with a LDA.
11	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her buttocks during a hug. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOR to Subject.
12	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Chordilly conduct (Art. 13-15)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Confinement: Confinement (Months): 0 Notes: Witness reported Subject kissed and touched Victim's mouth over her clothes while she was intoxicated. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander offered Subject non-judicial punishment for disorderly behavior.
13	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims Male & Female		Air Force	E-6	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 15 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she felt uneasy after drinking two bottles of wine and woke up to find Subject penetrating her vagina with his penis. Subject stopped sexual stimulation when Victim asked her to stop. Subject asked when a sexual assault allegation against Victim. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. The charges were dismissed following the Article 15 hearing due to insufficient evidence.
14	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims Female		Air Force	E-3	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Court-Martial						Notes: Victim 1 reported that Subject began kissing and caressing her without her consent. After receiving her statement, he digitally penetrated her anal orifice with his penis in her vagina. Additionally, he caressed her by placing his hands on her arms and about 16 points on her torso without her consent. Victim 2 reported that Subject kissed her and touched her breast without consent. Subject admitted and confirmed the Victim's allegations. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges against Subject. Subject through his defense counsel submitted a request for discharge in lieu of court-martial (Chapter 4). This request was approved for an under other than honor discharge.

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject Is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject Waiver/Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter/Disposition/Complaint	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court/Civil or Article 15 Decision	Reasons Charged/Dismissed at Art 15 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Committed	Administrative Discharge/Type	Next Regular or Set Officer	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note		
1	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was too intoxicated to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for rape and sexual assault. Following the Article 15 hearing, the general court-martial covering authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim requested the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial covering authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTHC service characterization.	
15	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Germany	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			04 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Subject (a single subject)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported Subject violated her buttocks without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOR to Subject.	
16	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	E-2	Female	Yes			03 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense										Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed her buttocks without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOR to Subject.	
17	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims-Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted							All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime) Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject penetrated her vagina and anus with his penis and performed oral sex on her while she was too intoxicated to consent. Victim 2 who had drunk heavily and woke up with Subject naked on top of her and mouth breathing with Subject. Victim 2 was not sure if she was penetrated by Subject. Victim 2's report is limited by the results of interviews. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault regarding Victim 1. Subject was acquitted at court-martial.	
18	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	KUWAIT	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	Yes			04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 120c)		Acquitted								Notes: Victim reported Subject masturbated in front of her and asked her to look at him. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for indecent exposure along with other charges. Subject was acquitted of all charges of misconduct.
19	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Multiple Victims-Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted							Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject digitally penetrated her vagina and penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was highly intoxicated. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted of court-martial.
20	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None					Both Victim and Subject	Failure of Pay and Allowances: No Fine, No Deduction for Reduction in Rate, No Pay Grade Reduced To 6-0 Extra Duty, No Hard Labor, No Confinement (Self-Dirig) OR Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed her buttocks without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander ordered Subject non-judicial punishment for deviation of duty and assault committed by battery.
21	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	D-3	Male	No			04 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action										Adverse Administration Action Type: Other. Notes: Victim reported Subject was sexually harassing her and touched her over pants over her buttocks through her clothing on one occasion. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued Subject a verbal counseling.	
22	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense										Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOR). Notes: Victim reported Subject placed his hand on her back, hit her hand down her body and squeezed her buttocks while at a bar. After receiving the report of investigation, using the account the Victim's wishes and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander closed the case with a LOR for unprofessional conduct.
23	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Germany	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action										Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported Subject kissed her and touched her breast without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander closed the case with a LOR.
24	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims-Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			04 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action										All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime) Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject kissed her without consent. Victim 2 made no report regarding this Subject. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued an LOR to Subject.	
24b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims-Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action										All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime) Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject kissed her without consent. Victim 2 made no report regarding this Subject. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued an LOR to Subject.	
25	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense										Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported Subject placed his hand on her knee on two occasions without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOR to Subject.	
26a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial							All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime) Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis without consent on two occasions. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Following the Article 15 hearing, the general court-martial covering authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim requested the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial covering authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTHC service characterization.	
26b	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial							All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime) Notes: Victim reported Subject 1 held her down and placed his penis into her mouth while Subject 2 penetrated her vagina with his penis without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Following the Article 15 hearing, the general court-martial covering authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. The general court-martial covering authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTHC service characterization.	

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject Is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Prior Investigation For Sex Assault?	Subject More Accused/Accessories?	Subject Release Type	Quarter/Disposition/Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Disposition	Dismissal Charges (Inward of Art 22 Hearing, if applicable)	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Convict	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note	
1	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Court-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was too intoxicated to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for rape and sexual assault. Following the Article 22 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim reported the Chapter 4 discharge. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim reported the Chapter 4 discharge. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. The commander administratively discharged Subject. Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTIC on-the-spot characterization.	
27	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	Air Force	O-5	Male	No				01 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim reported Subject repeated her buttocks and made inappropriate statements to her. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued an LOR to Subject.	
28	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Oahu	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	General	Yes			Court-Martial discharge. None. Confinement: No. Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No. Fine: No. Restriction: No. Reduction in rank: No. Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2. Hard Labor: No. Hard Labor (Days): 90. Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed her breasts over her clothes while she was sleeping on her cot. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for abusive sexual contact. Subject was convicted of abusive sexual contact and administratively discharged with an under honorable (limited) conditions discharge.	
29	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force		Male					Subject is a Citizen or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported that Subject made numerous sexually suggestive comments to her. Victim also reported while performing work duties. Subject prohibited himself from being around her arms around Victim's leg, touching her inner thigh. Subject is a contractor and was terminated from base by employer.	
30	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Italy	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None					Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No. Fine: No. Restriction: No. Reduction in rank: Yes. Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2. Extra Duty: No. Hard Labor: No. Conventional Custody (DUP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported Subject asked Victim to engage in sexual intercourse multiple times. Victim reported the occasion Subject requested for buttocks without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered the subject non-judicial punishment.
31	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-3	Female	No			02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Court-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported he had drunk heavily with Subject and Victim began being Subject. Victim stated Subject then pulled his pants down and performed oral sex on her. Subject then took off her pants and used her hand to place Victim's pants back on her. Victim stated he had Subject for sexual activity one time in a room. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Following the Article 22 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Prior to trial, the Victim declined to testify or participate in the process. The general court-martial convening authority dismissed the charges given Victim's decision not to participate.	
32	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Courtesy and malfeasance (Art. 15)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None					Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject used her photographs and video of Subject's genitalia. Victim 2 reported Subject touched her buttocks and groin without consent. After receiving the report of investigation, considering the victim's wishes and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander offered Subject non-judicial punishment for malfeasance of a subordinate.
33	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Other Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject touched her breasts and buttocks without her consent and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis. During the course of the investigation, Victim 1 declined to further participate. After receiving the report of investigation, taking into account the victim's wishes and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander closed the case with the action. Victim 2 reported that Subject made an inappropriate comment to her while walking through a hallway into her bedroom and stopped for buttocks in another occasion without her consent. After consultation with the staff judge advocate, the commander determined the only appropriate action was a letter of reprimand for an article 12 violation of failure to obey and order or regulation.	
34	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	E-3	Male	Yes			04 (July-September)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Court-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment		None				All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No. Fine: No. Restriction: No. Reduction in rank: Yes. Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1. Extra Duty: No. Hard Labor: No. Conventional Custody (DUP Only): No. Notes: Victim 1 reported he was raped by Subject. Victim 2 reported Subject touched his buttocks and inner thigh without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred court-martial charges for rape and abusive sexual contact. Following referral of charges, Victim 1 declined to further participate. In accordance with a written agreement, the commander offered subject non-judicial punishment for abusive sexual contact.
35	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim reported Subject pulled Victim towards Subject and their rooms. Victim reported Subject touched her buttocks and inner thigh. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOR to Subject for assault unaccompanied by battery.	
36	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Multiple Victims	Female	E-6	Male					Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTIC)				Notes: Victim 1 reported that Subject put his hand inside her back, jump pockets and squeezed her buttocks through the pants. Victim 2 reported that Subject put his hands inside her pants to touch her buttocks. Due to limitations in uniform code of military justice jurisdiction over Subject at the time of the offense, the commander could not proceed with non-judicial punishment. The commander was limited to a letter of reprimand and discharge recommendation.	
37	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted							Notes: Victim reported Subject aggressively grabbed her, grabbed her breasts, held her down, and touched the outside her thigh without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted of court martial.
38	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-2	Multiple Victims	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No		01 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject touched her and raised Victim 2's hand to touch Subject's groin over the clothes. Victim 2 reported Subject would touch her on the arm and hand back without her consent. Both Victim 1 and Victim 2 felt the touching was not sexual in nature but she intended to make them feel awkward. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOR to Subject.	
39	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force		Male				04 (July-September)	Subject is a Citizen or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched her waist, back and buttocks. Subject was removed from the duties, demoted and removed from lateral assignment. It is within the terms of a last chance agreement. Case closed.	

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject Is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victims Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Prior Investigation For Sex Assault	Subject More Recent Accusatory	Subject Report Type	Quarter/Date Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reasons Charges Dismissed as of Act 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Punishment Type	Missed Regular or Part Certification	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note	
1	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No	No		02 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was intoxicated to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for rape and sexual assault. Following the Article 32 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a "COTIC" service characterization.	
40	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	E-5	Male	No	No		01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Assault (Art. 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Both Victim and Subject	Failure of Pay and Allowance: Yes. Fine: No. Restrictions: No. Relegation in Lieu of Courts-Martial: No. Confinement: No. Confinement Type: None. Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1. Hard Labor: No. Confinement: No. Confinement Type: None. Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1. Hard Labor: No.	
41	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No	No		02 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted	Attempt to Commit Crime (Art. 85)			Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was convicted of attempted sexual assault.	
42	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force		Male	No	No		02 (April-June)	Subject is a Chillon or Foreign National								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Multiple Victims reported being groped by Subject and that he had used foul language toward them. After consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined that the Subject should be removed from his position. Through civilian personnel actions, were taken for progress for removal. Subject resigned prior to final notice of decision to remove.	
43	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force		Male	No	No		01 (October-December)	Subject is a Chillon or Foreign National									Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her buttocks and vaginal area while in clothes without her consent. The case was referred to civilian law enforcement who declined to take the case. Case closed.
44	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-6	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		02 (April-June)	Offender is Unknown									Both Victim and Subject	Notes: There is no additional information concerning this case. Case closed.
45	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	NA	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No	No		04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted	Rape (Art. 120)			Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Discharge/Non-Discharge: Confirmed: Yes. Confinement Type: Less Than Life. Confinement: (Months): 6. Failure of Pay and Allowance: Yes. Fine: No. Restrictions: No. Relegation in Lieu of Courts-Martial: No. Confinement: No. Confinement Type: None. Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1. Hard Labor: No.	
46	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No		04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she was engaging in consensual sex with Victim 1 while Subject attempted to penetrate Victim with his penis. Victim reported she moved away from Subject and he did not penetrate her. Subject later told Victim he did penetrate her vagina with his penis, but Victim did not recall this act. After consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. The charges were dismissed following the Article 32 hearing due to insufficient evidence to support a prosecution.	
47	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Cabin/Flightcrew	Female	Air Force	C-4	Male	No	No		02 (April-June)	Cabin/Flightcrew Disciplinary System Action									Administrative Action Type: Cabin/Flightcrew Disciplinary System Action	Notes: Victim reported Subject took her hand and placed her to touch Subject's buttocks without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined to delay graduation of Subject. Subject resigned from USAF.
48	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No		02 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was intoxicated. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Following the Article 32 hearing, the preliminary hearing officer found insufficient evidence to recommend referral of charges and the general court-martial convening authority dismissed the sexual assault charge.	
49a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she fell asleep after consuming alcohol and woke up when Subject knocked on her door. Victim remembered Subject and engaged in sex with Subject and allowed Subject to penetrate her vagina with his penis. At some point, Victim realized she was being raped by Subject and told the person she mistook him for. Victim requested Subject to stop all sexual activity and he stopped Victim's report. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted of sexual assault.	
49b	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No		02 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject 1 penetrated her vagina with his penis while Subject 2 penetrated her vagina with his penis. At the same time, Victim reported she was highly intoxicated and consented to the sex because she was confused and believed she was having sex with Victim 1 and not Subject 2 and 2 to leave once she realized who she was actually having sex with. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. The charges were dismissed following the Article 32 hearing due to insufficient evidence.	
50	Rape (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted	Rape (Art. 120)			Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Discharge/Non-Discharge: Confirmed: Yes. Confinement Type: Less Than Life. Confinement: (Months): 12. Failure of Pay and Allowance: Yes. Fine: No. Restrictions: No. Relegation in Lieu of Courts-Martial: No. Confinement: No. Confinement Type: None. Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1. Hard Labor: No.	
51	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	D-3	Male	No	No		01 (October-December)	Other Administrative Action									Administrative Action Type: Letter of Admonishment (LOA)	Notes: Victims 1 and 2 reported Subject looked at their breasts and touched her arm against the side of their breasts. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued an LOA to Subject.

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject Is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Male Worker Accession	Subject: Subject Type	Quarter/Disposition/Completion	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reasons Charged/Dismissed at Art 15 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Committed	Administrative Disposition/Type	Most Significant Sex Offense	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note	
1	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was not intoxicated to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for rape and sexual assault. Following the Article 15 hearing, the general court-martial covering authority referred the charges for a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial concerning authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTIC service characterization.	
12	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Army	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			04 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	False to obey orders or regulations (Art. 12)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed					All victims and subject provided to the command.	Notes: Victim reported Subject 1 and Subject 2 penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was not intoxicated to consent. She also reported Subject 1 recorded the sexual acts without her consent. During the course of the investigation, Victim declined to further participate. After receiving the report of investigation, the commander referred the victim and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander referred the Subject nonjudicial punishment for providing alcohol to a minor and making a false official statement.	
13	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offenses								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported that Subject penetrated her vulva with his penis without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOR to Subject.	
14	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-9	Male	No				02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 15 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No. Fine: No. Restriction: No. Reduction in rank: Yes. Pay Grade Reduced To: E-8 Extra Duty: No. Hard Labor: No. Conventional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported she was engaged in an adulterous relationship with Subject. Victim further reported Subject had oral and vaginal intercourse with her while she was asleep. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault and other non-sexual offenses. The charges were dismissed following the Article 15 hearing due to insufficient evidence. The commander offered the subject nonjudicial punishment for providing adultery and sexual consummation by battery.	
15	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	O-3	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed						Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes. Fine: No. Restriction: No. Reduction in rank: No. Extra Duty: No. Hard Labor: No. Conventional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her breast without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander referred the subject nonjudicial punishment.
16	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	O-3	Female	Public Health		Male	No				Subject is a Citizen or Foreign National									Notes: No additional information on this case. The air force does not have jurisdiction over this case. Case closed.	
17	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 15 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject digitally penetrated her vagina without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander agreed with the finding from the Article 15 preliminary hearing and dismissed the case.
18	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina without consent. Victim related she told Subject to stop, but he continued for approximately 1 minute and only stopped when she started to scream. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted of sexual assault.
19	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	United Kingdom	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force		Male	No				Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Other Sexual Offenses (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed					Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No. Fine: No. Restriction: No. Reduction in rank: Yes. Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4 Extra Duty: No. Hard Labor: No. Conventional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her inappropriately several times without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander decided to offer non-judicial punishment for abusive sexual contact and drunk and disorderly.	
20	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Assault (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed						Notes: Victim reported Subject kissed her and touched her buttocks without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander offered Subject nonjudicial punishment of assault consummated by battery.	
21	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted of sexual assault.	
22	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-4	Male	No				Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial						Notes: Victim reported he engaged in consensual oral sex with Subject, but told Subject no to and was. Victim reported Subject used 10mm pens her Subject area without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Following the Article 15 hearing, Victim declined to further participate. The commander dismissed all charges against Subject.	
23	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Kuwait	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other. Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her buttocks without consent during a consensual hug. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued administrative action to Subject.	
24	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No				03 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offenses									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other. Notes: Victim reported Subject reached her upper leg by his hand over her clothes. Victim told Subject to stop and he did. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued Subject administrative action for assault consummated by battery.	
25	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Turkey	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			04 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Assault (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed						Subject (a single subject) Notes: Victim reported Subject (single) for across the face. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined the probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander offered Subject nonjudicial punishment for assault consummated by battery.	

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject Is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Prior Investigation For Sex Assault	Subject - Menee Waiver Accession	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Research Charges Reported per Art 62 Hearing & Appeal	Most Serious Offense Committed	Administrative Discharge Type	Mail Register or Sick Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note	
1	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Court-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was intoxicated by alcohol. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander performed charges for rape and sexual assault. Following the Article 15 hearing, the general court-martial convened authority returned the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convened authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTIC service characterization.	
46	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None				Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed her buttocks without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered the subject nonjudicial punishment for abusive sexual contact.	
67	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR)	Notes: Victim reported Subject put his hand on her hip and on her back and moved his hand up her back, under her shirt, on several times. Subject later received non-judicial punishment under her UOI. During the course of the investigation, Victim declined to further participate. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOR to Subject for engaging in an unprofessional relationship.
68	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q2 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Court-Martial						Notes: Victim reported being a free drink between and while hanging out with Subject. Later that night, while in her room, she reported that she went to the Subject to get a drink and while both his fingers and penis to penetrate her vagina and anus. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander performed charges. The Subject submitted a discharge in lieu of court-martial request and it was approved for an under other than honorable discharge. Subject has been discharge from the military.	
69	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Air Force	E-7	Male	No			Q2 (April-June)	Subject is a Civilian in Foreign National									Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim reported Subject put his hand on her waist and touched her buttocks. Subject is a guard member and not an active duty member at the time of the alleged offense. There is no additional information available concerning this case. Case closed.
70	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q4 (July-September)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC)	Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her face and attempted to kiss her. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOC to Subject.
71	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No				Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Assault (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)				Notes: Victim reported being touched inappropriately and being treated unfairly by Subject. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered non-judicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense as the witnesses did not meet the requirements of a sexual assault charge. Subject received punishment and was later discharged under other than honorable discharge.	
72	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No				Q4 (July-September)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC)	Notes: Victim reported Subject was sexually harassing her touched her face with his hand and tried to touch her hand on multiple occasions. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOC to Subject.
73	Attempt to Commit Offense (Art. 60)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Corrected		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Court-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Court-Martial: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: No; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (Days): 30	Notes: Victim reported Subject had penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was sleeping. Subject moved away from Victim when she awoke including the bed and got up. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander performed a charge for sexual assault. Subject was convicted of sexual assault.
74	Rape (Art. 120)	Air Force	E-3	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No				Q3 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Corrected		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Court-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Court-Martial: Yes; Court-Martial Type: Less Than Life; Court-Martial (Months): 20; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No	Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis and fingers without her consent. Victim 1 reported Subject penetrated Victim 2's vagina while Victim 2 was in a shower stall. Victim 2 had no memory being penetrated by Subject. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander performed a charge for sexual assault. Subject was convicted of sexual assault in regard to Victim 1, but acquitted of the sexual assault in regard to Victim 2.
75	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No				Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC)	Notes: Victim 1, 2 and 3 reported Subject engaged in harassment and did their drinks, grabbed their upper arms, touched their thighs and ears, and grabbed their shoulders. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander offered subject nonjudicial punishment for assault committed by battery.
76	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No				Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense									Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim 1, 2 and 3 reported Subject hugged and grabbed their buttocks at bar. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander offered the subject nonjudicial punishment for assault committed by battery.
77	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Qatar	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No				Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject continually tried to contact her after being told by her that she was not interested. Subject went to Victim's room one morning and entered through an unlocked door. After being asked several times Subject finally left. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander served Subject with a letter of reprimand for unprofessional conduct.

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject Is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victims Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: After Investigation Of Sex Assault	Subject: Moral/Retreat Accountant	Subject: Retired Type	Quarter/Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reasons Charged (Investment of Art 22 Hearing, if applicable)	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Most Restrictive in Sex Conviction	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note	
1	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Court-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was too intoxicated to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for rape and sexual assault. Following the Article 22 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTHC versus characterization.	
76	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims-Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offenses								All victims and subject's identities remain in the report.	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR).	
79	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Court-Martial					Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina while she was too intoxicated to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Following the Article 22 hearing, Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTHC versus characterization.	
80	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offenses								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR).	
81	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted						Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR).	
82	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offenses								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina while she was asleep. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander determined that sexual assault charges were not appropriate due to lack of evidence. The commander issued an LOR for misconduct other than the alleged sexual assault.	
83	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Italy	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offenses									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR).	
84	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offenses									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR).	
85	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	Yes			03 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Court-Martial						Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis without her consent after receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Following the Article 22 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTHC versus characterization.	
86	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses		General Article Offense (Art. 134)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed					Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowance: Yes; Fine: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Confinement: No; Confinement in Lieu of Confinement: No.	
87	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported drinking a few glasses of wine with Subject and Witness 1. Witness 1 discussed her sexual relationship with Subject (abuse Victim's lack and history) without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
88	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Tunisia	Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	O-5	Male	No			04 (July-September)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted							Court-Martial discharge: Demerol; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowance: No; Fine: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No.
89	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim fell asleep after a night of heavy drinking and woke up to find Subject penetrating her vagina with his penis. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
90	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	O-3	Multiple Victims-Female	Air Force	O-5	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses		Conduct Unbecoming (Art. 133)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed							Notes: Victim reported she had a sexual relationship with the supervisor (Subject). After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered subject non-judicial punishment for adultery and conduct unbecoming an officer.



No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject Is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation For Sex Assault?	Subject: Other Matter/Allegation Accessory?	Subject: Behavioral Type	Charge/Disposition/Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed or if Art 15 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge/ Pay	Most Restrictive Sex Conviction	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
1	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Court-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was too intoxicated to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for rape and sexual assault. Following the Article 15 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a Chapter 4 discharge. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTIC service characterization.
91	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Court-Martial Discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: No; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 24; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim reported she fell asleep after a night of drinking the week up the week morning in bed with Subject and was raped from the back. Victim did not remember anything after going to sleep. Subject made it to the back and Victim had engaged in vaginal intercourse the night prior. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was convicted of sexual assault.
92	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Italy	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Yes		Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her anus with his penis without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was convicted of sexual assault.
93	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted	Rape (Art. 120)			Yes	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her anus with his penis without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for rape. Subject was convicted of rape.	
94	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Multiple Victims: Male & Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Assault (Art. 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject hit her in the genitalia and pulled her vaginal system. Victim 2 reported Subject pulled her genitalia with his hand over her clothes and placed Victim's hand on his genitalia over her clothes with her hand over her genitalia. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined that was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander referred Subject nonjudicial punishment for assault consummated by battery.
95	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Male	Air Force	E-1	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 12 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					Notes: Victim reported Subject rubbed his penis and placed Victim's penis in his Subject was without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault along with other charges. The charges were dismissed following the Article 12 hearing due to insufficient evidence.
96	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 12 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was intoxicated. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Following the Article 12 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority dismissed the charges in accordance with the Article 12 hearing officers recommendation.
97	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			04 (July-September)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she woke up to find Subject penetrating her vagina with his penis without her consent. Victim states the rape and attempted to be asleep during the incident. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted of sexual assault.
98	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Court-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted by Subject after he followed her to her room. Victim reported waking up to find Subject having sex with her and she stated her commander Subject's name when she checked in to her room. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined that charges against Subject were not appropriate without the participation of the victim.
99	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Korea, Rep Of	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her breast over her clothes without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued a verbal counseling to Subject.
100	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Algeria	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Notes: Victim reported Subject slipped her buttocks without consent and made statements of a sexual nature. After consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued an LDR to Subject.
101	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Assault (Art. 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 5; Hard Labor: No; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement Type: None. Notes: Victim reported Subject ran his fingers over the top of her chest across her breasts and face. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander referred Subject nonjudicial punishment for sexual assault consummated by battery.
102	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim reported Subject held her breast and penetrated her vagina with his penis without her consent. Victim stated she told Subject that she didn't want to have sex with him and told him to stop, and only stopped because she was drunk. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted of sexual assault of court-martial.
103a	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Yes	All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Court-Martial Discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: No; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 144; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject 1 and 2 forced her engage in vaginal intercourse. Victim 2 reported Subject was slipping her hand on her back and touching her breast and shoulder while Victim 1 was in bed. Victim 3 reported Subject touched her leg and tried to get in bed with her legs without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for rape and other charges. Subject was convicted of sexual assault.

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject Is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject's Moral Waiver Acknowledged	Subject Behavior Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Decision	Reasons Charges Dismissed at Art 15 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Moral Waiver on Sex Conviction	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
1	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Court-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was too intoxicated to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for rape and sexual assault. Following the Article 15 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the general court-martial. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTIC service characterization.
1026	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted	Rape (Art. 120)		Yes	All Subjects (multiple subjects)	Court-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: No; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Reduction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim reported Subject 1 and Subject 2 forced her on to a truck and penetrated her vagina with their penises without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for rape. Subject was convicted of rape.	
104	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Germany	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offenses								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis against her will, but Miss Victim stated the sexual act was consensual. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for non-sexual assault offenses. The commander issued an LOR to Subject for adultery.
105	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Non Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed					Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Reduction: No; Restriction Level: Intermediate; Duration Length (Days): 25; Reduction in rank: No; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Conventional Custody (Duty Only): No. Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her breast and vaginal area over her clothes while she was sleeping. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered the subject respectful punishment and administratively discharged Subject with a general discharge characterization.
106	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed her breast over her shirt without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued an LOR to Subject.
107	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			04 (July-September)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Court-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject kissed her, penetrated Victim's mouth and vagina with his penis, and Subject digitally penetrated Victim's vagina without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Following the Article 15 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTIC service characterization.
108	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims-Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offenses									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victims 1 and 2 reported Subject kissed them without consent. During the course of the investigation, Victim declined to further participate. After receiving the report of investigation, taking into account the Victim's advice and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued a LOR to incarcerated rather than an abusive sexual contact.
109	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	Male	Yes				01 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian in Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her inappropriately when he placed a pen in her breast pocket. Subject was terminated from his employment. Case closed.
110	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her knee while making sexual overtures. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued a LOR for abusive sexual contact.
111	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offenses									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported counterclaims of sexual assault after Subject reported being sexually assaulted by Victim. After consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was insufficient evidence to proceed with court-martial charges.
112	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			04 (July-September)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject hit the outside of her vagina and penetrated her vagina with his penis without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted of sexual assault.
113	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Court-Martial						Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis and penis without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Following the Article 15 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTIC service characterization.
114	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported being raped by Subject after inviting her back to her apartment to order up. Victim stated that after some consensual kissing, touching and oral sex that Subject then forced his penis into her mouth. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted of court martial.
115	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims-Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted	Chastity and Maltreatment (Art. 10)	General			Notes: Victim 1 reported she was talking a soldier to help her sleep when disrupted. Victim reported Subject entered her room while she was undressed and penetrated her vagina with his penis. She was hospitalized and hospitalized her vagina with the inability to consent due to the medication. Victim 2 reported the attempt to sexual intercourse with Subject because her supervisor and she felt pressure to agree to his requests. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was found guilty of chastity and maltreatment of a subordinate and derivation of duty.	
116	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Turkey	Air Force	E-4	Female	Unknown	Unknown	No				02 (January-March)	Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed her and kissed her without consent. Subject was reported to be an unknown foreign national. AFOSI was unable to identify Subject. Case closed.

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject Is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victims Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: After Investigation For Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Witness Account?	Subject: Retired?	Quarter/Investigation Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reasons Charged/Dismissed at Art 22 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Control	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
1	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abuse Small Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Court-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject permitted her vagina with his penis while she was too intoxicated to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for rape and sexual assault. Following the Article 22 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTIC sexual characterization.
117	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Non Judicial Punishment	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General				Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Member's Level: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 14; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 7; Hard Labor: No; Confinement Custody (NJP/Drp): No. Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her breast and buttocks over her clothes without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered the subject nonjudicial punishment and administratively discharged Subject with an under honorable general discharge characterization.
118	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offenses					General				Notes: Victim reported to Witness 1, mandatory reporter, that Subject touched her body without consent, but stopped every time. Victim asked him to stop. Victim then allowed Subject to penetrate her vagina with his penis even though she didn't want to have sex with him. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was insufficient evidence to support a sexual assault charge. The commander instead discharged for misconduct other than the alleged sexual assault.
119	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			04 (July-September)	Other adverse administrative action for non-sexual assault offenses									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim reported Subject was playing around with the victim hands on the inside of her clothing. Victim told Subject to stop, but he touched her genital area over her clothes with both hands one more time. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOR to Subject.
120	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No				Other adverse administrative action for non-sexual assault offenses									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim reported Subject came to her dorm room, touched her and made a verbal threat to her. Victim declined to participate in consensual activities but preferred administrative action for Subject. After consultation with the staff judge advocate the commander determined that administrative action was appropriate.
121	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)		Navy	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: There is no additional information concerning this case. Case closed.
122	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported to medical law enforcement, she had been sexually assaulted by an unknown male. Subject was identified via a DNA match to CDCR following a collection for drug use. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
123	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Qatar	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	Assault (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None				Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Confinement Custody (NJP/Drp): No. Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her on her buttocks and put his fingers in her mouth without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered the subject nonjudicial punishment for sexual consumption by Satisfy.
124	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative action for non-sexual assault offenses									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other Notes: Victim reported Subject touched Victim and Victim's breast being touched on the top over the clothes, and raped her. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued a verbal counseling to Subject.
125	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Other Sexual Offense (Art. 120)			Subject (a single subject)	Court-Martial Charge: CD; Offense(s): Discharge/Confinement; Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 2; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim reported Subject permitted her vagina with his penis while she was asleep. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault, indecent exposure and other non-sexual assault offenses. Subject was acquitted of the sexual assault charges and was found guilty of the relevant remaining charges.
126	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Non Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General				Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Confinement Custody (NJP/Drp): No. Notes: Victim reported Subject was helping her with a piece of gym equipment and touched her vaginal area over her clothes without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered the subject nonjudicial punishment and instead administrative discharge proceedings.
127	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	O-4	Female	Air Force	O-3	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim reported she had vaginal intercourse with Subject 2 to 4 times within a 6-month period while intoxicated. Victim indicated she could not recall much of what happened, but recalled they continued having sex when she became progressively uncooperative. During the course of the investigation, Victim declined to further participate. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOR to Subject for adultery.
128	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim reported being sexually assaulted by Subject. Subject reportedly touched Victim's breast and touched without consent. Subject also held Victim's breast on the penis area. After receiving the report of investigation, consulting with the staff judge advocate, and considering the victim's wishes, the commander served the Subject with a letter of reprimand.
129	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim 1 and 2 reported Subject touched their breasts without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued an LOR to Subject.

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Incident Subject Is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Prior Investigations For Sex Assaults	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Organizational Complaint Closed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charge(s) Dismissed at Art 15 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Committed	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender?	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
1	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			Q1 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Returned	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation to Use of Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was not intoxicated to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for rape and sexual assault. Following the Article 15 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority returned the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim reported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a DC11C verbatim characterization.
120	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male				Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Assault (Art. 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Subject (in single subject)	Penalties of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Confinement: Confinement (DUP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her thigh with his hand while she was sleeping. After consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined that non-judicial punishment was appropriate with a general administrative discharge.	
131	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim reported Subject kissed her multiple times during being laid to sleep. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued Subject a LOR.	
132	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q4 (July-September)	Other adverse administrative action for non-sexual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim reported that subject penetrated her vagina and anus with his penis while they were both intoxicated. Victim stated the only consensual part of the sexual acts. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOR to Subject.
133	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Foreign National	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Assault (Art. 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Subject (in single subject)	Penalties of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Confinement: Confinement (DUP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her leg and rubbed his hand on her upper thigh. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued non-judicial punishment for assault consummated by battery.
134	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-2	Female	No			Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Other property - weapons, supplies, etc. (Art. 100)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her penis while he laid her not to touch him. Victim also stated Subject kept his car. Subject had previously filed an allegation of sexual assault against Victim and Subject requests to restrict her contact against Victim. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander offered Subject non-judicial punishment for damaging private property and drunk and disorderly behavior.	
135	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Germany	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Both Victim and Subject	Penalties of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Confinement: Confinement (DUP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her breast and inner thigh without her consent. Victim also stated Subject told her they had sex after a night of heavy drinking. Victim had no memory of having sex with Subject. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered Subject non-judicial punishment for abusive sexual contact. Subject was released following an administrative discharge board proceeding.
136	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Cruelty and maltreatment (Art. 100)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Subject (in single subject)	Penalties of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Confinement: Confinement (DUP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her buttocks and inner thigh, on separate occasions. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander offered the subject non-judicial punishment for cruelty or maltreatment and assault consummated by battery.
137	Attempt to Commit Offense (Art. 80)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Court-Martial Charge Returned	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation to Use of Courts-Martial					Subject (in single subject)	Notes: Victim reported being sexually assaulted by Subject at the dorm. Subject reportedly made Victim perform oral sex on him, and penetrated Victim's vagina with his penis and fingers. After receiving the report of investigation, the commander preferred charges. Subject's request for discharge in lieu of court-martial was approved. Subject was discharged with under other than honorable conditions discharge.
138	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q2 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Subject (in single subject)	Penalties of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Confinement: Confinement (DUP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported Subject placed his hand on her upper thigh and rubbed her vaginal area with his hand over her clothes. Victim also reported Subject placed Victim's hand on her breast area over his clothes and asked for sex. Victim said she and Subject continued to rub her hand on his groin, above her breasts, and they were interrupted by the intruder. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered Subject non-judicial punishment for abusive sexual contact and subsequently initiated administrative discharge proceedings.
139	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	E-7	Female	Air Force	Female	No	No			Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Assault (Art. 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Subject (in single subject)	Penalties of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Confinement: Confinement (DUP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her shoulder and made an inappropriate comment. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander offered the subject non-judicial punishment for assault consummated by battery, conduct unbecoming an officer and harrassment.
140	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Returned	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Acquitted						All victims and subject (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim 1 reported after a night of drinking she woke up in bed next to Subject and felt like she had consented to sexual intercourse but had no memory of what happened. Subject also penetrated Victim 1's vagina after she woke up without her consent. Victim 2 reported after a night of heavy drinking Subject penetrated her vagina while she was intoxicated to consent. Victim 2 reported a non-sexual assault offense stating Subject touched her back without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court-martial.
141	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No			Q1 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Subject (in single subject)	Penalties of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Confinement: Confinement (DUP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported Subject violated her buttocks with his hand without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause for abusive sexual contact and initiated administrative discharge proceedings.

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject Is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Prior Investigation For Sex Assault	Subject - Mene Waiver Accessory	Subject Subtype Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Research Charges Proposed as of Hearing of application	Most Serious Offense Committed	Administrative Discharge Type	Final Report or SIA Offender	Alcohol On	Case Synopsis Note
1	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Court-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was intoxicated to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for rape and sexual assault. Following the Article 12 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges for a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTIC service characterization.
142	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Court-Martial						Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject touched her breasts, rubbed her exposed parts against Victim's body near her groin and exposed Victim's hand on to his exposed penis. Victim 2 reported she felt being next to Subject and some sex when Subject rubbed his penis against her outer genital area. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for abusive sexual contact. Following the Article 12 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Both Victims supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTIC service characterization.
143	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Yes	All victims and parties to the crime	Court-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: No; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 60; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowance: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim reported he fell asleep after a night of heavy drinking and found Subject touching Victim's penis while Subject masturbated. Subject admitted Article 120 that he touched Victim's penis while Victim had and admitted to masturbating while other Victims in a similar manner. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was convicted of sexual assault.
144	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	O-3	Female	Air Force	O-3	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 15)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed							Notes: Victim reported she felt pressured to have sexual intercourse with Subject due to his status as an instructor and her status as a student. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander offered Subject non-judicial punishment for deviation of duty.
145	Rape (Art. 120)	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	Yes			Q1 (October-December)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted	Rape (Art. 120)			Yes	All victims and parties to the crime	Court-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: No; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 31; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowance: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim alleged Subject penetrated her anus and with the penis and forced her to perform oral sex on Subject without her consent on multiple occasions. Victim also alleged Subject was physically abusive. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was convicted of rape and sexual assault.
146	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q2 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 15)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed						Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject asked for vaginal intercourse several times and she said no. Subject continued to ask for vaginal intercourse until Victim felt obligated to fulfill Subject's wishes, so she had sex and yes. Subject then penetrated Victim's vagina with his penis when Victim consented to the act. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander offered Subject non-judicial punishment for underage drinking.
147	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Cabin/In-flight	Female	Air Force	O-1	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim alleged Subject kissed her, rubbed her breasts, and digitally penetrated her without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
148	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					Notes: Victim reported Subject slapped her buttocks with his hand without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was convicted of abusive sexual contact at court.
149	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male & Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q2 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Court-Martial					All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported Subjects 1 and 2 penetrated her vagina while she was intoxicated. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Following the Article 12 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTIC service characterization.
150	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Yes	All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Court-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: No; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 24; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowance: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim 1 reported she fell asleep and woke up to find Subject penetrating her vagina with his penis without her consent. Victim 2 reported she fell asleep after a night of heavy drinking and woke up with a sore vagina. Victim 3 advised Subject digitally penetrated her while she was sleeping. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was convicted of sexual assault.
151	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Other Adminstrative Action									Adminstrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victims 1 and 2 reported Subject hit their partners and isolate one over the drink without consent. Victim 3 reported Subject rubbed them in the nipples. One of them without their driver's license consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued an LOR to Subject.
152	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Courtly and maintenance (Art. 15)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed							Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject made inappropriate comments of sexual nature towards her. Victim 2 reported Subject made inappropriate comments of sexual nature towards her and touched her breast back one time. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander offered non-judicial punishment for maintenance of a subordinate.

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Subject Is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Prior Investigation For Sex Assault?	Subject Moral Report Accessory?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter/Department Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Revised Charge/Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Committed	Administrative Discharge Type	Moral Report as Sex Offender	Accused On	Case Synopsis Note	
1	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Court-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was too intoxicated to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for rape and sexual assault. Following the article 32 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOCTIC service characterization.	
153	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Other Adversive Administrative Action									Adversive Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported Subject sexually harassed her, slipped her buttocks and breast to be in on Subject's lap on multiple occasions. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued an LOR to Subject.	
154	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None				Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Detention: No; Reduction in rate: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Conventional Confinement (DUP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported she was engaged in consensual sexual activity with Subject when he performed anal sex against her wishes. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander ordered subject nonjudicial punishment for dereliction of duty (professional relationship).	
155	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	Yes			04 (July-September)	Other Adversive Administrative Action								Subject (in single subject)	Adversive Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her upper thigh and face without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued Subject a letter of reprimand and initiated an administrative discharge action.	
156	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			04 (July-September)	Other Adversive Administrative Action									Adversive Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported Subject made unwanted sexual advances towards her, entered Victim's room without permission, and then Subject touched Victim's chest without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued an LOR to Subject.	
157	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim did not sleep and woke up when Subject kissed her on the mouth and touched her genital area under her clothes. Subject stopped when Victim asked to him to stop. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander agreed with the finding from the article 32 hearing and dismissed the case.
158	Whore/sex Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	O-2	Female	Unknown		Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: No additional information on this case. The air force does not have jurisdiction over this case. Case closed.	
159	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Court-Martial						Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported being Subject digitally penetrated her vagina with his penis and oral sex. Victim reported seeing Subject on the 4th floor penetrating the vagina with his penis while she was asleep. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred two specifications for sexual assault. Following the article 32 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOCTIC service characterization.
160	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 120)	Article 15 Acquittal						Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject slipped her buttocks multiple times. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander ordered the subject nonjudicial punishment for assault committed by battery. The commander issued the subject non-judicial and terminated the action.
161	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported went to sleep and woke up to find Subject penetrating her vagina and anal with his penis. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander agreed with the finding from the article 32 hearing and dismissed the case.
162	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	General			Notes: Victim reported Subject kissed her, touched her breasts and she told her no. Victim woke up later and although she had sex, she had no memory of having sex with Subject. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was convicted of abusive sexual contact.	
163	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported Subject performed oral sex on her and penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was too intoxicated to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, it was determined that the Subject was a civilian and was not in military status at the time of the reported offense. It was determined that the military did not have jurisdiction and that no action could be taken against Subject through military channels.	
164	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Multiple Victims-Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			04 (July-September)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Court-Martial						Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject grabbed her buttocks and vaginal area over her clothes without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for abusive sexual contact. Following the Article 32 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOCTIC service characterization.
165	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquittal						Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject provided her two alcoholic drinks and she had a change after consuming the drinks. Victim's memory was fuzzy after leaving the club and conversation only pieces of the night. Victim performing oral sex on Subject. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
166	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Germany	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Other Adversive Administrative Action									Adversive Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her buttocks without her consent. Victim indicated she did not want to participate in a court-martial proceeding. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined that a court-martial was not appropriate given Victim's decision not to participate. The commander issued Subject a letter of reprimand and an administrative discharge based on unreported. Subject was discharged with General discharge characterization.	

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Subject Is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Prior Investigation for Sex Offense(s)	Subject Waiver Accession	Subject Referral Type	Quarter/Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Disposed as per 22 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender?	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
1	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation to Job of Court-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was not intoxicated to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for rape and sexual assault. Following the Article 32 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority released the charges for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a DODTC verbatim characterization.
147	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q4 (July-September)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charge dismissed for any other reason prior to Court-Martial						Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. The charges were dismissed following the Article 32 hearing due to victim declining to participate and a discharge board was initiated. The Subject was released by the discharge board.
148	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Male	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation to Job of Court-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported he climbed into bed and fell asleep next to Subject. Victim woke when he felt Subject's penis being rubbed on his buttocks. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for abusive sexual contact. Following review of charges, Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a DODTC verbatim characterization.
149	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	General	Yes	All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victims 1 and 2 reported on separate occasions the Subject rubbed their penises while Subject 1 and 2 were asleep. Victim 3 reported he felt asleep and woke up to find Subject performing oral sex on him. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault and abusive sexual contact. Subject was convicted of sexual assault and abusive sexual contact.	
170	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q2 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative action for non-sexual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject kissed her without consent and squeezed her neck from behind. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued a USR to Subject.
171	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Court-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted	Assault (Art. 120)	General			Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed her buttocks and placed money under her bra strap. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault committed by battery. Subject was convicted at trial.
172	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	Yes			Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 15)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Involked but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander offered the subject non-judicial punishment for grossing around in a room and dereliction of duty.
173	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject digitally penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was asleep. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was convicted of sexual assault.	
174	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Jordan	Air Force	E-5	Female		Male	No				Q4 (July-September)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Notes: Victim reported Subject ran his hands down her back while getting her a bag, subsequently touching her buttocks. The case was transferred to civilian law enforcement who closed the case with no action. The installation commander released the Subject from the base.	
175	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 15)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None				All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported Subject 1 forced her partner and sex with her while Subject 2 penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was not intoxicated to consent. During the course of the investigation Victim declined to continue to participate in the process, after receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined that a court-martial was not appropriate given Victim's decision not to participate. The commander offered the subject non-judicial punishment for engaging in an unprofessional relationship.
176	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative action for non-sexual assault offense								All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject forced Victim 2 to engage in consensual oral sex with Subject. Victim 1 reported Subject did not consent to oral sex but was initially misled by Subject 1's civilian male at the party. During the course of the investigation Victim 1 declined to participate in the process, after receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined that a court-martial was not appropriate given Victim's decision not to participate. The commander issued Subject a USR for engaging in an unprofessional relationship.
176	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject grabbed her buttocks on three occasions without her consent. Victim 2 reported Subject touched her buttocks on two occasions without her consent. Victim 3 reported Subject touched her buttocks without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered Subject non-judicial punishment for abusive sexual contact.	



No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject Is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Attention	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Attention	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Prior Investigation For Sex Assault?	Subject More Violent Accusatory?	Subject Relevant Type	Offense Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Revised Charges Forwarded as of 22 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Miss Register as Sex Conviction	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note	
1	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Court-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was too intoxicated to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for rape and sexual assault. Following the Article 15 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim reported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UFFTC, serious characterization.	
177	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Cable/Midshipman	Female	Air Force	C-2	Male	No		Alcohol/Drug Counseling	01 (October-December)	Cable/Midshipman Disciplinary System Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Cable/Midshipman Disciplinary System Notes: Victim and Subject attended a party and consumed alcohol. Victim permitted Subject to join her in bed and embrace her. Victim and Subject began kissing and Subject digitally penetrated Victim. Victim told Subject to stop and he stopped kissing her and touching her. A few minutes later Subject again entered Victim and digitally penetrated her a second time. Victim told him to stop and Subject departed the room. During the course of the investigation Victim declined to continue to participate in the process. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander determined that a court-martial was not appropriate given Victim's decision not to participate.	
176	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported the head clerk is alcohol-drink and had no memory of going to Subject's room. Her first memory was receiving a text from Subject and performing oral sex on her. Subject then penetrated Victim's vagina with his penis. Victim told Subject to stop and Subject stopped the sexual intercourse. Victim then departed the room. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court-martial.	
179	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Colonel	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	Yes			02 (April-June)	Court-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Acquitted		Acquitted	Acquitted	General		Both Victim and Subject	Court-Martial discharge. None. Confinement - Yes. Confinement Type: Low Line (Confinement (Barracks)), 1. Furlough of Pay and Allowances, for: Fine, No Restrictions for Activities in Rate. The Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Head Locker. Vol. Head Locker (EAP): 14 Notes: Victim reported Subject slapped her buttocks and placed money under her lip strip. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual harassment by battery. Subject was convicted at a summary court-martial for sexual harassment by battery and later discharged with a general characterization for a pattern of misconduct.	
180	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other Notes: Victim reported Subject kissed her without consent. During the course of the investigation, Victim declined to further participate. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander administratively demoted Subject.	
181	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim reported Subject slapped her buttocks while they were engaged in heterosexual intercourse. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a letter of reprimand and filed the letter in an Unfavorable Information File.
182	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	E-3	Female	No			02 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim reported Subject made comments of a sexual nature and touched the back of her upper thigh. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued an LOR to Subject.	
183	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Italy	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed her buttocks hours before assault being filed to stop. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOR to Subject for assault committed by battery.	
184	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			04 (July-September)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported being sexually assaulted by Subject after a night out. Subject drove Victim and a friend back to his apartment and Victim had alcohol. Victim reported going on to Subject's room with her. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court-martial.
185	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No				Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense			Acquitted							Notes: Victim reported Subject rubbed his hand on the outside of vagina over her clothes and also had to stop from these areas before she left Subject's room. During the course of the investigation, Victim declined to further participate. After receiving the report of investigation, taking into account the Victim's wishes and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander terminated the nonjudicial punishment action and closed the case with the action.
186	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Multiple Victims	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			04 (July-September)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						All victims and Subject's partner who was intoxicated. Victim 2 reported Subject penetrated her mouth and vagina with his penis while she was intoxicated. Victim 1 reported she stopped the sexual acts once she realized she was intoxicated. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred two charges for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted at court-martial.	
187	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-4	Female	No			02 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim reported Subject placed Victim's penis into Subject's vagina while he was not intoxicated to consent. During the course of the investigation, Victim declined to further participate. After receiving the report of investigation, taking into account the Victim's wishes and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander closed an LOR for adultery.	
188	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	O-5	Female	Air Force	O-4	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: A witness alleged Subject grabbed Victim's buttocks at a party. The incident occurred in 2011 and the witness alleged reporting because she was concerned about a living together arrangement with Victim. During the course of the investigation, Victim declined to participate. After receiving the report of investigation, taking into account the Victim's wishes and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a LOR.	
189	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject placed Victim's hand on her penis over his clothes without consent. Victim 2 reported Subject placed her buttocks without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a LOR and related discharge for misconduct other than the alleged sexual contact.	

No.	Miss/Service Sexual Assault Allegation Subject Is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Investigation Per Set Award?	Subject: AFSP/MAJOR/ACCESS?	Subject: Retired?	Offense/Discharge/Status	Case Disposition	Miss/Service Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Miss/Service Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed or Art 15 Hearing if applicable	Miss/Service Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge/Type	Miss/Regulator as per Conviction	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note	
1	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Court-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was intoxicated to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for rape and sexual assault. Following the Article 15 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a Chapter 4 discharge. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTIC service characterization.	
100	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative action for non-sexual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported she was heavily intoxicated and woke up in Subject's car with her clothes off and Subject penetrating her vagina with his penis. Victim had no memory of how she got into Subject's car. She returned to her apartment and told police. She again woke up in her Subject's hearing room, interviews with her. During the course of the investigation Victim declined to continue to participate in the process, after receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined that a court-martial was not appropriate given Victim's decision not to participate. The commander issued an LOR for adultery and providing alcohol to minors to Subject.	
101	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	O-3	Female	Air Force	O-3	Male	No			04 (July-September)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject digitally penetrated her vagina on two occasions, penetrated her vagina with his penis on two occasions and performed oral sex on her without consent and/or while she was too intoxicated to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted of sexual assault.	
102	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				01 (October-December)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Court-Martial					Involvement not specified	Notes: Victim and a friend were invited to Subject's residence for drinks. After Victim and friend left, Victim later learned alone. Victim advised Subject began kissing her without her consent and she later realized and told Subject to stop. After going to Subject provided to have sex with Victim. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Commander later decided to refer case to court-martial.	
103	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Male	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Court-Martial discharge: DD, Characteristic Discharge: Confinement, Yes, Confinement Type: Less Than Life, Confinement: Directed, 06, Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes, Fine: No, Restriction: No, Reduction in rank: Yes, Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1, Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject penetrated his penis with Subject's penis while he was unconscious. Victim 1 reported Subject also penetrated his penis without consent. Victim 2 reported Subject touched Victim 2's penis without consent. Victim 2 reported Subject touched Victim 2's penis while he was unconscious. Victim 4 reported Subject placed Victim 4's penis into Subject's mouth while Victim 4 was asleep. Victim 5 reported he woke up when Subject attempted to remove Victim 5's pants and underwear. Victim 6 and 7 reported Subject sexually assaulted them while they were asleep and/or too intoxicated to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault and abusive sexual contact. Subject was convicted of sexual assault and abusive sexual contact.		
104	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Court-Martial					Subject (single subject)	Notes: Victim reported Subject kissed her, touched her breast and buttocks without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for abusive sexual contact. Following the Article 15 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTIC service characterization.	
105	Unknown (NG Only)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-7	Female	Air Force	E-9	Male	No	No		04 (July-September)	Administrative Discharge					General		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by a NIG Subject in Title 32 status. OCLINC investigated the allegation and substantiated. Command action against the Subject: Administrative Discharge.		
106	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Female	No			03 (April-June)	Court-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 15)	Convicted	Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 15)	None				Both Victim and Subject	Court-Martial discharge: None, Confinement: Yes, Confinement Type: Less Than Life, Confinement: Directed, 3, Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes, Fine: No, Restriction: No, Reduction in rank: Yes, Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3, Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim reported Subject kissed her and touched her genital area over her clothes without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for non-sexual assault offense. The commander preferred a charge derivation of duty and other offenses. Subject was convicted of derivation of duty.	
107A	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported Subject 1 and 2 penetrated her mouth and vagina with their penises while she was intoxicated and unable to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for rape and sexual assault. Subject was acquitted of all of charges.	
107B	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			04 (July-September)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Court-Martial following Art. 15							Notes: Victim reported that Subject forced his penis into her mouth. After the Article 15 the Victim decided that she wanted to go forward to a court-martial but sexual assault conviction would prevent her. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the general court-martial convening authority approved the alternate disposition. Non-judicial punishment was directed and issued to Subject. Subject accepted and submitted a request. The commander considered the evidence, consulted with the Staff Judge Advocate and decided to drop the non-judicial punishment and Subject was acquitted. Case closed.
108	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted	Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 15)	None			Both Victim and Subject	Court-Martial discharge: None, Confinement: No, Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No, Fine: No, Restriction: No, Reduction in rank: Yes, Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4, Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim reported Subject forced her to perform oral sex on him against her will. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was convicted of restriction of duty at trial.	
109	Approved Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Male	Air Force	E-5	Male				01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported being grabbed by the genitals from behind several times by the Subject. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued a letter of reprimand.	
200	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed							Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No, Fine: No, Restriction: No, Reduction in rank: Yes, Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4, Extra Duty: No, Hard Labor: No, Condonal/Conditional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her buttocks without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered subject nonjudicial punishment.

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject's Prior Investigation for Sex Assaults	Subject's Moral/Values Accountant	Subject Behavioral Type	Quarter/Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reasons Charges Dismissed at Art 22 (Punishment) or Rejected	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis/Note	
1	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Rejection in Lieu of Court-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was too intoxicated to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for rape and sexual assault. Following the Article 22 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTHC serious characterization.	
201	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male				01 (October-December)	Non Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Notes: Victim reported Subject entered a public restroom where she was alone and grabbed her buttocks. Subject admitted to grabbing the victim and also apologized. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered court-martial punishment and was administratively discharged with a general discharge characterization.	
202	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims-Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Corrected	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	General	Yes	All victims and subject's discharge parties to the victim.	Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject touched her buttocks and upside her vagina while she was in the mess hall. Victim 2 reported Subject grabbed her buttocks while she was in the mess hall. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was convicted of sexual assault and administratively discharged.		
203	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Rejection in Lieu of Court-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she fell asleep on Subject's couch and woke up when Subject called out her name. Victim presented to be asleep and felt Subject grab down her pants and underwear and digitally penetrate her. Victim did not respond and Subject again spoke to Victim who continued to sleep being asleep. Victim then felt Subject penetrate her vagina with his penis. Victim continued to pretend to be asleep and did not respond. During the course of the investigation, Victim declined to further participate. After receiving the report of investigation being no account the Victim's wishes and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, a discharge in lieu of court-martial was approved with an UOTHC.	
204	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	Army	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None				Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed her buttocks without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander advised the subject nonjudicial punishment for assault committed by battery.	
205	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	United Kingdom	Air Force	E-5	Male	Air Force	E-6	Male					Non Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Notes: Victim reported being sexually harassed by Subject. Subject approached Victim from behind and used his hands to make sexual gestures with it. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered non-judicial punishment. Subject was later discharged for sexual assault.		
206	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject she fell asleep after a night of heavy drinking and woke up when Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.	
207	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Spain	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed her on her face and used his hands to hold her down. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander offered the subject nonjudicial punishment for assault committed by battery and initiated administrative discharge for non-sexual misconduct.	
208	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim reported Subject digitally penetrated her vagina then held her down and penetrated her vagina with his penis with out her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.	
209	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 120)	Article 15 Acquitted						Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed Victim's buttocks and forced Victim's vagina with an identification card. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered Subject nonjudicial punishment for assault committed by battery. Subject accepted nonjudicial punishment and the commander dismissed all charges following the Subject's request.	
210	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she fell asleep after drinking with Subject. Victim woke up to find Subject had penetrated her vagina with his penis. Subject is a civilian and was not in military status at the time of the alleged sexual assault. Case was referred to Department of Justice for review and no further information is available. Case closed.	
211	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Rejection in Lieu of Court-Martial					Subject is single subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject came to her room where started to perform oral sex on her and stopped telling Subject she did not want to have sex with him. Victim stated Subject then forced her penis into her mouth against her will. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Following the Article 22 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTHC serious characterization.	
212	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			04 (July-September)	Other adverse administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR)	Notes: Victim reported Subject received their commander's sexual activity without her knowledge or consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOR to Subject.

No.	Most Serious Sexual Offense Allegation Subject Is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Prior Investigation For Sex Offense	Subject - Mene Waiver Accession	Subject Subunit Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case (if Article 15 Outcome)	Research Charges Identified (if not Hearing or Appellate)	Most Serious Offense Concluded	Administrative Disposition Type	Mail Register or Sick Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
1	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Court-Martial					Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis with the use of lubrication to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for rape and sexual assault. Following the Article 15 hearing, the general court-martial convened authority reduced the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim reported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTIC service characterization.
213	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Notes: Victim reported Subject slapped and equated Victim's belt buckle against Victim's neck. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued Subject a COR and initiated administrative discharge processing.
214	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			04 (July-September)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)			Convicted	Rape and Sexual Assault of a Child (Art. 120B)		Yes		Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for rape and sexual assault along with other charges. Subject was convicted of sexual assault of a child.
215	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment for non-sexual offense	Chastity and Misbehavior (Art. 9)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Notes: Victim reported Subject verbally harassed her, touched her shoulder and attempted to kiss her. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander offered the subject nonjudicial punishment for misbehavior of a subordinate.
216	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Notes: Victim reported she consumed a substantial amount of alcohol and had no recollection of sexual activity, but believes something happened with Subject. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued a COR to Subject for dereliction of duty.
217	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	Yes			01 (October-December)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Convicted	Failure to obey order of regulation (Art. 12)	General			Notes: Victim reported Subject made inappropriate comments of sexual nature to her on multiple occasions. Victim reported Subject touched her on the neck, in high areas and on the buttocks. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for abusive sexual contact along with other charges. Subject was convicted of dereliction of duty (sexual harassment).
218	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Convicted	Assault (Art. 120)				Notes: Victim reported she woke up to find Subject lying behind her and was holding her shoulder while having her from behind. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was found guilty of assault consummated by battery.
219	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Cable/Midshipman	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	C-1	Male				01 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative action for non-sexual assault offense					Alcohol/Drug Counseling				All victims and subjects participate to the extent.
220	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Notes: Victim reported Subject managed her bank and placed her hand into her bra and attempted to breast feed a puppy without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation, considering the victim's wishes and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the offered Subject non-judicial punishment.
221	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Chastity and Misbehavior (Art. 12A-12)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her breasts and vaginal area over her clothing without consent. During the investigation process, Subject received mail probes or disinformation from her cell phone sent by another person without consent. Subject denied the probes to others in use. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander offered Subject nonjudicial punishment and returned Subject to his guard unit.
222	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-3	Female	No			03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her breasts and vaginal area over her clothes without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered the Subject nonjudicial punishment for abusive sexual contact and drunk and disorderly conduct. Subject was returned to her guard unit following completion of nonjudicial punishment action.
223	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her breast back as he was having breakfast and spoke with her. Victim was uncomfortable with the physical contact. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander verbally counseled Subject on respectful standards of behavior.
224	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Italy	Air Force	E-7	Female	Air Force	D-5	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Notes: Victim reported Subject reached her hand on her upper thigh while they were seated next to each other in a aircraft. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued Subject a LDA.

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject to Investigation For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Prior Investigation For Sex Assault	Subject Moral Victim's Account	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Originator Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Review Charge/Downward of Art 22 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Conducted	Administrative Discharge Type	Moral Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis/Notes	
1	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No		02 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was too intoxicated to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for rape and sexual assault. Following the Article 15 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a DOTHIC service characterization.	
225	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Male	Air Force	E-4	Female			02 (April-June)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Both Victim and Subject	Notes: After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander determined the Subject was not in active duty status at the time of the offense. There is no additional information concerning this case. Case closed.	
226	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Air Force	E-3	Male	No		02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Reportable Conditions (DOTHIC)				Notes: Victim reported Subject forced her without consent. Victim 2 reported Subject kissed without consent pushed on to the bed, removed her underwear and penetrated her vagina with his penis. During the course of the investigation Victim 2 declined to continue to participate in the process. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined that a court-martial was not appropriate given Victim 2's decision not to participate. Commander related discharge.	
227	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No		03 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Unlawful Entry (Art. 134.14)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed				None				Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her breast while she was asleep. Victim also reported Subject entered her home without permission in a residential location. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander offered Subject non-judicial punishment for unlawful entry.
228	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No		01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed				None				Notes: Victim reported Subject pursued a sexual relationship with her and kissed her without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander offered subject non-judicial punishment for engaging in an unprofessional relationship.
229	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No		01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action										Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed her breast over her clothes multiple times despite being told to stop. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued an LOR to Subject.	
230	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	Yes		01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Courts-Martial							Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her breasts and inner thigh while she was asleep. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges despite sexual contact. Following the referral of charges to a general court-martial, Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a DOTHIC service characterization.	
231	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Qatar	Air Force	E-4	Female			Male				Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National										Notes: No additional information on this case. The air force does not have jurisdiction over this case. Case closed.	
232	Unknown (NG Only)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	O-3	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No	No	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by a NCS Subject in Title 32 Status. OCSMC investigated the allegation and Substantiated Command Action taken against the Subject: LOR.	
233	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No		01 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Reportable Conditions (DOTHIC)				Notes: Subject was arrested by civilian law enforcement on suspicion of rape charges and sexually assaulting on civilian women. Civilian authorities retained jurisdiction over the Subject. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander initiated administrative discharge proceedings.	
234	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No		01 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Subject (a single subject)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed her buttocks without consent. During the course of the investigation Victim declined to further participate. The commander issued a LOR for prohibited other than the alleged abusive sexual contact.	
235	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No		09 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Accepted							Notes: Victim reported Subject slipped her buttocks on multiple occasions. He then brushed through her clothing, grabbed his erect penis on her buttocks through their clothes and ran his hand over her vaginal area but clothes of without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for abusive sexual contact. Subject was equipped at trial.	
236	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male			02 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Courts-Martial							Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported attending a party with Subject. Victim became very intoxicated. She remembers someone holding her up into Subject's bed when she passed out. She awoke to a penis penetrating her mouth, she passed out again three weeks to Subject having vaginal intercourse with her. Victim was too intoxicated to physically or verbally respond. The area defense counsel and Subject submitted a Chapter 4 request for discharge in lieu of courts-martial. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander approved an order other than honorable discharge.
237	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Coast Guard	E-1	Male	Air Force	O-4	Male	Yes		01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action										Adverse Administration Action Type: Other. Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed his penis over Victim's clothing. Several witnesses verified Victim's report. After receiving the report of investigation, the commander determined Subject violated the Prison Rape Elimination Act and DOD Confirmed Regulations and removed all good time credit from Subject's record and transferred Subject to another confinement facility.	
238	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No		02 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Accepted							Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was asleep. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted of the sexual assault at trial.	

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Empowered For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Murrel Walker Accession	Subject: Murrel Walker Type	Quarter Disposition	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Decision	Reasons Charges Dismissed at Art 15 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Committed	Administrative Discharge Type	Final Disposition as Sex Offender	Abused One	Case Synopsis Note	
1	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Court-Martial				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was not intoxicated to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for rape and sexual assault. Following the Article 15 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority released the charge for a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial concerning authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTHC service characterization.	
229	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134.2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None				Both Victim and Subject	Failure of Pay and Allowance: No. Fine: No. Restriction: No. Reduction in rank: No. Extra Duty: Yes. Extra Duty (Days): 14. Hard Labor: No. Confinement (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her mouth and vagina with his penis while she was unable to consent due to medication she had taken. During the course of the investigation, Victim declined to further participate. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander offered Subject nonjudicial punishment for adultery.
240	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Wrongful use, possession, etc. of controlled substances (Art. 112A)					Both Victim and Subject	Court-Martial discharge: MCI - Bad Conduct Discharge: Confirmed: Yes. Confinement Type: Less Than Life: Confinement (Months): 14. Confinement (Pay and Allowance): Yes. Fine: No. Restriction: No. Reduction in rank: Yes. Pay Grade Reduced To: C-1. Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was asleep. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted of the sexual assault charge and found guilty of non-related non-sexual assault charges at court martial.
241	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim fell asleep after a night of heavy drinking and woke up to find Subject on top of her penetrating her vagina with his penis. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
242	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Italy	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge					General			All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim 1 reported she was lying in bed and Subject entered her room and her shirt pulled over her back into to skin and pushed into her breasts. Victim 1 stated she tried and was unable to resist after a night of heavy drinking with Subject. Subject later told Victim 2 they had the night before. Victim 2 has no memory of having sex with Subject. Victim 3 stated Subject touched her breasts without her consent and forced her to touch his penis with her hand. During the course of the investigation all three victims declined to continue to participate in the process. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined that a court-martial was not appropriate given Victims' decision not to participate. Commander released discharge for the alleged sexual assaults.	
243	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Court-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her breasts without her consent and tried to put his hands into her pants. Victim denied Subject touch any and denied Subject's intention. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial concerning authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTHC service characterization.
244	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	O-3	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None				All victims and multiple parties to the crime	Notes: Victim 1 and 2 reported Subject grabbed their buttocks while at a bar without their consent. Victim 3 reported Subject penetrated her anus with his penis without her consent. Victim 2 declined to participate in the military justice process or cooperating with the investigation. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered Subject nonjudicial punishment for abusive sexual contact regarding Victims 1 and 2.
245	Approved Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Acquittal					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported being kissed and groped by the Inmate by Subject. She also reported that Subject had penetrated her with something but she was sure exactly what. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted.
246	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Convicted	Lanony (Art. 121)	General			Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her and digitally penetrated her vagina without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault along with other charges. Subject was convicted of battery but acquitted of the sexual assault.
247	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	O-6	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Admonishment (LOA)	Notes: Victim reported Subject would spank various people including all their babies for attention periods of one and a half to two "hours" of the women working at the chapel on. When questioned by OSI, Victim stated they did not believe the spanking was sexual in nature but that Subject's actions were inappropriate. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined a LOA was appropriate for a non-sexual offense.
248	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Turkey	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR)	Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her breast over her clothes and penetrated her mouth with his tongue without her consent. During the course of the investigation, Victim declined to further participate. After receiving the report of investigation taking into account the Victim's wishes and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander closed the case with a LOR for attempted adultery.
249	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Court-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis and digitally penetrated her without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Following the Article 15 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority released the charge for a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial concerning authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTHC service characterization.
250	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense					General				Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR)	Notes: Victim reported Subject hugged her and touched her buttocks. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOR to Subject and received a general discharge.
251	Attempts to Commit Offense (Art. 80)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR)	Notes: Victim reported Subject attempted to kiss her three times while being held on. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued an LOR to Subject.

Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject Is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Prior Investigation For Sex Assault?	Subject Moral Waiver / Accession	Subject Behavior Type	Quarter/Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Sexual Charges Dismissed as of Art 22 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Most Restrictive Sex Conviction	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note	
1 Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abuse Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Court-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was too intoxicated to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for rape and sexual assault. Following the Article 22 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority returned the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. From supporting the Chapter 4 discharge, the general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a 107HC service characterization.	
252 Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-5	Male	Air Force	E-5	Female	No			04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Disobedience (Art. 134-135)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Failure of Pay and Allowance: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Confinement: No; Discharge: No; Discharge Type: None. Notes: Victim reported Subject violated or grabbed her genitalia through his clothes on multiple occasions. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander ordered the subject nonjudicial punishment for disobedience, conduct and obstructing justice.	
253 Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Accepted					Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim reported Subject forced Victim to her bed and forced her penis into her vagina against her will. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted of the sexual assault of court-martial.	
254 Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Assault (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None				Failure of Pay and Allowance: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Level: Inadequate; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Confinement: No; Discharge: No. Notes: Victim 1 advised Subject touched her hand without consent while making sexual comments and gestures. Victim 2 reported Subject sexually harassed her and sexual assault. Victim 3 reported Subject made inappropriate remarks to a sexual nature. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander ordered Subject nonjudicial punishment for assault consummated by battery and indecent language.
255 Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Yes	All victims and subject (multiple)		Court-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 02; Failure of Pay and Allowance: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject forced her and touched her buttocks without consent. Victim 1 declined to participate in any further justice action. Victim 2 reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was intoxicated. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was convicted of sexual assault.	
256 Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Male	Air Force	D-1	Male	No		Multiple Referrals	01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Notes: Victim reported Subject along with four others entered his room, forced him on to a bed, handcuffed him and digitally penetrated him. All Subjects stated Victim and Subject regularly engaged in consensual inappropriate sexual touching. Victim was later accused of sexual assault against one of Subject's in this case. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued an LDR to Subject.	
257 Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	Yes			02 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abuse Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	General		Both Victim and Subject	Court-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 11; Failure of Pay and Allowance: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (Days): 30. Notes: During Victim 1's investigation, Victim 2 reported she had sexual intercourse with Subject while she had sex. Victim 2 had no memory of having sex with Subject. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was convicted of abusive sexual contact and was administratively discharged.	
258 Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	Yes			02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Court-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Witness 1 reported Subject pressured Victim into having sexual intercourse with Subject while Victim was intoxicated. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault and abusive sexual contact. Following the Article 22 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority returned the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. From supporting the Chapter 4 discharge, the general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a 107HC service characterization.	
259 Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abuse Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her between her legs on two occasions. Subject later pocketed Victim's email on a base. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander ordered Subject nonjudicial punishment for abusive sexual contact and possession of duty.	
260 Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Italy	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 120)				Court-Martial discharge: RCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: No; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 0; Failure of Pay and Allowance: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim 1 and 2 reported Subject penetrated their vaginas with his penis without their consent on multiple occasions. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault and other non-sexual offenses. Subject was convicted of non-sexual assault offenses at trial.	
261 Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Male	Unknown		Male				02 (January-March)	Subject is a Citizen or Foreign National									Notes: No further information on this case. Case closed.	
262 Unknown (NC DR)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No	No		02 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by a NCS Subject in Title 32 Status. OIG investigated and substantiated the allegation. Command action against the Subject: LOR.	
263 Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Male	Air Force	E-4	Male				02 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported being grabbed on the buttocks by Subject. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued a letter of reprimand.	
264 Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			02 (October-December)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abuse Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Accepted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her breast, buttocks and upper thigh without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for abusive sexual contact along with other charges. Subject was acquitted of all charges.	

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject Is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Acknowledged?	Subject: Behavioral Type	Quarter/Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reasons Charged/Dismissed at Art 15 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administration Discharge? Year	Most Restrictive Tar. Conviction	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note	
1	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Court-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was intoxicated to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for rape and sexual assault. Following the Article 15 hearing, the general court-martial convened authority initiated the charge via a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim reported the general court-martial convened authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a GDTIC service characterization.	
205	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Court-Martial						Notes: Victim reported Subject pushed her to the ground and penetrated her vagina with his fingers and penis without consent. Victim reported she told Subject "no" and "stop" and he continued to penetrate her. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for rape and sexual assault. Following the Article 15 hearing, the general court-martial convened authority initiated the charge via a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim reported the general court-martial convened authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a GDTIC service characterization.	
206	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No			04 (July-September)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Court-Martial					Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported Subject attempted to kiss her and put his hand into her pants and digitally penetrated her without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Following the Article 15 hearing, the general court-martial convened authority initiated the charge via a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim reported the general court-martial convened authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a GDTIC service characterization.	
267	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	O-2	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offenses								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject had vaginal intercourse with her while she was intoxicated to consent. Victim reported she told Subject she did not want to have sex. During the course of the investigation, Victim declined to further participate. After receiving the report of investigation, taking into account the victim's wishes and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject an LDC for fraternization.	
268	Rape (Art. 120)	Korea, Rep Of	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Male & Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported on two occasions Victim became heavily intoxicated and Subject engaged in sexual intercourse with her. Victim reported on the first occasion she had no memory of sexual intercourse. Victim stated the next morning Subject led her to his room and began touching her clothing with penetration of her vagina. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault along with other charges. Subject was acquitted at court-martial.	
269	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	O-1	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offenses								Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Admonishment (LOA)	Notes: Victim reported Subject forced her hand into her pants over her underwear. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LDC to Subject.	
270	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Air Force	O-5	Male	No			04 (July-September)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offenses								Adverse Administration Action Type: Other	Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her leg under a table with his hand multiple times during a formal event. After receiving the report of investigation, and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander closed the case with a verbal counseling.	
271	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Male & Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					Court-Martial discharge: EO - Discharge/Discharge Conviction: No. Confinement Type: Less Than Life. Confinement (Months): 0. Forfeiture of Pay and Allowance: Yes. Fine: No. Restriction: No. Reduction in rank: Yes. Pay Grade Reduced To: E-6. Hard Label: Yes. Hard Label (Days): 25. Notes: Multiple Victims reported Subject touched them on their bodies and kissed them without consent and made unwanted sexual comments. Two Victims also reported Subject digitally penetrated their vaginas without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was convicted of abusive sexual contact at court-martial.	
272	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed in any other session prior to Court-Martial						Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject inserted her hand and rubbed the exposed parts on her body. Victim doesn't recall if she was penetrated by Subject. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. The charges were dismissed following the Article 15 hearing due to victim declining to participate.
273	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Korea, Rep Of	Air Force	E-5	Male	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offenses								Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LDC)	Notes: Victim reported Subject made sexually inappropriate comments to her and his Victims' subordinates on one occasion. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LDC to Subject.	
274	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses			Assault (Art. 120)	Article 15 Punishment imposed				Under Other than Heretofore Convicted (GDTIC)	All victims and witnesses 1) touched her buttocks and had photographs of her engaged in sexual conduct without her consent. During the course of the investigation Victim declined to continue to participate in the process, after receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined that a court-martial was not appropriate given Victim's wishes not to participate. The commander offered Subject N/P and initiated discharge.	
274	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported after a night of drinking she engaged in sexual intercourse with Subject. Victim reported the sexual intercourse was not consensual. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court-martial.	
275	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Turkey	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported Subject engaged in non-consensual vaginal intercourse with her three times over the course of one night. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court-martial.
276	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other	Notes: Witness 1 reported Subject touched Victims' breast, buttocks and kissed her without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation, taking into account the victim's wishes and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander closed the case with a verbal counseling.



No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assaults	Subject: Moral Waiver Account	Subject Behavioral Type	Quarter Discipline Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Relevant Charges Disclosed at Art 22 Hearing or applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
1	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			02 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault Convicted (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Court-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was too intoxicated to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for rape and sexual assault. Following the Article 22 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a VOTPC service characterization.
277	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Court-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Condemnation: Yes; Condemnation Type: Loss of Life; Condemnation (Discharge): 2; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restitution: No; Restitution in Kind: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Hard Labor: No Notes: Victim reported after a night of heavy drinking she went to sleep in a dark room. She woke up when Subject forced her and engaged her in sexual intercourse. Victim involuntarily believed she was having sex with Victim 1. During the case she realized she was not having sex with Victim 1 and called out the name. Subject stopped having sex with Victim when Victim called out Victim 1's name. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was convicted of sexual assault.	
278	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			04 (July-September)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Court-Martial						Notes: Victim reported Subject forced her to remove her pants without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. The charges were dismissed after release of charges due to victim declining to participate. Case closed.
279	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject when Victim was too intoxicated to consent.
280	Multiple Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Unknown & Female	Air Force	O-5	Male	No				02 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Assault (Art. 126)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None	None		Subject (3 single Subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restitution: No; Restitution in Kind: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Confinement: Yes (30 Days); Confinement in Lieu of Confinement: No Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject placed his hand on her side without consent. Victim 2 reported Subject touched her chest with his clothes without consent on two occasions while Subject was intoxicated. Victim 3 reported Subject touched her breasts without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander offered Subject pretrial punishment for assault committed by battery and conduct unbecoming an officer.	